Abstract

Background. End-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients are considered as a group of high risk of oral cavity diseases. One of the determinants of alveolar bone loss and increased teeth mobility in ESRD patients might be the bone abnormalities associated with chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD).

Objectives. The aim of the study was to compare the general health condition, number and location of teeth in a group of ESRD patients with the group of peers from general population and revealing the risk factors of tooth loss.

Material and methods. The ESRD group included 63 patients, 23 females and 40 males, undergoing dialysis with a mean age of 62.4 ± 15.6. The general population sample consisted of 37 people, 20 females and 17 males, applying for general practitioner visit, with a mean age of 65.5 ± 11.1. All the participants were using just public health care insurance. The data analysis was based on anamnesis, history of CKD, selected biochemical parameters of blood tests and clinical examination.

Results. There was no statistical difference in the prosthetic needs of patients undergoing dialysis and the general population. In both groups the situation is alarming.

Conclusions. The new procedures are needed to develop complex health care for ESRD and general population patients, emphasizing prophylaxis of tooth-loss and prosthetic treatment in order to maintain good level of life quality.
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End-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients are considered to be a group of high risk of oral cavity diseases. Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD) often affects this group and is usually related to secondary hyperparathyroidism. The bone abnormalities, including the loss of lamina dura and abnormal trabeculation of alveolar bone, may be the cause of tooth loss. The clinical findings are more severe in the group of ESRD patients than in patients with less advanced stage of chronic kidney disease (CKD), and with time become more noticeable during dialysis. As the quality of life strongly depends on oral health, the dental aspects of ESRD patients should be discussed. According to authors’ knowledge, the assessment of the prosthetic needs of ESRD patients and the general population in Poland, based on the Eichner classification, has not been published yet.

**Methods**

The sample consisted of 100 patients. The ESRD group included 63 patients, 23 females and 40 males, undergoing dialysis in the Department of Nephrology and Transplantation Medicine at Wroclaw Medical University. The average age of the participants was 62.4 ± 15.6. The general population group consisted of 37 people, 20 females and 17 males, who applied for general practitioner visit at one of the public ambulatory care units in Wroclaw. The mean age of the participants was 65.5 ± 11.1. Both groups were covered by public medical insurance.

The data analysis was based on recollecting the history of CKD, selected biochemical parameters of blood tests and clinical examination. Anamnesis included questions about age, sex, weight and height (in order to assess BMI), place of residence, education, smoking habits and general diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, HBV and HCV infections. The medical history of ESRD considered the cause of CKD, time of renal dialysis and dialysis adequacy parameter (Kt/V). The blood parameters selected in order to evaluate calcium-phosphorus metabolism were: calcium, phosphorus, alkaline phosphatase and parathyroid hormone. The clinical examination focused on the number of teeth and their location in the oral cavity according to the Eichner classification (Table 1).

The statistical analysis was adopted according to Sokal and Rohlf (1995). The normality of distribution in both groups was assessed with the use of the Shapiro-Wilk test. In order to assess the statistical significance in the frequency on observations of variables in nominal scale (e.g. the Eichner classification), the \( \chi^2 \) test was used. In the case of variables in the interval and absolute scale the Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the statistical significance between the average and median values in the tested and control groups. Linear correlations between variables were checked by the Spearman’s rank correlation index. Differences between the average values in many groups were checked by the Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparison test. Statistical significance was defined as \( p < 0.05 \). Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA v. 10 software.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the regional ethics committee for human research, Wroclaw Medical University, according to the Helsinki Declaration.

**Results**

There were no significant differences between ESRD and the general population groups in the mean age of patients (ESRD group: 62.4 ± 15.6; control group: 65.5 ± 11.1), sex (ESRD group: 23 females and 40 males; control group: 20 females and 17 males), place of residence (ESRD group: town 74.6% and countryside 25.4%; control group: town 73% and countryside 27%) and smoking habits (ESRD group: non-smokers 87.3% and smokers 12.7%; control group: non-smokers 81.1% and smokers 18.9%) \( (p > 0.05) \). The significant differences between both groups concerned education, BMI values and general health. The dialyzed patients more often had vocational training (39.7%) \( (p = 0.009) \) and less often a primary education (7.9%) \( (p = 0.001) \) in comparison to the control group: 21.6% and 27%, respectively. The percentage of participants without and with a higher degree in the ESRD group were 27% and 25.4%, respectively. In the control group, the values were: 32.4% and 18.9%, respectively. Moreover, overweight patients (BMI ≥ 25) were significantly more often found in control group \( (p = 0.006) \). The 54% of dialyzed patients and about 80% of control group patients had BMI ≥ 25. Dialyzed patients significantly more often suffered from diabetes mellitus (33.3%) \( (p = 0.024) \) and hepatitis B and/or C (27%) \( (p = 0.000) \) than control group.

The statistical analysis of clinical examination parameters revealed that there were no significant differences in the number of teeth and the frequency of percentage incidence of the Eichner classification groups between dialyzed and general population groups (Table 2). The average number of teeth in ESRD and general population groups were 13.1 ± 10.7 and 13.4 ± 10.4, respectively.

In both groups, a correlation was found between the number of teeth and education \( (r = 0.325, p < 0.05) \), smoking habits \( (r = 0.582, p < 0.05) \) for ESRD and general population groups, respectively) and an inverse correlation for age \( (r = -0.518, p < 0.05) \), smoking habits \( (r = -0.674, p < 0.05) \) for ESRD and general population groups, respectively). In the general population, the additional risk factor of tooth-loss was hypertension. The ESRD group revealed correlations between the number of teeth and dialysis adequacy assessed by Kt/V index \( (r = 0.319, p < 0.05) \) and the level of calcium in blood samples \( (r = 0.287, p < 0.05) \). An inverse correlation was observed between the number...
of teeth and the concentration of alkaline phosphatase ($r = -0.265, p < 0.05$). In addition, there was a correlation between the number of teeth and the cause of ESRD – it was observed that patients with glomerulonephritis had significantly more teeth in the oral cavity than other ESRD patients. However, patients with glomerulonephritis had lower mean age than other patients on dialysis, which may explain their higher number of teeth preserved.

### Discussion

The study showed a catastrophic situation in prosthetic needs not just in ESRD group of patients, but also in general population group. Cengiz et al., Brito et al., Castillo et al., Bots et al. and Bayraktar et al. also did not find any statistically important difference in the number of teeth between dialyzed patients and control groups.8–12 According to Brito et al., the average number of teeth in hemodialyzed patients with the mean age of 50 ± 10 was 17.7 ± 6.4, and in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis with the mean age of 52 ± 12 was 17.3 ± 6.5.9 Castillo et al. reported that the mean number of teeth in dialyzed patients with the mean age of 61.5 ± 18.04 was 19.8 ± 8.5.10 However, Kanjanabuch et al. indicated that patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis had significantly less teeth than those in the control group. According to those authors, the difference in the number of teeth between the two groups is not caused by the changes in the structure of alveolar bone, as in both groups the bone density was comparable.13

Teeth maintenance is crucial for maintaining the aesthetics and function of the stomatognathic system. There was no significant difference in the frequency of percentage incidence of the Eichner classification groups, leading to conclusion that number and location of teeth in oral cavity were comparable in test and control groups. However, it should be emphasized that tooth loss is a serious problem. Only 17% of dialyzed patients had 4 supporting zones in the area of premolars and molars. The rest of the patients had missing teeth in the maxilla and/or mandible, which may influence the efficiency of the masticatory organ and life quality of all the patients.14,15 The very limited amount of available research showed that the frequency of prosthetic reconstructions usage among ESRD patients is very low. Musacchio et al. remarked about the existence of a large group of edentulous patients undergoing dialysis, who do not use dentures.16 Wilczyńska-Borawska et al. assessed the prosthetic needs of dialyzed females with the mean age of 62 ± 14 and males with the mean age of 67 ± 11 years. The results showed that nearly 70% of ESRD patients do not have reconstructed teeth deficiencies.17 According to Cunha et al., the percentage of patients undergoing dialysis in need of prosthetic treatment is around 80%.18
The oral health state of ESRD and general population patients are equally alarming. The possible reason for the high level of prosthetic needs of patients are definitely not the changes related to the end-stage renal disease, but it might be the inadequate Polish social medical insurance system. As there are no procedures encouraging the patient to consider prevention and attend regular check-up visits at dental offices, providing sufficient oral health care is a daunting task. These system deficiencies might have blurred the impact of ESRD specific features reflected by positive correlations of the teeth number with dialysis adequacy, serum calcium concentration, and inverse with alkaline phosphatase activity. It is crucial to examine the dental health of the Polish population in terms of prosthetic needs in order to create a new algorithm to facilitate prevention.

Conclusions

The new procedures are needed to develop complex health care of ESRD and general population patients in order to maintain a good level of life quality, focusing on prophylaxis of tooth-loss and prosthetic treatment of already existing damage.
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