Enamel defects and dental caries among children attending primary schools in Poznań, Poland

Justyna Opydo-Szymaczek[A–F], Karolina Gerreth[B,C,E,F], Maria Borysewicz-Lewicka[A,C,E,F], Tamara Pawlaczyk-Kamieńska[B,C,E,F], Natalia Torlińska-Walkowiak[B,C,E,F], Renata Śniatała[B,C,E,F]

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland

A – research concept and design; B – collection and/or assembly of data; C – data analysis and interpretation;
D – writing the article; E – critical revision of the article; F – final approval of the article

Abstract

Background. Both positive and negative associations between developmental enamel defects (DED) and dental caries have been reported in the literature.

Objectives. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of DED of permanent dentition and its association with dental caries in schoolchildren living in Poznań (Wielkopolskie Voivodeship, Poland).

Material and methods. A total of 2,522 6th grade children and 3,112 1st grade children were examined. Developmental enamel defects were described using the modified Developmental Defects of Enamel Index. Dental caries experience was assessed in accordance with the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT).

Results. The study revealed 475 children (9.6%) to have at least 1 enamel defect of permanent dentition. In 6th-graders, statistical analysis confirmed significant differences between DMFT, DT (decayed teeth) and FT (filled teeth) numbers of various DED groups with subjects affected by diffuse opacities having generally the lowest caries indices and subjects with enamel hypoplasia and/or demarcated opacities having the highest caries indices. In both age groups, dental caries prevalence was statistically significantly higher in subjects with hypoplasia and/or demarcated opacities as compared to subjects without DED or with diffuse opacities (p < 0.05).

Conclusions. The prevalence of DED in the examined population was low and comparable to those reported in regions without fluoridated water. The study confirmed that children affected by diffuse enamel opacities were less susceptible to dental caries, while demarcated opacities and hypoplasia should be considered important dental caries risk factors.

Key words: dental caries, tooth abnormalities, enamel hypoplasia, dental fluorosis

Introduction

Scientific research has demonstrated that ameloblasts, which are secretory cells that produce tooth enamel, are highly sensitive to changes in their environment. Since enamel is formed only during a certain period of tooth development, dysfunction of ameloblasts may lead to permanent morphological consequences, namely, developmental enamel defects (DED). Defective formation of the enamel matrix results in hypoplasia, a quantitative defect, presented as a reduced thickness of enamel. Defective calcification of an otherwise normal fully developed organic enamel matrix produces qualitatively defective enamel (hypomineralization). Clinically, enamel with hypomineralization has normal thickness, but changed translucency, which is presented as white, yellow, or brown diffuse, or demarcated opacities. Diffuse opacities spread over the enamel surface without a clearly defined margin, while demarcated opacities have distinct boundaries with the adjacent normal enamel.[1–4]

A very wide spectrum of etiological factors, including genetic, systemic, local, and environmental factors, may lead to the development of DED. Defects caused by genetic factors form a separate entity, usually affecting both the primary and permanent teeth. They are less common than those resulting from acquired causes. As far as environmental factors are concerned, fluoride exposure has been reported to be the main determinant of the prevalence of DED in the given population.[1,4] Several studies have also shown that teeth are very sensitive to the effects of dioxins, which arrest the degradation and removal of enamel matrix proteins.[5,6] Other etiological factors of DED include various metabolic disturbances, prematurity, irradiation, fever, infections, nutritional deficiencies, and direct traumas to cells, which lead to unfavorable changes in the environment of enamel-forming cells.[1,3,5]

Developmental enamel defects with a similar appearance are not necessarily caused by similar agents and the same insult can produce different defects depending on the stage of tooth development.[1] However, some types of DED are usually linked to specific etiological factors. The etiology of the diffuse opacities is generally thought to be associated with excessive fluoride exposure during tooth development.[2,7] Demarcated opacities and hypoplasia are related to systemic conditions influencing teeth development, such as infections, antibiotic therapy, nutritional deficiencies, low birth weight, and exposure to dioxins.[3,4,8,9] Also, the common causes of localized hypomineralization or hypoplasia in permanent dentition are chronic periapical infections and traumatic injuries within deciduous predecessors.[10,11]

Developmental enamel defects have a significant impact on oral health, compromising esthetics, increasing tooth sensitivity and altering occlusal functions. In addition, many studies have highlighted the possibility of some types of DED being important risk factors for caries and erosions of the hard dental tissues.[12–16] Enamel hypoplasia and demarcated opacities have been frequently reported to increase dental caries experience, due to the irregular, retentive surfaces leading to plaque accumulation and higher acid solubility of the affected enamel, respectively.[12,13,16]

Data concerning prevalence of enamel defects in Poland is sparse, since children are not routinely screened for DED in Polish national epidemiological surveys.

The aim of the present study was to assess the prevalence of various types of DED in permanent dentition and its association with dental caries in the population of Polish children living in the city of Poznań (Wielkopolskie Voivodeship, Poland).

Material and methods

The Ethical Committee of Poznan University of Medical Sciences granted its approval for this study (Resolution No. 466/10). The study involved the assessment of DED prevalence and dental caries experience in the city of Poznań (Wielkopolskie Voivodeship, Poland) and was a part of dental screening financed from the Poznań City Council's budget. Prior to the study, the parents of all children had received a letter about the examination and had been asked to give consent for their children participation in the investigation.

Schoolchildren of grades 1 and 6 (5–8-year-olds and 11–15-year-olds) were examined for DED and dental caries. Of the overall number of 8,165 1st- and 6th-grade children attending 81 public primary schools in Poznań, Poland, 5,634 children from 75 schools could enter the study. The remaining pupils were either not present on the day of the examination, or the school director or parents had not signed the consent for examination.

A total of 2,522 6th-grade children (1,343 girls and 1,179 boys) and 3,112 1st-grade children (1,572 girls and 1,540 boys) were examined, with 705 excluded, as they did not have permanent 1st molars fully erupted, leading to the final sample size of 4,929 children.

The mean age of the younger subjects was 6.9 ±0.4 years (± standard deviation (SD); range 5–8 years), while the mean age of the older subjects was 12.02 ±0.22 years (± SD; range 11–15 years).

According to the information from the Sanitary Inspection, the natural level of fluoride in the tap water in Poznań in 1995–2005, measured at various points of the water mains, ranged from 0.3 to 0.9 mg/L.

In Poland, parents and their children are subject to compulsory national health insurance. Professional oral health care for children is covered by this insurance. Since 2003 all primary schoolchildren have been included in the school program of supervised brushing with 1.25% fluoride gel (6 times per year).

Dental examinations were conducted in classrooms at the beginning of 2010 by 7 calibrated dentists from the

Department of Pediatric Dentistry of Poznan University of Medical Sciences. Subjects were examined under the following conditions: the child was seated in a chair, and an examiner stood in front of the chair with a headlamp, a mouth mirror and a WHO probe.

Developmental enamel defects were examined using the modified Developmental Defects of Enamel Index for screening surveys, recording the categories of demarcated and diffuse opacities and hypoplasia.[2] Teeth were inspected wet without previous professional cleaning. The status of the subject was defined according to the most severe defect seen in the subject. If a subject showed teeth with diffuse and demarcated opacities, they were designated as having demarcated opacities. Having teeth with opacities and teeth with hypoplasia was designated as having enamel hypoplasia. The prevalence of DED was determined by the inclusion of any individual who has been found to have at least 1 tooth affected by the condition.

The dental caries experience was assessed in accordance with the number of decayed (DT), missing (MT) and filled teeth (FT) calculated for all permanent teeth as the number decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT). Caries prevalence was calculated as a percentage of individuals with DMFT >0.

Caries diagnosis was carried out by visual and tactile examination, using an artificial light, a mouth mirror and a blunt dental probe. Active caries was recorded as present when the respective lesion showed an unmistakable cavity, undermined enamel or a detectably softened area. A probe was used to confirm the visual evidence of caries. Areas with visual evidence of demineralization, presenting no soft surface, were considered sound. A dental explorer was used for detecting the cavities on the proximal surfaces. Apart from that, if caries in dentine was visualized as a loss of translucency producing a shadow in a calculus-free and stain-free proximal surface, it was recorded as proximal decay, too. A tooth filled due to decay was recorded when a tooth had at least 1 permanent restoration placed to treat caries. Fissure sealants were not included in the FT component of the DMFT. The MT component was recorded when a tooth had been extracted due to caries complications (verified by interview).

Prior to the examination, a calibration exercise was conducted between examiners on a group of 30 patients,

apart from the main study. The inter- and intra-examiner concordances assessed with Cohen's kappa coefficient were all above 0.75.

Data analysis was performed with STATISTICA v. 12 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, USA) for Windows 10, assuming $p < 0.05$ as the level of statistical significance.

Statistical significance for differences between proportions was assessed using the χ^2 test.

After performing the Shapiro-Wilk normality test, a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess any differences in the mean DMFT, and its DT, MT and FT components of different DED groups. If a statistical difference was detected, post hoc non-parametric multiple comparisons of mean ranks procedures were used to compare individual pairs of means. In order to compare the risk of having caries between different DED groups, the odds ratio (OR) was calculated.

Results

Table 1 shows the prevalence of various types of DED as well as the effects of gender and age on the prevalence of enamel defects.

Out of the 4,929 children in the study, 475 children (9.6%) had at least 1 enamel defect of permanent dentition. Overall, the prevalence of DED in the older group was statistically significantly higher as compared to prevalence of DED in younger children (p = 0.0000). The presence of DED was not significantly associated with gender (p > 0.05).

No cases of generalized enamel defects, suggestive of genetically determined amelogenesis imperfecta, were detected in this study.

The prevalence of enamel hypoplasia was statistically significantly lower as compared to the prevalence of both types of opacities (p < 0.001). The percentage of subjects having diffuse and demarcated opacity was similar (3.7 vs 4.0, respectively).

Table 2 summarizes dental caries indices in 2 age groups according to different DED variables. Statistically significant differences were detected in both age groups. In the younger group, Kruskall-Wallis ANOVA detected statistically significant differences between DMFT, DT and FT

Table 1. Number of subjects (n) with hypoplasia, demarcated opacities and diffuse opacities in both age groups and both genders

Type of DED	Hypoplasia		Demarcated opacity		Diffuse opacity		Without DED		χ² test (subjects with DED vs subjects without DED)
Subjects	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	p-value
1st-graders	46	1.9	64	2.7	73	3.0	2,224	92.4	0.0000
6th-graders	48	1.9	135	5.4	109	4.3	2,230	88.4	
Females	48	1.9	110	4.3	102	4.0	2,318	89.9	0.2639
Males	46	2.0	89	3.8	80	3.4	2,136	90.9	
Total	94[a]	1.9	199	4.0	182	3.7	4,454	90.4	

DED – developmental enamel defects; [a] p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects with demarcated opacity or diffuse opacity.

numbers in groups affected by different types of DED (p < 0.0001; p = 0.0001; p = 0.0181, respectively). Post hoc non-parametric multiple comparisons of mean ranks confirmed this statistically significant difference only for DMFT of subjects affected by demarcated opacities and/or hypoplasia, as compared to subjects without DED. In 6th-graders, post hoc tests confirmed statistically significant differences between DMFT, DT and FT numbers of various DED groups with subjects affected by diffuse opacities having generally the lowest caries indices and subjects with enamel hypoplasia and/or demarcated opacity having the highest caries indices.

In both age groups, dental caries prevalence was statistically significantly higher in subjects with hypoplasia and/or demarcated opacity as compared to subjects without DED or with diffuse opacities (p < 0.05).

Estimation of the OR showed that children with demarcated or hypoplastic defects had several folds higher risk of having caries compared with those without DED (OR = 2.83 for 1st-graders, OR = 2.51 for 6th-graders) or those who had diffuse opacities (OR = 2.31 for 1st-graders and OR = 4.24 for 6th-graders). Older subjects without DED had a higher risk of having caries as compared to those with diffuse opacities (OR = 1.69).

Discussion

The overall prevalence of DED in permanent dentition (9.6% of the affected subjects) is at the lower end of the findings from other studies carried out in areas with and without fluoridated drinking water. Similar rates of prevalence were observed in children residing in low fluoride area of Naples (Italy) and Campeche (Mexico) (9.8% and 7.5%, respectively), while much higher figures were reported during a multicenter epidemiological study (from 43% in Greece to 70% in the Netherlands) and in a population of 14-year-old boys in Saudi Arabia (75%).[17–20]

Examinations of 1st-grade and 6th-grade children in the Śrem Commune (Wielkopolskie Voivodeship, Poland), where the level of fluoride in the drinking water ranges from 0.1 to 0.4 mg/L, revealed 25.7% of the subjects to have at least 1 permanent 1st molar or incisor affected by DED.[21]

Prevalence rates of DED in areas with water fluoridation ranged from 26.1% for residents of the city of Araraquara, Brazil, up to 92.1% for children in Hong Kong.[22–23]

Researchers examining fluoridated communities reported a higher prevalence of diffuse defects, which should be attributed to the higher fluoride exposure of children during critical periods of enamel formation. In the study by Arrow et al. (2008), 47% of 7-year-old schoolchildren from the fluoridated region of Western Australia had permanent 1st molars affected by white diffuse opacities and in the study by Milsom et al. (1996), 40% of 8–9-year-olds from fluoridated Cheshire (UK) had diffuse defects on the permanent incisors.[24–25]

In Poland, drinking water is not artificially fluoridated. In most of the country, fluoride concentration in drinking water is below 0.3 mg/L, although in some localities it exceeds 1.0 mg/L.[26] Fluoride content in the water of the city of Poznań in 1995–2005 ranged from 0.3 to 0.9 mg/L,

Table 2. Dental caries prevalence (%) of permanent dentition, DMFT numbers in various DED groups of younger and older subjects

	Subjects without DED	Subjects with demarcated opacities or hypoplasia	Subjects with diffuse opacities	p-value (non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA)
1st-grade children				
N	2,224	110	73	
DMFT	0.17 ±0.59	0.38 ±0.80[a]	0.18 ±0.51	0.0000
DT	0.11 ±0.45	0.28 ±0.73	0.03 ±0.16	0.0001
MT	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	NA
FT	0.06 ±0.35	0.10 ±0.41	0.15 ±0.49	0.0181
Caries prevalence	10.30	24.55[b]	12.33	NA
6th-grade children				
N	2,230	183	109	
DMFT	1.50 ±1.78	2.15 ±1.88[c]	1.04 ±1.47[d]	0.0000
DT	0.56 ±1.17	0.67 ±1.25	0.14 ±0.37[e]	0.0002
MT	0.02 ±0.16	0.03 ±0.21	0.02 ±0.19	0.4352
FT	0.91 ±1.36	1.45 ±1.65[f]	0.88 ±1.43	0.0000
Caries prevalence	57.94[h]	77.60[g]	44.95	NA

ANOVA – analysis of variance; OR – odds ratio; DED – developmental enamel defects; N – number of subjects; DMFT – number of decayed, missing and filled teeth; DT – number of decayed teeth; MT – number of missing teeth; FT – number of filled teeth; [a] p = 0.0371 as compared to subjects without DED; [b] p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects without DED (OR = 2.83), p = 0.0418 as compared to subjects with diffuse opacities (OR = 2.31); [c] p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects without DED and subjects with diffuse opacities; [d] p = 0.0216 as compared to subjects without DED, p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects with demarcated opacities or hypoplasia; [e] p = 0.0087 as compared to subjects without DED, p = 0.0048 as compared to subjects with demarcated opacities or hypoplasia; [f] p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects without DED, p = 0.0015 as compared to subjects with diffuse opacities; [g] p = 0.0000 as compared to subjects without DED (OR = 2.51) and subjects with diffuse opacities (OR = 4.24); [h] p = 0.0075 (OR = 1.69) as compared to subjects with diffuse opacities; NA – not applicable; OR – odds ratio.

which is close to WHO recommendations on the optimal fluoride level in the drinking water (0.5–1.0 mg/L).[27] Apart from drinking water, the most common source of fluoride in Poland is toothpaste with an age-related concentrations between 250 and 1500 mg/L. Dietary fluoride supplements are available only on prescription and intended for use by children living in nonfluoridated areas.

In the present study, the prevalence of diffuse opacities (3.7%) was lower as compared to those reported in the drinking water of other communities with similar fluoride content, which suggests that total fluoride exposure of most examined children did not exceed limits above which fluorosis may develop. However, children in this study were examined at schools, under headlamp lighting and without previous cleaning of the teeth, which could significantly affect the number of detected anomalies. It must be remembered that wide variations of reported values of DED prevalence in the literature might be attributed to the differences in the study conditions, as well as the use of various terminologies and diagnostic criteria to describe the enamel defects. The diagnosis of DED can be influenced by the type of light source used for the examination, brushing or drying of teeth before the examination, additional photographs taken, as well as examination of only a particular group of teeth or the whole dentition.[15,18]

As far as the environmental risk factors of demarcated opacities are concerned, studies of Alaluusua et al. have shown that polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans in mother's milk may cause hypomineralization defects in the child's permanent 1st molar teeth, while other studies did not confirm this association.[5,28] Nevertheless, Jaraczewska et al. proved that the levels of dioxin-like compounds in the human milk samples from Wielkopolskie Voivodeship are lower than those reported in other European countries.[29]

Regarding gender, studies are in conflict as to whether boys or girls are more affected by DED.[21,30,31] Our present study failed to demonstrate any significant difference in the prevalence of DED between girls and boys.

Differences in the prevalence of DED in both age groups could be explained from the biological point of view: given that as age increases, more permanent teeth erupt, the probability of finding teeth with DED also increases. On the other hand, in the older group, some enamel defects might be masked by dental caries and large restorations.[18,21]

As far as dental caries risk factors are concerned, the results of the majority of studies have so far been consistent in showing a positive relationship between caries and hypoplasia and/or demarcated enamel opacities, and results of the present study concur with these previous findings.[13,16,30,32] With regard to diffuse opacities, epidemiological surveys revealed controversial results on their association with dental caries. According to many studies, caries prevalence tends to be reduced with increasing fluoride exposure during dentition development and

fluoride-opacities are accompanied by lower dental caries prevalence.[17,33–36] However, an opposite trend was also reported.[37] The results of the current study revealed that in an older group of the subjects, diffuse opacities were associated with significantly lower dental caries prevalence and intensity.

The limitations of the study include: involvement of all children who had permission for examination (not only lifetime residents of Poznań), examination of teeth without previous cleaning (which might have affected examiners' ability to detect abnormalities), and assigning subjects to particular group of DED on the basis of the most severe defect seen in the permanent dentition of the subject (lack of information about teeth and surfaces affected by DED).

However, the results clearly indicate that fluoride exposure of the majority of examined children did not exceed limits above which visible enamel fluorosis may develop. Moreover, children affected by diffuse enamel opacities turned out to be less susceptible to dental caries, while demarcated opacities and hypoplasia significantly increased the risk of caries.

It must be remembered that the determination of risk and protective factors for dental caries development in Polish children is important, since dental caries indices in the Polish children are at the high end of those reported for other European countries.[38]

Conclusions

The prevalence of DED in examined population was comparable to those reported in regions without fluoridated water. The study confirmed that children affected by diffuse enamel opacities were less susceptible to dental caries, while demarcated opacities and hypoplasia should be considered important dental caries risk factors. The findings emphasize the need for continuous promotion of the proper use of fluorides, as well as intensification of dental caries prevention in patients affected by caries-prone enamel defects.

References

1. Anthonappa NM, Robert PP. Enamel defects in the permanent dentition: Prevalence and etiology. In: Drummond BK, Kilpatrick N, eds. *Book Planning and Care for Children and Adolescents with Dental Enamel Defects Etiology, Research and Contemporary Management.* 1st ed. Berlin and Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 2015:15–30.
2. Clarkson J, O'Mullane D. A modified DDE index for use in epidemiological studies of enamel defects. *J Dent Res.* 1989;68:445–450.
3. Crombie F, Manton D, Kilpatrick N. Aetiology of molar–incisor hypomineralization: A critical review. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2009;19:73–83.
4. Wong HM. Aetiological factors for developmental defects of enamel. *Austin J Anat.* 2014;1:1003. http://austinpublishinggroup.com/anatomy/fulltext/Anatomy-v1-id1003.php. Accessed April 17, 2017.
5. Alaluusua S, Lukinmaa PL, Vartiainen T, Partanen M, Torppa J, Tuomisto J. Polychlorinated dibenzo-para-dioxins and dibenzofurans via mother's milk may cause developmental defects in the child's teeth. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 1996;1(3):193–197.

6. Gao Y, Sahlberg C, Kiukkonen A, et al. Lactational exposure of Han/Wistar rats to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin interferes with enamel maturation and retards dentin mineralization. *J Dent Res*. 2004;83(2):139–144.

7. Seow WK, Ford D, Kazoullis S, Newman B, Holcombe T. Comparison of enamel defects in the primary and permanent dentitions of children from a low-fluoride district in Australia. *Pediatr Dent*. 2011;33(3):207–212.

8. Arrow P. Risk factors in the occurrence of enamel defects of the first permanent molars among schoolchildren in Western Australia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2009;37(5):405–415.

9. Nelson S, Albert JM, Lombardi G, et al. Dental caries and enamel defects in very low birth weight adolescents. *Caries Res*. 2010;44(6):509–518.

10. Broadbent JM, Thomson WM, Williams SM. Does caries in primary teeth predict enamel defects in permanent teeth? A longitudinal study. *J Dent Res*. 2005;84(3):260–264.

11. De Amorim LF, Estrela C, Da Costa LR. Effects of traumatic dental injuries to primary teeth on permanent teeth – a clinical follow-up study. *Dent Traumatol*. 2011;27(2):117–121.

12. Farsi N. Developmental enamel defects and their association with dental caries in preschoolers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. 2010;8(1):85–92.

13. Hong L, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Broffitt B. Association between enamel hypoplasia and dental caries in primary second molars: A cohort study. *Caries Res*. 2009;43(5):345–352.

14. Kazoullis S, Seow WK, Holcombe T, Newman B, Ford D. Common dental conditions associated with dental erosion in schoolchildren in Australia. *Pediatr Dent*. 2007;29(1):33–39.

15. Lunardelli SE, Pérez MA. Prevalence and distribution of developmental enamel defects in the primary dentition of pre-school children. *Braz Oral Res*. 2005;19(2):144–149.

16. Vargas-Ferreira F, Salas MMS, Nascimento GG, et al. Association between developmental defects of enamel and dental caries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Dent*. 2015;43(6):619–628.

17. Angelillo IF, Romano F, Fortunato L, Montanaro D. Prevalence of dental caries and enamel defects in children living in areas with different water fluoride concentrations. *Community Dent Health*. 1990;7(3):229–236.

18. Casanova-Rosado AJ, Medina-Solís CE, Casanova-Rosado JF, et al. Association between developmental enamel defects in the primary and permanent dentitions. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*. 2011;12(3):155–158.

19. Rugg-Gunn AJ, Al-Mohammadi SM, Butler TJ. Effects of fluoride level in drinking water, nutritional status, and socio-economic status on the prevalence of developmental defects of dental enamel in permanent teeth in Saudi 14-year-old boys. *Caries Res*. 1997;31(4):259–267.

20. Cochran JA, Ketley CE, Arnadottir IB, et al. A comparison of the prevalence of fluorosis in 8-year-old children from seven European study sites using a standardized methodology. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2004;32(Suppl 1):28–33.

21. Opydo-Szymaczek J, Gerreth K. Developmental enamel defects of the permanent first molars and incisors and their association with dental caries in the region of Wielkopolska, Western Poland. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. 2015;13(5):461–469.

22. Dini EL, Holt RD, Bedi R. Prevalence of caries and developmental defects of enamel in 9–10-year-old children living in areas in Brazil with differing water fluoride histories. *Br Dent J*. 2000;188(3):146–149.

23. Wong HM, McGrath C, Lo EC, King NM. Association between developmental defects of enamel and different concentrations of fluoride in the public water supply. *Caries Res*. 2006;40(6):481–486.

24. Arrow P. Prevalence of developmental enamel defects of the permanent first molars among school children in Western Australia. *Aust Dent J*. 2008;53(3):250–259.

25. Milsom KM, Woodward M, Haran D, Lennon MA. Enamel defects in the deciduous dentition as a potential predictor of defects in the permanent dentition of 8- and 9-year-old children in fluoridated Cheshire, England. *J Dent Res*. 1996;75(4):1015–1018.

26. Borysewicz-Lewicka M, Opydo-Szymaczek J. Fluoride in Polish drinking water and the possible risk of dental fluorosis. *Pol J Environ Stud*. 2016;25(1):9–15.

27. WHO. Fluorides and Oral Health: Report of a WHO Expert Committee on oral health status and fluoride use. In: *WHO Technical Report Series No. 846*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO;1994:18.

28. Kuscu OO, Caglar E, Aslan S, Durmusoglu E, Karademir A, Sandalli N. The prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) in a group of children in a highly polluted urban region and a windfarm-green energy island. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2009;19(3):176–185.

29. Jaraczewska K, Lulek J, Covaci A, et al. Distribution of polychlorinated biphenyls, organochlorine pesticides and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human umbilical cord serum, maternal serum and milk from Wielkopolska region, Poland. *Sci Total Environ*. 2006;372(1):20–31.

30. Slayton RL, Warren JJ, Kanellis MJ, Levy SM, Islam M. Prevalence of enamel hypoplasia and isolated opacities in the primary dentition. *Pediatr Dent*. 2001;23(1):32–36.

31. Tapias-Ledesma MA, Jiménez R, Lamas F, et al. Factors associated with first molar dental enamel defects: A multivariate epidemiological approach. *J Dent Child (Chic)*. 2003;70(3):215–220.

32. Leppaniemi A, Lukinmaa PL, Alaluusua S. Nonfluoride hypomineralizations in the permanent first molars and their impact on the treatment need. *Caries Res*. 2001;35(1):36–40.

33. Anuradha B, Laxmi GS, Sudhakar P, et al. Prevalence of dental caries among 13 and 15-year-old school children in an endemic fluorosis area: A cross-sectional study. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2011;12:447–450.

34. Iida H, Kumar JV. The association between enamel fluorosis and dental caries in U.S. schoolchildren. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2009;140(7):855–862.

35. McGrady MG, Ellwood RP, Maguire A, Goodwin M, Boothman N, Pretty IA. The association between social deprivation and the prevalence and severity of dental caries and fluorosis in populations with and without water fluoridation. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:1122. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-1122. Accessed April 17, 2017.

36. Szpunar SM, Burt BA. Dental caries, fluorosis, and fluoride exposure in Michigan schoolchildren. *J Dent Res*. 1988;67(5):802–806.

37. Wondwossen F, Astrøm AN, Bjorvatn K, Bardsen A. The relationship between dental caries and dental fluorosis in areas with moderate- and high-fluoride drinking water in Ethiopia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2004;32(5):337–344.

38. Emerich K, Adamowicz-Klepalska B. Trends in dental caries experience among children and adolescents in northern Poland between 1995 and 2003. *Community Dent Health*. 2010;27(4):218–221.