Could the super-pulsed CO\textsubscript{2} laser be used for oral excisional biopsies?
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Abstract

**Background.** The main purpose of a biopsy is microscopic examination and diagnosis. Keeping the margins of specimens safe and readable is always fundamental to detecting marginal infiltrations or malignant transformation. Numerous options and tools have been introduced for biopsy procedures. Lasers are one of these options that provide many enhancements to clinical and surgical biopsy procedures in comparison to scalpels.

**Objectives.** The aim of the present study is to quantify the thermal artefacts in histological specimens obtained using a CO\textsubscript{2} laser from different oral mucosal lesions and to evaluate if the resulting thermal effect hinders the histological examination. This aim is accomplished through quantitatively and qualitatively assessing the thermal effect in both the epithelium and connective tissue.

**Material and methods.** A super-pulsed CO\textsubscript{2} laser (10,600 nm) was used to obtain 10 excision biopsy samples. The parameters were a power of 4.2 W in focused mode and a frequency of 80 Hz in super-pulse mode. The histological analysis was performed with an optical microscope. Computerized imaging software was utilized to quantitatively evaluate the thermal effect in both the epithelium and connective tissue expressed in microns.

**Results.** The thermal effect of the CO\textsubscript{2} laser was limited to the surgical resection margins in all the specimens and did not hinder the histological analysis. Thermal artefacts were observed in 3 specimens. The range of thermal effects in the epithelial tissue was between 184 μm and 2,292 μm, while in the connective tissue it was between 133 μm and 2,958 μm.

**Conclusions.** The resulting thermal effects of using a CO\textsubscript{2} laser did not hamper the histological evaluation. Utilizing a laser in biopsy procedures should be tailored. Not only should laser parameters and safety margins be taken into consideration but also the working time, clinical accessibility, and the nature and water content of the tissue.
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Introduction

The main purpose of a biopsy is microscopic examination and diagnosis. Keeping the margins of specimens safe and readable, especially in suspected lesions or neoplastic lesions, is always fundamental to detecting marginal infiltrations or malignant transformation.1–6 Numerous options and tools have been introduced for biopsy procedures.7 Lasers are one of these options that provide many enhancements to clinical and surgical biopsy procedures in comparison to scalpels. A high degree of decontamination of the surgical area, minimal postoperative bleeding, and reduction of inflammation and postoperative pain have been described in studies about lasers used for biopsies.8–14

There are more than 10 different laser devices for dental use.9,15 The carbon dioxide (CO2) laser is characterized by high affinity to water and has become one of the favorite instruments for the treatment of benign lesions, such as fibromas, papillomas, labial and lingual mucosal frenula and gingival hyperplasia, as well as for premalignant lesions such as oral leukoplakias.5,16–19 In general, cutting with a laser is accomplished through the photothermal effect, which is the conversion of light into thermal energy that heats the target tissue and eventually leads to the cutting action. Consequently, thermal effects occur at the periphery in the collected specimens.7,11 These thermal effects may result in creating tissue artefacts that lead to alterations in the histopathological evaluation and confusion for pathologists.1,5

Thus, it is important to evaluate the thermal effects of CO2 lasers on the peripheral margins of specimens in order to assess if the CO2 laser is a reliable tool for biopsy procedures. The aim of the present study was to quantify the thermal artefacts in histological specimens obtained by CO2 lasers from different oral mucosal lesions and to evaluate if the resulting thermal effect will hinder the histological examination. This aim was accomplished through quantitatively and qualitatively assessing the thermal effect in both the epithelium and connective tissue.

Material and methods

Ten oral lesions from 10 different patients, 5 males and 5 females, ranging in age from 23 to 72 years (mean: 48.5 years) were examined. The cases included 1 carcinoma in situ, 2 mucocele, 4 focal fibrous hyperplasia, 1 kaposiform hemangioendothelioma, 1 peripheral giant cell granuloma, and 1 granular cell tumor. The lesions were distributed as follows: 3 cases from buccal mucosa, 3 cases from the attached gingiva and 4 cases from the labial mucosa. The biopsy procedures were conducted at our outpatient clinic.

Before the biopsy procedures, all patients were informed about the advantages and disadvantages of laser surgery. They signed an informed consent form. The study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki according to the local Ethical Committee guidelines. Exclusion criteria included systemic disease, degenerative bone disease, chemotherapy or radiotherapy to the head and neck region, pregnancy, smoking habit, and alcohol consumption.

All the cases were photographed pre- and postoperatively. Two follow-up visits were performed. All biopsies were performed under local anesthesia using 1.8 mL of mepivacaine solution containing 1:100,000 epinephrine by the same surgeon under similar conditions.

A super-pulsed CO2 laser (Smart US20D; DEKA Laser, Florence, Italy) with the following characteristics was used to perform the biopsy: wavelength of 10,600 nm, frequency range between 5 Hz and 100 Hz, and pulse length range between 200 μs and 80 ms. The efficiency of power transfer was measured to be greater than 85%. The 15% power loss was balanced by a suitable calibration of the internal pump to avoid dust and particle deposition over the lenses during operation. All the samples were excised using dental handpiece focal 2° with non-contact tip (tip with a mirror to deflect the laser of 120°) with a power of 4.2 W in focused mode with spot diameter between 0.2 mm and 0.4 mm at a distance of 2 mm to 4 mm from the tip and a frequency of 80 Hz in super-pulse mode.

Both 0.2% chlorhexidine spray and 0.5 mL of amino acids and sodium hyaluronate gel were prescribed 3 times daily for 1 week. All excised specimens were immediately fixed in a 10% neutral buffered formalin solution. They were embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for the histological evaluation.

The histological analysis was performed with an optical microscope (Leica Leitz Camera; Leica Camera AG, Wetzlar, Germany). A computerized digital camera (Olympus Camedia 5050; Olympus Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was used to capture 5 Mp (24-bit color depth) images (×100 magnification) of surgical resection margins (stored as JPEG files). Computerized imaging software (ImageJ; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) was utilized to quantitatively evaluate the thermal effect in both the epithelium and connective tissue, expressed in microns.

Results

The thermal effects of the CO2 laser were limited to the surgical resection margins in all the specimens and did not hinder the histological analysis. Thermal artefacts were found in 3 specimens: vacuolar degeneration at the basal keratinocytes in one of the labial mucosa specimens (Fig. 1) and diathermocautery artefacts in 2 specimens: 1 from the labial mucosa and the other from attached gingiva.

The thermal effect in connective tissue was greater than that in the epithelium in all the specimens except 1 (Fig. 2). The range of the measured thermal effect in the epithelium was between 184 μm and 2,292 μm. The range...
of the thermal effect in the connective tissue was between 133 μm and 2,958 μm (Table 1). The mean of the thermal effect in the epithelium was 687 μm, while in connective tissue it was 1,407 μm. The mean total thermal effect was 2,094 μm (Fig. 3, 4).

The most prominent thermal effect was observed in the specimens excised from attached gingiva. Only 1 specimen did not show any thermal effect.

**Discussion**

Specimens collected with a laser are usually compromised by thermal effects. It is often considered a common disadvantage that may cause tissue artefacts and marginal dysplastic changes. For this reason, many studies have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen No.</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Site of lesion</th>
<th>Histologic artefact</th>
<th>Thermal effect in the epithelium [μm]</th>
<th>Thermal effect in connective tissue [μm]</th>
<th>Total thermal effect [μm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>kaposiform hemangioendothelioma</td>
<td>buccal mucosa</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>≃ 0 μm</td>
<td>≃ 0 μm</td>
<td>≃ 0 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>granular cells tumor</td>
<td>labial mucosa</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>322.75 μm</td>
<td>133.4 μm</td>
<td>456.15 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>peripheral giant cell granuloma</td>
<td>attached gingiva</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>184.24 μm</td>
<td>867.75 μm</td>
<td>1,052 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mucocele</td>
<td>labial mucosa</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>262 μm</td>
<td>968.26 μm</td>
<td>1,230.26 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>focal fibrous hyperplasia</td>
<td>labial mucosa</td>
<td>vacuolar degeneration at the basal keratinocytes</td>
<td>429.62 μm</td>
<td>1,101.22 μm</td>
<td>1,530.83 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>squamous cell carcinoma in situ</td>
<td>buccal mucosa</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>828.36 μm</td>
<td>1,151.1 μm</td>
<td>1,979.47 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>focal epithelial hyperplasia</td>
<td>buccal mucosa</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>476.69 μm</td>
<td>1,646.86 μm</td>
<td>2,123.56 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>focal fibrous hyperplasia</td>
<td>attached gingiva</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1,245.19 μm</td>
<td>2,478.2 μm</td>
<td>3,723.39 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>mucocele</td>
<td>labial mucosa</td>
<td>diathermocautery artefacts</td>
<td>831.74 μm</td>
<td>2,958.06 μm</td>
<td>3,789.8 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>focal epithelial hyperplasia</td>
<td>attached gingiva</td>
<td>diathermocautery artefacts</td>
<td>2,292.94 μm</td>
<td>2,767.69 μm</td>
<td>5,060.63 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
been carried out to assess this disadvantage and its impact on histological evaluation.\textsuperscript{7,17,19,22} In an experimental study performed on 25 Sprague Dawley rats, the influence of the thermal effect caused by different CO\textsubscript{2} laser powers (between 3 W and 12 W) was examined, and it was concluded that the CO\textsubscript{2} laser, unrelated to the wattage, generates epithelial thermal damage similar to dysplastic changes. Thus, it was suggested that clinicians should take these changes into consideration.\textsuperscript{21}

The control of power settings, spot diameter and pulse duration minimizes the thermal damage and enables achieving histologically acceptable specimens for diagnosis. Many authors consider the thermal effect of lasers that impairs the histological evaluation to be caused by the operator rather than the laser itself.\textsuperscript{25}

Therefore, many ex vivo and in vivo studies were carried out to find the ideal parameters for the laser that minimizes this thermal effect and consequently decreases the chance of thermal artefacts.\textsuperscript{5,9,21,24} In an ex vivo study, the histological analysis of specimens collected by different CO\textsubscript{2} laser parameters were compared, and it was found that efficient cutting with minimal thermal effect can be achieved by a power of 3 W in continuous wave (CW) or in pulsed wave (PW) settings at a frequency of 50 Hz.\textsuperscript{3}

The laser beam in PW has shown reduced thermal damage compared to CW in many animal studies.\textsuperscript{25–28} In a clinical study, the thermal damage outcomes following excision biopsy of 100 fibrous hyperplasia lesions using CO\textsubscript{2} laser in PW and CW mode were compared. It was concluded that both laser modes produced similar thermal damage, and researchers recommended adding a 1 mm safety margin, especially in suspicious soft tissue lesions.\textsuperscript{25}

Other studies were carried out to compare the thermal effect of lasers compared with other tools.\textsuperscript{7,11,16,22} Matsu-moto\textsuperscript{22} compared CO\textsubscript{2} lasers with an electrotome. In his study, the optical microscopic examination of specimens excised by a CO\textsubscript{2} laser, particularly in PW mode, produced less thermal damage than the electrotome. The thermal damage was estimated to be less than 500 µm and did not affect the pathological diagnosis.

In the present study, one of the collected specimens was carcinoma in situ, and histological evaluation was achieved without confusion. Utilizing a laser for excision biopsy of oral malignancy in an early stage has been reported.\textsuperscript{25,29–31} The nature of the lesion and water content appear to have an impact on the thermal effect during excision, as the most prominent thermal effect in our study was observed in a focal fibrous hyperplasia lesion.
In the sample with the lowest value, the thermal effect in both epithelium and connective tissue was so minimal that it was considered by the pathologist to be proximal to 0 (−). Additionally, the working time (different depending on the site of intervention) was reported to be a possible factor that affects the thermal effect.9

In fact, there is a difference in the laser parameters used in clinical and ex vivo studies. The parameters for this study were similar to the parameters recommended in the literature.22,23 In this study, the thermal effect was prominent in all the specimens, as the average of the total thermal effect was approx. 2 mm (2.049 μm). It was generally higher in attached gingiva compared to other anatomical sites.

It is obvious that the thermal effect of the CO2 laser will occur and cannot be prevented but can be minimized. For that reason, the control of laser parameters and working time and adding laser safety margins are suggested.3,24 The resulting thermal effects of using a CO2 laser did not hamper the histological evaluation. Utilizing a laser in biopsy procedures should be tailored. Not only should laser parameters and safety margins be taken in consideration but also the working time, clinical accessibility, and the nature and water content of the tissue.
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