Gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin lymphomas
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Abstract

Although gastrointestinal (GI) tract is the most common extranodal site involved in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), primary gastrointestinal NHL (gNHL) is a rare problem which concerns about 10–15% of NHL patients and 30–40% of extranodal NHL patients. Lymphoid neoplasms may consist of mature B, T and (less commonly) extranodal NK/T cells. The most common diagnoses are diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and marginal zone lymphoma (MALT), but many other lymphomas may be found in the GI tract. In the last 2 decades, there has been a rapid development in the diagnosis, staging and management of GI lymphoma, but still some of such lymphomas, especially T-cell ones, are a therapeutic challenge. In this review, we present clinical and pathological features of GI lymphomas. We also describe the current status in diagnosis and treatment.
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Introduction

Gastrointestinal (GI) tract is the most common extranodal site involved in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). Primary gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin lymphoma (gNHL), however, is a rare problem which concerns about 10–15% of all NHL patients and 30–40% of extranodal NHL patients. At the same time, gNHL cases account for only 1–4% of GI neoplasms. The most frequent site for gNHL is the stomach (60–75% of all cases), followed by the small intestine and the ileocecal region (Fig. 1). The impact of EUS in primary gastric lymphoma is not so important. The most common symptoms are abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and malabsorption. Violent manifestations of the disease in the form of GI bleeding, perforation or intestinal obstruction are not so frequent. The leading symptoms are dysphagia, hearing loss and pain. The median age at the moment of the diagnosis is above 50 years. The most common histopathological finding is DLBCL. Viral factors are known to increase the risk of nasopharyngeal NHL. Contrary to other neoplasms in this location, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are preferred rather than surgery. The esophagus is an extremely rare location for NHL and primary involvement is casuistic. In the majority of cases, DLBCL is diagnosed. The risk factors are immunodeficiency disorders, particularly HIV. The stomach is the most commonly involved site in primary gNHL and comprises 60–70% of gNHL cases. At the same time, it constitutes 3–5% of all gastric neoplasms. Clinical symptoms are typical for this localization: pain, nausea, emesis, and weight loss. Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) is an essential tool in this localization and will be discussed in detail later in the text. Primary small intestine lymphomas account for 20% of gNHL and 10–20% of all intestine neoplasms. The most commonly involved region is the ileum. Histopathological findings reveal the following types of diseases: MALT, DLBCL, EATL, MCL, and others. Balloon enteroscopy and capsule endoscopy are among the essential imaging techniques in the diagnosis of all small intestine neoplasms. Some small intestine lymphomas including MCL, FL and MALT, occur in the form of polyps, others appear as firm mass (BL) or nodules, scars and erosions (EATL). Colorectal lymphoma accounts for approx. 6–12% of gNHL, but very rarely is the colorectum the primary site for gNHL. In Western countries, lymphomas in this region are of B-cell origin, but in Asia there is an increasing frequency of T-cell-lineage NHL. In some cases of colorectal gNHL, surgery is a treatment as well as a diagnostic tool.

Imaging techniques

Endoscopy is a fundamental diagnostic technique in gNHLs and it may reveal a wide variety of different forms: from enlarged lymph nodes and lymphoid follicles, which may sometimes appear as reactive, through polyps, to infiltrative and necrotic lesions. Endoscopic ultrasonography is a very valuable method in locoregional staging; for instance, it allows for the visualization of all layers of gastric walls. Moreover, it shows local lymph nodes. Endoscopic ultrasonography is more valuable in indolent lymphomas, in which locoregional staging is important for proper therapeutic decisions. In aggressive lymphomas, chemo- or immunochemotherapy is usually introduced from the beginning and precise local assessment is not so important. The impact of EUS in primary gastric
lymphoma. In the stomach, EUS demonstrates 4 types of patterns: superficially spreading, diffusely infiltrating, mass forming, and mixed. Current stomach MALT classification is based on EUS findings. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are valuable in disease assessment outside the GI tube. It is important to remember that a CT scan usually does not enable to visualize lymphoma confined to the mucosa. 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) has proven its usefulness in diagnosis and staging of the disease and in response assessment. However, particularly in GI tract neoplasms, FDG-PET may give false positive results. Therefore, new PET tracers, like 18F-fluoro-thymidine, are being tested and the results look promising. In response evaluation, all of abovementioned techniques may play a role, but (at least in gastric lymphoma) histopathological assessment is still recommended.

Risk factors

The most important risk factor in gNHL is Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection. It is considered crucial in MALT pathogenesis, but also plays a role in DLBCL and BL growth. Another infectious factor is Campylobacter jejuni (C. jejuni) colonization, which plays a role in immunoproliferative small intestinal disease (IPSID). Until recently, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) was considered a significant risk factor for gNHL. Nowadays, effective antiretroviral treatment of HIV patients resulted in lymphoma frequency reduction in these patients. Moreover, OS in HIV lymphoma patients does not differ significantly from corresponding immunocompetent patients. The estimated risk of gNHL in HIV carriers is not substantially different from the average population risk. Other immunodeficiency disorders are connected with a higher gNHL risk. It should also be noted that inflammatory diseases, even though not caused by infections, increase the risk of gNHL, and celiac disease (CD) is a quintessential example of this process.

Table 1. Gastrointestinal lymphoma staging systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lugano</th>
<th>Paris staging system</th>
<th>Tumor extension</th>
<th>Ann Arbor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St I – confined to the GI tract (single primary or multiple, non-contiguous)</td>
<td>T1–2 N0 M0</td>
<td>mucosa, submucosa, muscularis propria, serosa</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St II – extending into abdomen</td>
<td>T1–3 N1 M0</td>
<td>regional lymph nodes</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St II – local nodal involvement</td>
<td>01–3 N2 M0</td>
<td>more distant regional nodes</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St III – penetration of serosa to involve adjacent organs or tissues</td>
<td>T4 N0–2 M0</td>
<td>invasion of adjacent structures with or without abdominal lymph nodes</td>
<td>Ia, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St IV – disseminated extranodal involvement or concomitant supra-diaphragmatic nodal involvement</td>
<td>T1–4 N3 M0</td>
<td>additional distant (non-continuous) gastrointestinal sites</td>
<td>Ia, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1–4 N0–3 M0–2 M2</td>
<td>non-gastrointestinal sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1–4 N0–3 M0–2 Bx</td>
<td>bone marrow not assessed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1–4 N0–3 M0–2 B0</td>
<td>bone marrow not involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1–4 N0–3 M2 B1</td>
<td>bone marrow involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staging and prognosis

Ann Arbor staging system does not illustrate the exact clinical stage of the disease and is not valuable in prognosis. The most widely used classification is the Lugano system (Table 1).

Marginal zone lymphoma cases comprise over 50% of primary gNHL cases. It is seen less commonly in the intestines (5% of intestine lymphomas) and colorectal area (25% of colorectal lymphomas). It usually affects patients over 50 years of age, with a slight male prevalence (1.5:1). Strong evidence on the association between H. pylori and gastric MALT (gMALT) has been shown. Gastric MALT is usually diagnosed in the early stage: typically, an endoscopy reveals multifocal superficial lesions of the mucosa and most patients present with stage I or II disease (Lugano staging system) while intestinal MALT might infiltrate to the intestinal wall. In the case of intestinal MALT, a differential diagnosis has to include the distinction from reactive lymphoid hyperplasia, which may sometimes mimic neoplastic process. Independently of stage H. pylori, eradication therapy should be given to all gMALT patients. Anti-helcobacter regimens contain the following: double antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin + metronidazole or amoxicillin) and proton pump inhibitor. The outcome of the eradication therapy should be evaluated after at least 6 weeks with urea breath test or stool antigen test. It is reasonable to wait for at least 12 months before starting the treatment in patients who achieved endoscopic or clinical response together with H. pylori eradication. It is worth remembering that patients with t(11;18)(p21;p21) are unlikely to respond to H. pylori eradication. On the other hand, even H. pylori negative gMALT patients might respond to H. pylori eradication. In patients who do not achieve a lymphoma regression following antibiotic therapy and have localized disease, irradiation should be applied. In generalized disease immunochemo-therapy is highly effective.

Primary GI diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, similarly to MALT, is most typically located in the stomach with a prevalence estimated at 30–40% of gastric lymphomas.
At the same time, DLBCL is the most common intestinal lymphoma. Most DLBCLs occur in patients in the 6th decade of life, with a male predominance. Some evidence suggests the role of atrophic gastritis, especially among immunocompromised patients, in the etiopathology of gastric DLBCL (gDLBCL). As with other DLBCL locations, gDLBCL may arise de novo or from transformation of indolent lymphoma, mainly MALT. De novo DLBCLs are bcl2 and CD10 positive whereas transformed MALT are bcl2 and CD10 negative. Generally speaking, c-myc rearrangements are more common in GI aggressive lymphomas than in nodal lymphomas; in DLBCL they account for 10–45% of cases. But contrary to nodal lymphomas, c-myc rearrangements do not seem to influence negatively the overall survival (OS). Gastrointestinal DLBCL is usually diagnosed in the early stage, with no bone marrow infiltration and low or intermediate the International Prognostic System (IPI). Presumably, outcomes of treatment are better compared to other extranodal and nodal DLBCL. In a retrospective analysis conducted by López-Guillermo et al., 5-year OS in gDLBCL was 62% compared to 52% in the whole DLBCL group. In another analysis, although OS benefits were not proven, prolonged the Progression Free Survival (PFS) was observed in gDLBCL. In the era of chemoimmunotherapy, radiotherapy does not improve OS. On the basis of small prospective trials, some authors suggest to start with H. pylori eradication only in limited stage H. pylori(+) gDLBCL. It concerns both primary and transformed MALT DLBCL if only negative risk factors are not present.

Gastrointestinal involvement in MCL is common. The reported frequency is 10–30%. Furthermore, in all probability the data is underestimated. Romaguera et al. conducted an endoscopy in 60 MCL patients. Histopathological involvement of lower GI tract was revealed in 53 patients (88%) and upper GI tract lesions were found in 28 patients (43%). Only 14 (26%) patients presented with clinical GI symptoms. Significant GI tract histopathological involvement usually does not alter treatment schedule. European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) guidelines recommend an endoscopy in limited stages I/II to exclude asymptomatic involvement. The most common GI tract involvement manifestation is multiple lymphomatous polyposis. It is worth remembering that PET-CT might give false negative results and fail to reveal lymphomatous polyposis. Primary GI MCL is very rare and accounts for only 2% of primary gNHLs. Primary GI MCL is usually very aggressive, with high MIPI scores. Survival, compared to nodal MCL involving GI, is poor. As the majority of patients are not autologus stem cell transplantation-eligible, rituximab maintenance is suggested to sustain treatment effects.

Immunoproliferative small intestinal disease (IPSID), formerly known as heavy alpha chain disease, is a rare variant of intestinal MALT lymphoma. It constitutes for 30% of all GI lymphomas in the Middle East. In the Western countries, it can be diagnosed among immigrants from the Middle East. Median age at the moment of the diagnosis is 20–30 years. Recurrent C. jejuni infection role in pathogenesis is suspected. In contrast with other infectious agents, C. jejuni colonization is not permanent; moreover, there is no evidence that C. jejuni plays a role in cancer development. In some cases, successful anti-biotic therapy may lead to remission, but in other patients transformation to DLBCL was observed.

Primary extranodal FL is rare. The most common location for gastric FL (gFL) is duodenum. Typically primary intestinal FL is an indolent lymphoma, often limited and with low histological grade (G1–G2). It predominantly affects middle-aged women. Incidental diagnosis in asymptomatic patients undergoing endoscopy for unrelated symptoms is very common. The most common form of the disease is mucosal polypl. The tumor has a favorable prognosis even when the disease is disseminated. The indolent course of the disease is considered similar to nodal FL. In the early stages, there is no need to introduce the treatment.

Burkitt lymphoma is usually diagnosed in the form of a mass located predominantly in the ileocecal region. Due to its aggressive nature and chemosensitivity, the standard approach is aggressive chemotherapy. Rituximab addition is more widely recommended in recent years. There are casuistic reports on H. pylori eradication efficacy in gastric BL (gBL) therapy.

Lymphomatoid granulomatosis (LG) typically involves the lungs and is rarely found in GI tract. It is an angiodestructive EBV(+) lymphoma with aggressive course and poor prognosis (OS below 2 years). Plasmablastic lymphoma (PBL) is an aggressive variant of DLBCL usually diagnosed in immunocompromised patients, particularly HIV(+). The most common location is the oral cavity. It may also be found in other GI tract parts, primarily in the anal canal.

Primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) is actually a rare form of highly aggressive “plasmablastic” DLBCL arising mainly in immunocompromised patients. Approximately 30% of extracavitary PEL are diagnosed in the GI tract.

Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) are diagnosed in transplant recipients. Gastrointestinal tract involvement may be the primary location or part of the disseminated disease. The most commonly affected part of the GI tract is the distal segment of small intestine.

**Mature T-cell lymphomas**

Enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma is a rare type of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Celiac disease is the most common food intolerance in Europe and accounts for 0.5–1% of EATL cases. Refractory CD appears when the patients fail to improve on a gluten-free diet (2–5%). Intraepithelial mononuclear lymphocyte proliferation might arise in refractory CD that leads to EATL. The EATL prevalence...
in Western Europe is about 0.14/100,000. It accounts for 1.4% of NHL cases and 10–25% of primary intestinal lymphomas. Usually, the diagnosis is made in the patent’s 6th decade of life. Men and women are affected with similar frequency. Clinical symptoms are the consequence of malabsorption with abdominal pain, but many patients present with acute symptoms, such as intestinal bleeding, perforation and obstruction. Although EATL usually appears in refractory CD, it may be diagnosed in well-controlled CD and even in previously untreated, healthy people. Enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma may be localized in every GI tract part but the most common location is the jejunum. Commonly, it manifests as multiple ulcers, tumors and strictures.

The EATL I type concerns 80–90% of cases. Lymphoma cells derive from CD with villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia. Tumor cells are medium-sized to large and pleomorphic; reactive inflammatory infiltrate is common and even necrosis might be present. Cells are frequently CD30- and LMP1-negative. There is no specific prognostic index for EATL. It seems that low IPI correlates with better OS, but according to some authors, more PIT is more accurate in EATL risk stratification. Single risk factors that might be relevant for PFS and OS are: tumor size >5 cm, poor performance status, high CRP, and high LDH.

Sieniawski et al. introduced intensive IVE/MTX (ifosfamide, epirubicin, etoposide/methotrexate) regimen in 26 ASCT-eligible EATL patients. The patients received 1 cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, oncovin, prednisone (CHOP) course, 3 ifosfamide, epirubicin, etoposide (IVE) courses and 1 intermediate dose methotrexate course. Chemotherapy was followed by ASCT procedure. The outcome of the patients treated in this protocol was better than median OS achieved after standard chemotherapy. Briefly, 65% of patients achieved CR vs 42% in the control group (p = 0.06); 39% of the patients died (including 31% lymphoma-related deaths), whereas in the control group, 81% died (61% died of the EATL) (p = 0.001 and 0.005, respectively). High response rate correlated with 5-year OS benefits: 60% IVE/MTX-treated patients achieved 5-year OS vs 22% of patients in the control group (p = 0.003). This is symptomatic that in IVE/MTX only 1 partial remission was obtained and there were no partial remission (PR) in the control group. These results seem to be indicative of the aggressive character of the disease and are arguments for aggressive first-line treatment. IVE/MTX-ASCT regimen may lead to potentially severe toxic complications, such as the following: myelotoxicity, encephalopathy, sepsis, and renal impairment. There is more data for aggressive approach in EATL. A retrospective study was conducted by European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT): 44 EATL patients that underwent ASCT consolidation in 2000–2010 were analyzed. First line regimens were heterogeneous: schedules based on anthracyclines, methotrexate, and ifosfamide. More than 50% of the patients were treated with more than 1 chemotherapy line before ASCT. Thirty-one patients (70%) were in first complete remission (CR) or PR at the time of the ASCT. Age, gender, disease stage, and B-cell symptoms at diagnosis were not associated with significant DFS or OS differences. The authors concluded that ASCT conducted in first CR/PR is the most effective treatment. Four-year OS in this group was 66% vs 35% in the remaining patients (p = 0.62). However, according to the authors, only 50% of the patients, due to their age, performance status are ASCT-eligible. There are attempts to introduce new drugs in EATL treatment. Khalaf et al. described brentuximab vedotin efficacy in a EATL patient who was CD30+ highly positive. Very good partial remission was observed after 3 cycles. Complete remission was achieved after 8 cycles and sustained during 9-month observation. The most important side effect observed during treatment was exacerbation of neuropathy, which was present at the beginning of the therapy. The authors suggest that brentuximab might be an option for patients with poor tolerance of more intensive chemotherapy. Siben et al. added brentuximab to other regimens. The patients, after achieving remission, underwent ASCT procedure. Preliminary data is very encouraging. The data on positive effects of alemtuzumab addition to chemotherapy is anecdotal. Furthermore, no durable effects were achieved with this drug in EATL treatment. Available data on RIC-allogenic SCT (sibling) efficacy is also sparse.

Considering the poor prognosis and low chemotherapeutic effectiveness, there have been attempts to introduce preemptive treatment in CD, i.e., cladribine. However, the safety and efficacy data are very sparse.

Extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma of the nasal type (ENKTL) is usually located in the nasopharyngeal region. However, sometimes it occurs in various parts of the GI tract. Virtually always ENKTL is associated with EBV infection. Differential diagnosis should be made between ENKTL and NK enteropathy (which is a benign GI proliferation) or indolent T-cell lymphoproliferative disease of the GI tract, which are both very rare.

Summary

Lymphoproliferative disorders of the GI tract are not common and primary GI lymphomas are rare. Gastrointestinal lesions, when found in lymphoma patients, should always be verified and differential diagnosis with other
diseases should be done (Table 2). Though new imaging techniques are developing rapidly, endoscopy is still the most important diagnostic tool in gastrointestinal lymphomas. Histopathological type may vary, with the 2 most common morphologic subtypes being MALT and DLBCL. The most typical location of GI lymphomas is the stomach. The discovery of association of *H. pylori* infection to gastric lymphoma led to serious approach modifications in this disease. Nowadays, in the antiretroviral HAART era, HIV seems to lose its importance as a risk factor. T-cell lymphomas are more aggressive than B-lineage NHL and there is still much to do to improve patient outcome.

### Table 2. Differential diagnosis of gastrointestinal lymphomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI lymphoma – differential diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crohn disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma and other solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign lymphoid hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcer disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celiac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial and fungal infections of GI tract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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