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Abstract

Background. Dioxins contribute to neurological disorders in humans and animals, causing also neurological disorders in offspring during prenatal and postnatal periods. These compounds significantly affect the development of the central nervous system (CNS) structures, which results in behavioral changes. Tocopherol (TCP) and acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) may provide protective measures to reduce the inflammatory effects in the CNS associated with free radicals generated by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), thus contributing to the reduction of the negative effects of dioxin.

Objectives. The main objective of this study was to determine the influence of dioxin on rats and their behavioral functions, and to ascertain whether a combined administration of TCP and ASA to rats treated with TCDD shows the possibility of potential protective effect on the functioning of the CNS.

Material and methods. Experiments were performed on 75 female and 12 male Buffalo strain rats, which are offspring of females from particular study groups. TCDD was used in the experiments, TCP and ASA were administered orally every day for 3 weeks. Animals were subjected to behavioral testing: the tail and swimming tests.

Results. During the observation of the offspring of both sexes born to females exposed to TCDD, males did not demonstrate any attempt to swim, whereas in females, the immobility time was significantly extended. Assessing the response times from the tail test in the animals treated with dioxins in relation to the control group, it was demonstrated that the response time was extended in the 3rd measurement in both females and males.

Conclusions. Dioxin is characterized by neurotoxic effect causing behavioral disorders associated with prolonged response times. The use of TCP after the administration of dioxins causes a significant reduction and improvement of reflex response times. In contrast, ASA reduces the reflex response times also in the offspring of females exposed to TCDD and ASA.
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Introduction

Dioxins due to lipophilicity are mostly deposited in adipose tissue and nerve tissue. The rationale for the study was to ascertain depression in patients intoxicated with dioxins, treated with antidepressants. In experimental studies on animals treated with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), behavioral disorders connected with reduced activity and apathy were observed.

Dioxin and related compounds are the cause of neurological disorders in humans and experimental animals as well as their offspring in the perinatal period after exposure to these compounds through the placenta and milk in prenatal and postnatal periods. Dioxins significantly affect brain development in the prenatal and postnatal periods associated with the time of breastfeeding by mothers intoxicated with dioxins. Changes caused by dioxins in the central nervous system (CNS) in pre- and postnatal periods lead to later changes in life. Functional disorders of the brain structures, including the cerebellum and diencephalon, should also be associated with changes in thyroid hormone levels and estrogen receptors (ER) induced by dioxins.

It was shown that dioxins lead to the underdevelopment of the bones and teeth in both humans and animals as a result of mineralization disorders. It was found that after birth there is a significant concentration of dioxins in the breast milk, correlating negatively with a newborn head circumference. This indicates that brain development of the fetus can be significantly affected by maternal exposure to TCDD, which, together with milk, provides for the fetus of the bones and teeth in both humans and animals.

In patients intoxicated with dioxins, there were observed: severe depression, apathy and reduced physical activity. This should be associated with the anti-estrogenic effects of TCDD. Experimental studies in animals treated with TCDD by other authors also found behavioral disorders associated with decreased motility, apathy and circadian rhythm disruption. Studies in rainbow trout revealed that exposure to TCDD induces biochemical and structural changes in the eye and brain, leading to behavioral deficits and reduced efficiency.

Tocopherol (TCP) reduces the contact of TCDD with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) blocking the formation of CYP1A1, thereby reducing the amount of generated free radicals. In this case, a TCP beneficial effect is manifested in selected biochemical indicators of the rats blood exposed to TCDD in which TCP is used in high doses. According to Hassoun et al., there are areas in the brain which are less or more reactive to the active oxygen species. Areas sensitive to the effects of free radicals generated by TCDD include the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, and brain stem. It was shown that administration of vitamin E reduced the secretion of reactive oxygen species (ROS) induced by TCDD; at the same time it showed the protective properties of the brain structures. A significant increase in tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) in mice treated with TCDD was also noted. In turn, the increase in the level of TNF-α is responsible for lethargy and anorexia. In experiments where high doses of TCP were used, the concentration of TNF-α significantly decreased, regardless of experimentally induced pleuritis.

Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), in addition to the antiprostaglandin effect in inflammatory reactions, decreases the production of free radicals, nitric oxide synthesis, and inhibits the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF, IL-1, IL-6 through the inhibition of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB). ASA also blocks COX-1 and COX-2. It was found that ASA also protects the brain structures against ROS. A study conducted by Mahara et al. confirmed that the use of ASA in a daily dose of 100 mg per kg body weight (b.w.) inhibited the production of superoxide anions and lipid peroxidation products, thereby protecting the hippocampal neurons of a rat.

The studies by McDonald et al. showed that salicylamide reduces the binding of TCDD to cytosolic AhR. It is concluded that this agent is a potent inhibitor of AhR and it blocks signal transduction initiated by the exposure to TCDD. The reason for the use of ASA as a protective substance in dioxin intoxication may be supported by the results of other studies, which found that ASA through the release of glutamate from nerve terminals has a presynaptic effect in the tail test, associated with the reduction of subsequent times. This leads to the phenomenon known as facilitation associated with facilitating neuromuscular transmission, and thus leading to faster reflex responses.

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of dioxins on rats behavioral functions and to check whether the combined administration of TCP and ASA to rats treated with TCDD shows the potential of protective action of these compounds on the functioning of CNS in relation to the effects achieved by a single application of each of these compounds in the evaluation with the use of behavioral testing.

Material and methods

Animals

Experiments were performed on 117 rats: 75 females and 12 males of Buffalo strain, weighing 140–160 g, 8–10 weeks of age, and 30 females aged 6 weeks and weighing 120 g which were offspring of females from particular study groups listed below. All animals received humane care in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NRC 2011). All experiments were performed according to guidelines for the experimentation on animals. The study was approved by the Local Ethics Council for Animal Experiments (No. 38/2009). Animals were housed in air-conditioned rooms characterized...
by 15 air changes per hour at a temperature of 22°C, humidity of 55% and a 12/12 cycle of daylight. The rats were kept in polystyrene cages (6/cage), with free access to water and nutritious food Labofeed H dedicated for laboratory and breeding animals.

**TCDD administration**

In the experiments, 2,3,7,8-tetra-p-dioxin (Sigma Chemical Co. – Sigma-Aldrich Ltd., Poland) was used, administered at a dose of 5 μg/mL b.w. and 12.5 μg/kg b.w. dissolved in a 1% solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 1 μg/mL. TCDD was administered intramuscularly in the hind limb muscles in a volume dependent on the body weight, i.e., 0.7–0.8 mL, or 1.6–1.8 mL for administration of TCDD in a dose of 12.5 μg/kg b.w. The studies used α-TCP acetate in an oily solution, administered daily for 3 weeks at a dose of 30 mg/kg b.w., subcutaneously (s.c.) in a volume of 0.2 mL and ASA administered orally (gavage) in the form of suspension in the starch solution at a dose of 50 mg/kg b.w., in a volume of 0.5 mL daily for 3 weeks. Induced pleurisy was induced with a single injection of 1% carrageenan solution into the pleural cavity between 5th and 6th right intercostal space in a volume of 0.15 mL in the experimental model worked out previously.

**Behavioral tests**

Animals were subjected to behavioral tests, such as the tail test and the swimming test, performed in accordance with the applicable procedures. In both tests, the response time was measured with the use of calibrated metal electronic stopwatch Spokey Quary 2.

The swimming test involved placing a rat in a cylinder shaped container of 40 cm height and a diameter of 18 cm filled with water at 25°C for 5 min. The aim of the study was to measure the time of immobility, i.e., the time that the test rat spends without any movement and to describe intensity of swimming scoring from 0 to 3 points: no swimming – 0 points, intensive swimming – 3 points.

The tail test consisted in placing the tail of the test subject in a plastic tube with a hole for a tail, which was then immersed in a glass container filled with water at a constant controlled temperature of 58°C. The time which was measured was the time from the moment of tail insertion to its drawing from water by the rat. The longer the response time, the less energetic and active a rat was. To determine the dynamics of rat responses, the measures of response times in the tail test in each animal were performed 3 times at intervals of 60 s.

The swimming test and the response time were performed in the following groups:

- **1A** – a control group C (F) of 6 females not exposed to effects of any agents;
- **1B** – a control group C (M) of 6 males not exposed to effects of any agents;
- **2** – TCDD group (F) of 6 females treated with TCDD (i.m.) 3 weeks prior to the study (F);
- **3** – TCDD group (M) of 6 males treated with TCDD (i.m.) 3 weeks prior to the study;
- **4** – TCDD + TCP group (F) of 6 females treated with TCDD (i.m.) and α-TCP acetate 3 weeks prior to the study;
- **5** – TCDD + ASA group (F) of 6 females treated with TCDD (i.m.) and ASA 3 weeks prior to the study;
- **6** – TCDD + TCP + ASA group (F) of 6 females treated with TCDD (i.m.) 3 weeks prior to the study and daily with α-TCP acetate and ASA;
- **7** – TCP group (F) of 6 females treated daily with α-TCP acetate for the period of 3 weeks;
- **8** – ASA group (F) of 6 females treated daily with ASA for the period of 3 weeks.

The tail test, which measured the response times, was also used in the study of 6 weeks old offspring born to females from the above groups:

- **1P** – a control group PC of 6 randomly selected females from offspring born to 6 females from C group (F);
- **2P** – PTCDD group of 6 randomly selected females from offspring born to 6 females from TCDD group (F);
- **3P** – PTCDD + TCP group of 6 randomly selected females from offspring born to 6 females from TCDD + TCP group (F);
- **4P** – PTCDD + ASA group of 6 randomly selected females from offspring born to 6 females from TCDD + ASA group (F);
- **5P** – PTCDD + TCP + ASA group of 6 randomly selected females from offspring born to 6 females from TCDD + TCP + ASA group (F).

**Statistical analysis**

The data was processed with the use of EXEL spreadsheet and the package STATISTICA PL v. 9. The results of the swimming test measurements and response times in particular groups of the studied subjects were presented as mean values with standard deviations. The analysis of hypothesis on normality of distribution of variables was verified with the use of the Shapiro-Wilk test and David-Hellwig test at the significance level of 0.05. The obtained values from the 2 subgroups were compared using the Student’s t-test for unmatched pairs. The level of significance was set at \( p = 0.05 \). The influence of one factor on the results of performed measurements was examined using the analysis of variance by repeated measures ANOVA. If the analysis of variance did not reveal the significance in differences between the analyzed mean values in subgroups, no further tests were carried out. When the null hypothesis of equality of means in subgroups was rejected in the analysis of variance (\( p < 0.05 \)), the significance of differences between the means of particular subgroups was studied using post-hoc tests (multiple comparisons) – NIR test (least significant differences) and Duncan test.
If the distribution of the studied parameter in one of the subgroups did not meet the assumptions of ANOVA, non-parametric alternative to ANOVA test was applied, the Kruskal-Wallis test.

**Results**

**Results of the tail test in adult subjects**

A comparison of subsequent response times in the control group C (F) to the response times in TCDD group (F) did not show statistically significant differences in the first 2 measurements; this difference occurred in the 3rd measurement. The statistical evaluation of response times from the control group of females C (F) showed that the response time evaluated with a tail test in 3 subsequent measurements did not change significantly. The reaction time in the control group C (M) of males slightly shortened with each measurement. In the 1st measurement of response times in control groups C (F) and C (M), there appears a statistically significant difference associated with a longer reaction time in males. In the 2nd measurement, the response time in the group C (M) is longer than in C (F); however, this difference is not statistically significant. Mean response times for the control group of females and males C (M) and C (F) showed no statistically significant difference (Fig. 1, 2).

A comparison of the control group C (M) with the TCDD (M) group showed a statistically significant difference only in the 3rd measurement, in which the response time in animals treated with a dioxin was extended. In TCDD (F) group, the extension of subsequent response times was observed (Fig. 1), where the 3rd measurement revealed a significant statistical difference in relation to the 1st measurement. The similar dynamics was noted in TCDD (M) group, however, with no statistically significant difference (Tables 1–3). A comparison between the obtained times from different groups TCDD (F) and TCDD (M) showed no statistically significant differences (Fig. 1).

The conclusion after comparing the response times of TCDD (F) group to TCDD + TCP (F) and TCDD + ASA (F) groups was that all response times in these groups were shortened, but a statistically significant difference occurred only in the 3rd measurement in both groups. The conclusion after comparing the response time of TCDD (F) group to TCDD + TCP + ASA (F) group was that all response times in these groups were shortened; however, a statistically significant difference occurred in the 2nd and 3rd measurement in both groups. The conclusion after comparing the response times of TCDD + TCP + ASA (F) group to TCDD +TCP (F) and TCDD + ASA (F) groups was that a statistically significant reduction in response time was observed in the 1st measurement for TCDD + TCP (F) group. Comparing the response time of K (F) group to the response times of TCP (F)
Table 1. Significance of differences between the groups in the T1 measurement of the tail test (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>1A</th>
<th>1B</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test; p < 0.05.

Table 2. Significance of differences between the groups in the T2 measurement of the tail test (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>1A</th>
<th>1B</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test; p < 0.05.

Table 3. Significance of differences between the groups in the T3 measurement of the tail test (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>1A</th>
<th>1B</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test; p < 0.05.

Results of the tail test in offspring groups

The analysis of response times was conducted with the use of the tail test on 6 weeks old female offspring born to females treated with dioxins: TCDD (F) group, the group in which TCP was used: TCP + TCDD (F), and the group also treated with ASA: TCDD + ASA (F).

The offspring response time in the tail test in PTCDD group in the 2nd measurement was significantly extended...
and characterized by a high standard deviation, however PTCDD + TCP, and PTCDD + ASA and PTCDD + TCP + ASA were characterized by a reduced response time in 3 subsequent measurements, which statistically differed significantly from the control group C and PTCDD (Tables 4–6; Fig. 5, 6). The corresponding groups of females which gave birth to the studied offspring TCDD + TCP (F), TCDD + ASA (F) and TCDD + TCP + ASA (F) in the 2nd and 3rd measurement of response times its reduction was observed, similarly to the response of their offspring described above (Fig. 5, 6). The response time in T1 offspring of rats from the control group C and PTCDD group is significantly longer than in other groups (p < 0.001). The response time in T2 offspring of the rats from the control group C and PTCDD group is significantly longer than in other groups (p < 0.05). The response time T3 offspring of the rats from the control group C and PTCDD group is significantly longer than in other groups (p < 0.05).

Analyzing the response time, based on the tail test, in the offspring born to females exposed to TCDD which were given ASA (PTCDD + ASA), for 3 weeks prior to pregnancy, similarly to adult females from this group, a statistically significant reduction of response time was found in each of the 3 measurements in relation to the offspring group born to females treated with TCDD only. This response is similar to that observed in the offspring group born to females from TCDD + TCP group.

The analysis of the combined use of ASA and TCP in the tail test on animals exposed to TCDD, which induced the inflammatory reaction, showed a reduction in response times at the 2nd and 3rd measurement in relation to TCDD (F) group. As to TCDD + TCP (F) group, the response time was reduced in the 1st measurement, but a general conclusion after analyzing the response times in this group is that they were shorter than in TCDD + TCP (F) and TCDD + ASA (F) groups. The offspring group of females exposed to TCDD, which were treated with TCP and ASA before pregnancy, also demonstrated a reduction of 3 response times in relation to all studied offspring groups. The swimming test in TCDD + TCP + ASA (F) group showed that, at the beginning, the swimming was accelerated and the immobility period in this group was significantly longer than in TCDD + TCP (F) and TCDD + ASA (F) groups. The immobility period, however, was shorter and intensity of swimming was higher compared to TCDD (F) group.

Fig. 2. Statistical analysis of mean response times [s] obtained between the groups of males and females from the control group and after TCDD administration

Fig. 3. Statistical analysis of mean response times [s] obtained between the control group C (F) and ASA (F)

Fig. 4. Statistical analysis of mean response times [s] obtained between the control group C (F) TCP (F)
Results of the swimming test

The swimming test in the control group C (F) and (M) showed a statistically significant difference between males and females, finding an extended immobility period in females. In animals treated with dioxins, the comparison between a group of TCDD males (M) and TCDD females (F) indicates statistically significant differences. Males from this group TCDD (M) did not make attempts to swim, showing a tendency to drown. In females from TCDD (F) group, the immobility time was significantly extended in relation to TCDD + TCP (F) and TCDD + ASA (F) groups. The results in this group showed a greater degree of deviation from the mean value in relation to the control group C (M). Analyzing the swimming test results in TCDD + ASA (F) group, there was no period of immobility observed and the swimming activity was intensified. The comparison of the control group C (F) to ASA (F) group and TCP (F) shows a statistically significant reduction in immobility periods in TCP (F) and ASA (F) groups. The swimming time in TCDD + TCP + ASA (F) group was reduced in relation to TCDD (F) group (Table 6; Fig. 7, 8).

Discussion

In our study, behavioral changes were observed in rats exposed to dioxins in terms of reduced physical activity, apathy and reduced food intake, often leading to cachexia and reduction in the concentration of plasma proteins. These findings are confirmed by studies of other authors.41–43
This type of behavior was also observed in the offspring of rats born to females treated with TCDD.\textsuperscript{18,20} During the observation of offspring of both sexes born to females exposed to TCDD, males did not demonstrate any attempt to swim, whereas in females, the immobility time was significantly extended. This behavior supports the occurrence of depressive changes, and is associated with the lack of motivation to swim. Assessing the response times from the tail test in the animals treated with dioxins in relation to the control group, it was demonstrated that the response time was extended in the 3rd measurement in both females and males, which is the indicator of the extension of time and route of nerve impulses conduction. This is also supported by the extension of subsequent response times. Both reflex and behavioral function disorders should be explained by the formation of free radicals affecting the CNS and higher levels of pro-inflammatory interleukins (IL-1 and II-6), and especially TNF, whose increased concentration in inflammation induces appetite disorders and apathy which was proven in numerous studies.\textsuperscript{2,42,44,45}

Unusual behavior resulting from the influence that dioxins exert on the body, comparable with the results obtained in our study, was found in polar bears. Studies by Sonne et al. and Verreault et al. revealed that the cause of numerous disorders in the reproductive tract of both sexes is a significant concentration of dioxins accumulated in the bodies of mammals.\textsuperscript{46,47} Many studies found that estrogens affect cognition, memory, mood, behavior, emotional reactions, pain, posture, balance, and movement.\textsuperscript{7} In view of the above, it should be believed that the unusual behavior of people intoxicated with dioxins (rats in this study, studies on polar bears) is associated with disturbances in the metabolism of estrogen, as it was also observed in the studies by other authors.\textsuperscript{27,28,48,49}

The evaluation of response time of animals treated with dioxins and TCP: TCDD + TCP (F) showed the reduction in the response time in the tail test in relation to the group which was given only TCDD (F). Measurements of the 3 subsequent response times in TCDD + TCP (F) group demonstrated a reduction of all 3 times against each other, implying the improvement of reflex responses.

Measurements of response times in the tail test of an offspring 6 weeks of age born to females exposed to TCDD (PTCDD + TCP), which were given TCP for 3 weeks before pregnancy, also showed the same change trends as their mother, which demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in responses in all 3 measurements.

These observations suggest that TCP administered to females before pregnancy induces lower intoxication of their offspring with this dioxin from mothers, and less damage to the CNS in the offspring.

Similarly, the swimming test in female subjects exposed to TCDD and treated with TCP showed, in TCDD groups, in terms of intensity level, a significant reduction in the immobility period, which proves the improvement of reflex reaction and reduction of depressive reaction. The improving effect obtained after a long-term use of TCP in both tests can be justified by a protective role of this vitamin on the phospholipids of cell membranes, mitochondrial membranes, as well as reduced activity of free radicals and nitric oxide, and lower level of TNF concentration, which is also confirmed by studies of other authors.\textsuperscript{50–52}

Administration of ASA in ASA (F) group also resulted in shorter response times, which confirms the positive effect of ASA on neurotransmission. Similar results are presented in the studies by Gong et al., Wang and Lu et al.\textsuperscript{40,53,54} This study confirms

---

### Table 4. Significance of differences in response times from the T\textsubscript{1} measurement in the offspring born to females from different study groups (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) C (F)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) PTCDD</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) PTCDD + TCP</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) PTCDD + ASA</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) PTCDD + TCP + ASA</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test; p < 0.05.

### Table 5. Significance of differences in response times from the T\textsubscript{1} measurement in the offspring born to females from different study groups (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) C (F)</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) PTCDD</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) PTCDD + TCP</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) PTCDD + ASA</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) PTCDD + TCP + ASA</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test; p < 0.05.

### Table 6. Significance of differences in response times from the T\textsubscript{1} measurement in the offspring born to females from different study groups (p-values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) C (F)</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) PTCDD</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) PTCDD + TCP</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) PTCDD + ASA</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) PTCDD + TCP + ASA</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that ASA is an antagonist of the glycine receptor and it reduces the inhibitory effect of GABA, releases glutamate from presynaptic terminals, resulting in the facilitation effect, which induces the hyperreactivity. This can be beneficial both in subjects with delayed response times and depressive type disorders that occur in dioxin intoxication, as has been manifested in the swimming test with no attempts to swim or with extended immobility in swimming. The obtained response time results suggest that the administration of ASA and TCP in mothers treated with TCDD before pregnancy eliminated the negative effects of dioxin on CNS functioning in offspring compared to the offspring born to the females treated with TCDD only. The analysis of the swimming test in rats exposed to dioxins, which are treated with ASA, showed a lack of immobility period and intensified continuous swimming. This type of reaction also occurred in S (F) group. 

These results support the idea that ASA has a strong anti-inflammatory effect, eliminating the negative effects of the proinflammatory action of TCDD connected with generating a large number of free radicals, TNF and prostaglandins which affect the functioning of the CNS, induce depression, apathy and lethargy (Fig. 2). These findings can be confirmed by similar results obtained in studies by other authors.55,55–57 In addition, our most recent studies with the use of histopathological and ultrastructural analysis of the hippocampus in rats found that TCDD contributes to atrophy of estrogen receptors, in which also destructive and inflammatory changes were found along with demyelination of myelin sheaths. It was determined a total protective action of TCP and ASA towards CNS functions that was characterized by poorly expressed degenerative changes and smaller inflammatory reactivity.16

Conclusions

Dioxin has an angiotoxic, neurotoxic and glycotox effect, which induces behavioral disorders associated with prolonged response times. Administration of TCP causes a significant reduction and improvement of reflex response times, which is also confirmed in the offspring born to females exposed to TCDD and treated with TCP. The use of ASA also reduces the reflex response times in the offspring of females exposed to TCDD. Based on the results, it can be concluded that the combination of TCP and ASA significantly reduces the response time in the tail test and increases the intensity of the swimming activity.
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