Abstract

Background. Cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury (CSA-AKI) is a well-known, serious complication and a well-recognized independent risk factor for higher morbidity and mortality among patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

Objectives. The aim of the study was to assess the efficacy of remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) in reducing the incidence of CSA-AKI, measured with the standard creatinine technique and using neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) serum concentrations as a potential new biomarker of kidney damage. The ethics committee of the Medical University of Lodz prospectively approved the protocol (approval No. RNN/286/13/KE). The study was retrospectively registered with the U.S. National Institutes of Health — NIH (29 June 2017; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03205410).

Material and methods. We conducted a prospective single-center double-blind randomized and controlled study. Data was collected from patients admitted to the Cardiosurgery Clinic at the Medical University of Lodz (Poland) between January and December 2014, scheduled for elective cardiac surgery (an off-pump coronary artery bypass). A total of 28 patients were randomized to receive either RIPC (n = 14) or sham RIPC (n = 14). After the induction of anesthesia, the patients assigned to the RIPC group underwent 3 cycles of five-minute inflation to 200 mm Hg and five 5-minute deflation of the upper-arm cuff. The control group had a deflated cuff placed on the upper arm for 30 min. The authors measured the patients’ serum creatinine concentration to check for the occurrence of a CSA-AKI within 48 h after cardiac surgery, and NGAL serum concentration to check its level within 3 h after the operation.

Results. Fewer patients in RIPC group developed CSA-AKI within 48 h after cardiac surgery than in the control group (29% vs 93%; p = 0.003). Fewer patients in the RIPC group presented an increase in NGAL 3 h after surgery (medians: 124 vs 176.7; p = 0.0003).

Conclusions. In patients undergoing an off-pump coronary artery bypass, RIPC significantly reduces the occurrence of CSA-AKI and protects against increased postoperative NGAL levels.
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Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a well-known, serious complication and well-recognized independent risk factor of higher morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery; it is even referred to as cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury (CSA-AKI). Approximately 30% of patients develop AKI after cardiac surgery and 1–5% of AKI patients require dialysis therapy. The CSA-AKI can be caused by a variety of factors and in different combinations, including ischemia and reperfusion injury, toxins, metabolic abnormalities, neurohormonal activation, inflammation, and oxidative stress. Although preventing AKI after cardiac surgery would improve survival, there are still no efficient methods. The current definition of AKI is based on serum creatinine concentration (SCr) and urine output, and is described as any of the following: an increase in SCr ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (≥26.5 lmol/L) within 48 h; or an increase in SCr to ≥1.5 times baseline, which is known or presumed to have occurred within the prior 7 days; or urine volume <0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 h. Both SCr and urine volume are markers of renal function but not kidney injury. Furthermore, according to the definition, AKI can be diagnosed using the creatinine technique after at least 2 days. This has led to investigations of new AKI biomarkers that could show kidney injury much earlier, within a few hours. During the past few decades several potential biomarkers of AKI have been identified, including neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM-1), interleukin 18 (IL-18), liver-type fatty acid-binding protein (L-FABP), tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 (TIMP-2), insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7), calprotectin, and urine microRNAs. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is by far the most investigated and most promising, especially as an early AKI biomarker. Fast identification of AKI is very important, as is appropriate implementation of preventive strategies, which are the most effective tools to improve AKI outcome.

Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) is a phenomenon in which non-lethal periods of alternating ischemia and reperfusion applied to tissue or an organ can remotely protect another. At first, RIPC was known as a cardioprotection method, but it has also turned out to be effective in distant organs such as kidneys, offering protection in kidney transplantation or contrast-induced AKI and seems promising in preventing AKI in patients who have undergone cardiac surgery. However, its efficacy still remains controversial. The mechanism of RIPC is complex and not well understood. Several triggers, intracellular pathways, humoral and neural effectors, as well as effectors induced by genetic changes may be considered potential pathways in the protective activity of RIPC.

We conducted this prospective randomized controlled clinical study to assess whether RIPC reduces the incidence of AKI measured with the standard Scr technique and using neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) as a potential new biomarker of kidney damage. The aim of our investigation was to analyze the safety and clinical outcomes of RIPC after elective isolated primary off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery (OPCAB).

**Material and methods**

**Study design**

This was a prospective single-center double-blind randomized controlled study. The ethics committee of the Medical University of Lodz (Poland) approved the protocol, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and national law. Written informed consent was provided by all participants before enrollment in the study. The study design, along with the data collection and analysis, was conducted solely by the authors.

**The patients**

From January 2014 to December 2014, we screened patients over 18 years of age who were admitted to the Cardiosurgery Clinic of the Medical University of Lodz and scheduled for elective cardiac surgery (OPCAB). Enrollment was non-consecutive and dependent on whether one of the investigators who enrolled participants was available. Exclusion criteria were a history of cardiac surgery, acute myocardial infarction up to 7 days before surgery, chronic stage 4 or 5 kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <30 mL/min/1.73 m²), peripheral vascular disease affecting the upper limbs, a history of severe injuries and operations within 2 months before cardiac surgery, a history of cancer, chronic autoimmune diseases, and dialysis. Patients were recruited during their preadmission consultations.

**Experimental protocol**

Following the placement of intravenous and right radial artery catheters and after the induction of anesthesia, the patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to either the RIPC group or the control group by means of a computerized randomization table. A blinded investigator who was not involved in either the surgery or the randomization procedure performed RIPC in the RIPC group or sham RIPC in the control group. The RIPC group underwent 3 cycles of 5-minute inflation to 200 mm Hg followed by 5-minute deflation of the left upper-arm cuff (in excess of contralateral systolic radial artery pressure). The control group had a deflated cuff placed on the left upper arm for 30 min. Remote ischemic preconditioning took place after the induction of anesthesia and was completed prior to skin incision.

**Surgical and anesthetic procedures**

Prescribed cardiac medications were administered up to the evening preceding surgery. Beta-adrenergic receptor
antagonists were given on the day of the surgery, while agents that can interfere with RIPC (e.g., sulphonylurea, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers) were transiently withdrawn 24 h before the operation. All the patients were given standardized nephroprotective procedures such as the withdrawal of potentially nephrotoxic agents 24 h before surgery and hydration by intravenous fluid infusion according to their clinical state, using the following formula: 60 mL of balanced solutions + 1 mL per every kilogram of body weight over 20 kg per hour (i.e., approx. 1.5–2 mL/kg/h of balanced solutions) for 4 h prior to the surgery; and in patients with congestive heart failure or eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m²: infusion of 1 mL/kg/h of balanced solutions for 12 h prior to the surgery.

Anesthesia was induced with intravenous propofol (1 mg/kg), fentanyl (3.5 μg/kg) and pancuronium (0.1 mg/kg) and maintained with prolonged infusion of propofol (0.01–0.02 mg/kg/min) and fentanyl (0.05 μg/kg/min). All the patients were mechanically ventilated in controlled mechanical ventilation mode with 50% oxygen concentration. The surgical procedure was performed through median sternotomy according to standardized protocols. Postoperative fluid management in all the patients was performed according to clinical state of the individual patient and Enhanced Recovery After Surgery guidelines26: 1.5 mL/kg/h on the day of the surgery, reduced the day after to 70 mL/h. Fluid therapy was conducted by monitoring central venous pressure, invasive blood pressure, pulse pressure variation, systolic pressure variation, urine volume, and the daily fluid balance. Serum osmolarity was maintained in the range of 280–305 mOsm/kg H2O. Fluid delivery included crystalloids (using balanced solutions excluding 0.9% NaCl and 5% glucose26). All of the participants were operated on by the same surgical team, and postoperative care was performed by the same anesthesiologist. The average duration of the surgery was 206 min in patients who received RIPC (median: 172.5 min; interquartile range (IQR) = 155–260 min) vs 187 min in the control group (median: 177.5 min; IQR = 130–235 min). The difference in duration between the 2 groups was not statistically significant (p = 0.037).

Blood sampling and analysis

Venous blood samples were drawn before surgery and at 3 h and 48 h after surgery for measurement of serum creatinine and NGAL concentrations. Serum creatinine levels were measured with an enzymatic assay (Crea Creatinine OSR6578; Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, USA). We used an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test to measure NGAL concentrations (Human Lipocalin – 2/NGAL ELISA; BioVendor Laboratory Medicine Inc., Brno-Řečkovice, Czech Republic). Estimated glomerular filtration rate was calculated using the Cockcroft–Gault formula.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint of the study was the incidence of AKI within 48 h after cardiac surgery or increased NGAL level within 3 h after the operation. Acute kidney injury was classified according to the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria as any of the following: (1) an increase in SCr ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (≥26.5 μmol/L) within 48 h after surgery; or (2) an increase in SCr to ≥1.5 times baseline, which is known or presumed to have occurred within the previous 7 days; or (3) urine volume <0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 h after surgery.

Secondary endpoints were the length of hospitalization, the length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay, ventilation time, the occurrence of postoperative atrial fibrillation (AF), the time of renal replacement therapy (RRT), and death from any cause.

Statistical analysis

We performed the statistical analysis using the STATISTICA v. 10 software (StatSoft Polska, Kraków, Poland). For all the tests, we used p = 0.05 as the threshold of statistical significance. The Shapiro–Wilk normality test was used to verify the distribution assumptions for normality. Categorical variables are represented as the number of observations (N) and the corresponding percentages (%). quantitative variables are presented as median and IQR. Pearson's χ² test was used to check group equality. If the number of cases was less than 5, Yates's correction for continuity was used. The distribution of most of the variables under consideration was not normal. Continuous variables that were not distributed normally were analyzed with a non-parametric test. In order to compare 2 independent trials, the Mann–Whitney U test was used. For a comparison of 2 repeated measurements between 2 matched samples of continuous variables, we used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. To detect differences in continuous values across multiple test attempts, we used Friedman's test.

Results

Study population characteristics and operative data

A total of 58 patients were assessed for eligibility, with 30 patients excluded before randomization due to an exclusion criteria or consent withdrawal. This left 28 patients who were enrolled and randomized to receive either RIPC (n = 14) or sham RIPC (n = 14) and included in the primary analysis (Fig. 1). The preoperative characteristics and intraoperative protocols were similar in the 2 groups (Table 1). Preoperative serum creatinine and NGAL concentrations were also similar in the 2 groups. The time between the end of the last inflation of the blood-pressure cuff and the skin incision was 6 ±1 min.
Primary outcomes

Significantly fewer patients in the RIPC group developed AKI within 48 h after cardiac surgery compared with the control group (Table 2), with absolute risk reduction of 0.64. Similarly, the patients in the RIPC group presented significantly lower serum NGAL concentrations 3 h after surgery compared to the control group (Table 2). Moreover, the patients who received RIPC showed either a decrease or only a slight increase in serum NGAL levels compared to the control group, who manifested significant increases in NGAL levels (Fig. 2).

Secondary outcomes

Serum creatinine concentration (SCr), tested on admission, did not differ between the 2 groups (p = 0.21; Table 1). However, analysis of SCr over time – on admission, 48 h after OPCAB and on discharge – showed that in the patients who received RIPC before cardiac surgery, SCr did not change statistically (p = 0.147; Fig. 3). In contrast, the patients in the control group showed significantly different levels of SCr over time (p = 0.0004; Fig. 3). Likewise, GFR was not significantly different in the 2 groups at baseline (p = 0.062; Table 1). Glomerular filtration rate changes over time were not significantly different in the RIPC group as opposed to the control group (p = 0.374 vs p = 0.0499; Fig. 4). However, we found no significant differences between the groups in terms of the time of receiving mechanical ventilation (p = 0.756), the length of their stay.
in the ICU (p = 0.667), the length of their hospital stay (p = 0.454), the occurrence of postoperative AF (p = 0.383), or death (p = 1.00). In each group, 1 patient required dialysis in the postoperative period, and there were no significant differences in the length of renal replacement therapy (p = 0.982).

**Discussion**

Cardiac surgery patients have a high risk of AKI. Simultaneously, the development of AKI is associated with higher mortality and a higher risk of complications in patients
undergoing cardiac surgery. However, there are no effective clinical strategies for preventing AKI. Remote ischemic preconditioning holds promise as a simple and inexpensive way of protecting tissues against ischemic damage, including kidney protection, which has led to research into the use of this method to prevent AKI. Nowadays, the standard diagnostic tools for AKI detection, such as SCr and urine output monitoring, are markers of renal function but not kidney injury. Furthermore, SCr depends on various intrarenal and extrarenal functions and its concentration characterizes the balance between creatinine generation and excretion. Serum creatinine concentration is a delayed and insensitive biomarker of changes in kidney function, and its concentration does not differentiate the triggers of kidney failure and could be affected by many factors. Damage biomarker such as NGAL may quickly allow cellular kidney damage to be identified and lead to earlier diagnosis of AKI. Although NGAL is represented in some human tissues, it is one of the most upregulated transcripts in the kidney after ischemic, toxic or septic AKI in animal and human models, implying that it has a role as an early marker of structural renal tubular damage.

In our single-center double-blind study involving 28 patients at a high risk of postoperative AKI, RIPC did reduce the prevalence of AKI, according to KDIGO criteria, based on increases in SCr. Our surprising finding that 93% of the control group as well as 29% of the RIPC group developed AKI may result from the small number of participants as well as the sensitivity of the KDIGO AKI definition, which is based on only a slight elevation in SCr. Furthermore, we showed the benefit of RIPC, with reduced levels of SCr and higher GFR 48 h after OPCAB. In the patients who received RIPC prior to surgery, only a 9.66% increase in SCr level compared to the baseline was observed. In contrast, in the patients without RIPC, the postoperative SCr level increased significantly, by as much as 52.63%. Remote ischemic preconditioning turned out to be protective against significant increases in SCr as well as decreases in GFR over time. Moreover, we found that the postoperative expression of NGAL, an early biomarker of AKI, was significantly reduced in patients who underwent RIPC.

Even though the prevalence of AKI was lower in the RIPC group, our study found no benefits of RIPC in terms of the length of ICU stay, the duration of mechanical ventilation or length of hospitalization. This may be due to the small study group. Although fewer patients in the RIPC group showed postoperative AF, the overall assessment showed no significant differences.

The effect of RIPC on kidney function differs among studies. Our findings are consistent with the randomized controlled trial by Zarbock et al. Their study was specifically designed and powered to look at the effect of RIPC on AKI as the primary endpoint. As in our research, they noticed a significant absolute risk reduction in the incidence of AKI in the RIPC group, and higher postoperative NGAL levels in the control group (p = 0.04). Furthermore, in a meta-analysis including 26 trials, the rate of AKI was significantly lower in the RIPC groups than in the control groups among patients undergoing cardiac and vascular interventions (p = 0.001; RR = 0.79). However, it should be noticed that various definitions of AKI were used in different studies. The same report found no benefits of RIPC in postoperative SCr and eGFR levels, in-hospital mortality, initiation of RRT, or the length of hospital stay. This is consistent with a similar meta-analysis where postoperative incidence of AKI was significantly reduced by RIPC (p = 0.02), but no benefit was found in terms of renal replacement therapy and mortality. A recent meta-analysis including 27 randomized trials also showed that RIPC lowers the risk not only of acute renal failure, but also myocardial infarction, stroke and composite risk of all-cause mortality; however, statistically the results were only marginally significant. The recently published results of the 90-day follow-up of the RenalRIP trial showed that RIPC improves short- as well as long-term outcomes of high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery. In that study, RIPC clearly reduced the occurrence of major adverse kidney events at 90 days (including all-cause mortality, RRT and persistent renal dysfunction without dialysis), compared with the controls. Also, considering different components of composite endpoints, persistent renal dysfunction and RRT were significantly higher in the patients that did not undergo RIPC.

On the other hand, some trials reported that RIPC did not lead to any significant difference in clinical outcomes compared to the controls. In an 11-center randomized controlled trial involving patients at high risk of AKI and undergoing cardiac surgery, RIPC yielded no demonstrable benefits. The median peak of postoperative change in creatinine was not statistically significant (absolute
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Fig. 4. Variability of GFR [mL/min/1.73 m²] over time (admission to the Cardiosurgery Clinic, 48 h after surgery, discharge from the hospital) in (1) the RIPC group vs (0) the control (no RIPC) group. eGFR – estimated glomerular filtration rate; RIPC – remote ischemic preconditioning.
mean difference: 0.06, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) = 0.10–0.23).36 Likewise, in the RIPValve study, in patients with aortic valve stenosis who underwent elective aortic valve replacement, RIPC also had no impact on postoperative renal function.37 Two large multicenter double-blind randomized controlled trials where propofol was used to maintain anesthesia noted no benefits of RIPC. The Remote Ischemic Preconditioning for Heart Surgery (RIPHeart) study and the Effect of Remote Ischemic Preconditioning on Clinical Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery (ERICCA) study investigated clinical outcomes in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.38,39 Neither of them showed any evidence of positive effects of RIPC on death within 12 months, postoperative AF, AKI, postoperative release of NGAL, or the duration of ICU and hospital stay.40 The use of propofol anesthesia in more than 90% of the patients of ERICCA and all the patients in RIPHeart is the most plausible explanation for the failure of RIPC to provide protection.41 Similarly, the presence of diabetes mellitus may impair conditioning-mediated protection.42 Despite the fact that 36% of the RIP group and 57% of the control group in our study presented diabetes mellitus, we found that RIPC protected against the development of CSA-AKI. Possible explanations for the differences in findings may include differences in the patient populations, the duration of RIPC and, of course, the small sample size in our study.

Limitations

Our study has some limitations. It is a single-center trial with a relatively small sample size, and although we have found important associations with intermediary endpoints, we cannot prove the mechanism. Also, enrollment in the study depended upon the availability of the investigator, which could have biased the sample. It may also have contributed to our surprising finding that 93% of the control group and 29% of the RIP group developed AKI, which distinguishes our study from the literature. Possible explanations for the differences in findings may include differences in the patient populations, the duration of RIPC and, of course, the small sample size in our study.

Conclusions

In patients undergoing OPCAB, RIPC significantly reduces the occurrence of CSI-AKI and limits SCr increase over time. The extremely easy-to-apply, low-cost and non-invasive nature of RIPC makes it an ideal method for the prevention of AKI. The introduction of RIPC strategy into widespread clinical settings for the benefit of patients undergoing heart surgery could represent a promising and simple strategy to provide additional protection of kidney function and improve postoperative outcomes.

Remote ischemic preconditioning may become “the future of nephroprotection” in cardiac surgery. The same applies to the RIPC-mediated postoperative NGAL reduction noted in our pilot trial. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is one of the best biomarkers of AKI, due to its quick release after tubular damage. It opens a new era of earlier detection and prognosis prediction for AKI, compared to the standard definition. It also creates an urgent need to come to an agreement about the cutoff value of NGAL, which should help in redefining AKI according to NGAL levels. Apart from its limitations, our study demonstrated the important role RIPC plays in protecting against AKI after cardiac surgery. Hence, further studies are needed to redefine the clinical utility of RIPC in current practice and to obtain more evidence of its potential benefits.
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