The Use of Low-Level Energy Laser Radiation in Basic and Clinical Research
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Abstract

Laser radiation has specific attributes: monochromaticity, high coherence and polarization. These properties result in the extensive use of lasers in medicine. Laser devices can be assigned into three basic groups by means of their level of energy: high, medium and low energy. All of these types of radiation are used in medicine. However, the most commonly used, in basic science and clinical studies, is low-energy radiation. Molecular effects of low energy laser irradiation on cells are generally described as “fotobiostimulation” and “fotobiomodulation”. These phenomena consequently lead to attempts to exploit this kind of radiation as a treatment method (low-level laser therapy - LLLT). Areas in which LLLT is used are: regenerative medicine (for healing wounds and ulcers); aesthetic medicine (to improve appearance of scars); dentistry (to accelerate healing of implants); physiotherapy (to reduce chronic pain syndromes), orthopedics (in bone healing) and cardiology (as a prevention of restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention). This paper discusses the medical applications of LLLT which are used in daily clinical practice as well as those used in basic science (Adv Clin Exp Med 2014, 23, 5, 835–842).
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The laser was invented by Theodor Maiman in 1960 and since that time it is used in many different aspects of human life, including medicine. Lasers are distinguished from other light sources by their coherence, polarization and monochromaticity; therefore, they can transmit a wide range of energy.

The physical process enabling the laser to function is called stimulated emission (laser is an acronym of light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation). There are many ways to classify laser devices. Lasers can be grouped by gain medium, wavelengths, modes of operation but the most useful way, from a medical point of view, is the division by energy level. And so by the amount of energy transmitted, the sources of laser radiation are divided into 3 basic groups: low, medium and high energy.

There are many reports regarding the usefulness of full laser energy spectrum in clinical practice. High-energy radiation causes tissue destruction and therefore it is mainly applied in surgery. It is used for coagulation, cutting tissue, control of bleeding, destruction of tumors etc. Medium energy lasers are mainly used in oncology, especially as a part of photodynamic therapy. The low energy laser, among the all energy radiation groups, seems to have the widest range of applications in medicine. Despite much research, the exact mechanism action of low energy laser radiation on the human body is still unknown. Due to numerous studies on low-energy radiation, new therapeutic applications are being discovered and enrolled to clinical practice. The aim of this paper is to review the most common clinical applications of low-energy lasers.

Low-Level Laser Therapy

The use of low energy laser as a therapeutic method was first postulated by E. Master et al. [1] in 1968. Radiation used in this particular therapy refers to use the wavelength from 500 nm up to 1100 nm and the power in range from 1 mW to...
500 mW resulting in relatively low energy density (0.05 J/cm² – 50 J/cm²).

Low level laser therapy (LLLT) has been clinically applied to a wide spectrum of disorders. This therapeutic method has been proven to be effective, less invasive and devoid of severe side effects for numerous diseases. Recently, a considerable amount of research is conducted to explain therapeutic mechanism of LLLT as well to disclose some new clinical applications of LLLT. Despite many studies, guidelines for this kind of treatment are still missing. For that reason, this paper summarizes the data concerning irradiation protocols and laser parameters in as much detail as it is possible.

Molecular Mechanisms of LLLT Action

Despite numerous studies, the full effect of radiation on biological reactions within the cells remains unclear. Molecular effects of low energy laser irradiation on cells are generally described as “fotobiostimulation” and “fotobiomodulation”. The main manifestation of those phenomena is increased DNA and RNA synthesis [2]. This effect is achieved by modifying the number of processes occurring in the cell, the most important of which are discussed in the next part of chapter; however, a complete analysis of these modifications is beyond the scope of this paper. All discussed reactions implicate the effect of LLLT, which is most commonly used in clinical practice, namely increased cell proliferation and tissue regeneration.

Changes in Retrograde Signaling

One of the basic and most important cellular reactions is the excitation of the respiratory chain in the mitochondria, which results from photon acceptance on cytochrome c oxidase, leading to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [3]. Increased level of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis and enhanced mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) are also observed as a result of laser irradiation [4]. One manifestation of these processes is an increase of cellular activity and alter cellular homeostasis parameters as well as an improvement of the intracellular signal transmission pathways, mainly those whose activity is associated with tyrosine kinase receptors (TPKR). However, recently it has been noticed that LLLT via ROS can also activate nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB), which is a transcription factor regulating the expression of multiple gens, which can lead to a proliferation increase [5]. ROS, ATP and ΔΨm have been described as a main part of mitochondrial retrograde signaling, which plays a crucial role in the communication between mitochondria and nucleus and has thus an important influence on cellular activities. Changes in mitochondrial retrograde signaling may lead to synthesis DNA and RNA, enzymes and proteins or changes in the membrane lipids composition. This effect of LLLT action is closely associated with the modulation of the kinases activity which affects signal transduction pathways.

Modification of Kinases Activity

Enhanced proliferation of the cells after a course of LLLT is a result of mitochondrial retrograde signaling, which exacerbates phosphorylation of TPKR, which in turn stimulates the Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK)/Extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) pathway [6]. TPKR affect also cell proliferation by the Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases (PI3K)/Protein kinases B (Akt) pathway and Phospholipase C gamma (PLC-gamma)/Protein kinases C (PKC) pathway [7]. ROS produced as a cellular response to irradiation of LLLT, activates Src tyrosine kinase [8], which is responsible for increased proliferation of many kinds of cell cultures.

Low-energy laser radiation in higher doses can also induce apoptosis. The exact mechanism of this phenomenon has not been fully clarified; however, a significant relation with increased ROS production is postulated. Laser activates Glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3), which triggers apoptosis. A high-level of ROS can also lead to inactivation of the Akt/GSK3β signaling pathway [9]. As a result of down regulation of this signaling pathway, greater GSK3 level is observed leading to increased apoptosis. Low energy laser radiation can induce both proliferation (by a small energy density) and apoptosis (higher energy density) by changing the activity of the same kinases, which may be observed e.g. in case of modulation of the PKC activity [10].

It appears that both apoptosis and increased proliferation after LLLT irradiation can be induced by ROS; however, the intensity of ROS production seems to be responsible for the differentiation of these 2 processes.

Changes in Intracellular Cations Concentration

Low-energy laser radiation increases the activation of the Na+/K+-ATPase in various cells [11]. It is postulated that such activity of low-energy laser
radiation on cells can be a putative mechanism responsible for analgesic properties of LLLT [12].

There are many reports regarding the increased intracellular level of calcium resulting from low energy laser irradiation [13]. This phenomenon might be stimulated by ROS depended mechanism [14] and via ATP depended stimuli of numerous subtypes of P2 purinoreceptors [15].

Effectiveness of Low Energy Laser Therapy in Animal Models and Clinical Trials

Increase of Osteoblasts Proliferation

The impact of low energy laser irradiation on molecular processes that is observed in various types of cells has become a cornerstone for designing clinical trials. Huertas et al. [16] estimated the effect of low-energy laser radiation on osteoblasts proliferation. Human osteosarcoma cell lines (MG-63) were divided into 2 groups. One underwent laser irradiation at 0.5 W, 1 W, 1.5 W, and 2 W and fluencies of 1 J up to 5 J (laser wavelength was 940 nm). Second group (control) was not irradiated. After 24 h of incubation proliferation of both groups was assessed by using a spectrophotometric measure of cell respiration (MTT assay). The authors observed a statistically significant higher proliferation in irradiated group (except of cells irradiated with high power density 2 W/cm²). This effect was correlated with energy density and reached a peak at 3 J and decreased at higher fluencies. Not only is the proliferation of osteoblasts enhanced after the course of LLLT. Saygun et al. [17] demonstrated that low-energy laser irradiation can enhance growth factor production by osteoblasts. They irradiated a culture of osteoblasts with a laser (685 nm, 25 mW, 14.3 mW/cm², and 2 different energy densities: 2 J/cm² and 4 J/cm²) and evaluated the release of growth factors: Basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and receptor of insulin-like growth factor I (IGFBP3) in comparison to non-irradiated osteoblasts. All irradiated groups showed higher: proliferation, bFGF, IGF-I, and IGFBP3 expressions as compared to non-irradiation control group. Also, the group irradiated with higher energy density (4 J/cm²) showed an increased level of bFGF and IGF-I than group with lower energy density (2 J/cm²).

Bone Healing

Such an outcome suggests that LLLT can be used in bone healing. In literature we can find some studies which suggest that LLLT is useful in bone healing in animal models. Ribeiro et al. [18] observed better bone healing in rats treated with anti-inflammatory drugs following the course of transcutaneously LLLT (energy density 16 J/cm², power density 30 W/cm², wavelength 735 nm) than no-irradiated group. In their study rats underwent surgical procedures of the bone defects in tibias. Authors relate the bone healing effect of LLLT to increased expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in fractured tissue. They postulated that LLLT can accelerate bone healing as a result of an up-regulation of COX-2 expression in bone cells. Experiment of J. Nissan et al. [19] also shows better bone healing in rats exposed to LLLT (energy density 0.72 J/cm², power density 4 mW/cm², wavelength 904 nm). Rats underwent a surgical procedure of drilling an artificial cavity in their mandibles. Authors correlate better bone healing after LLLT treatment with increased calcium transport during new bone formation. Low-energy laser radiation can be useful also in bone healing after implant surgery. Khadra et al. [20] studied the effect of LLLT on bone healing around titanium implants in rabbits. They used laser with wavelength 830 nm, energy density 23 J/cm² and power output 150 mW. LLLT resulted in higher implant attachment in the tensile test after 8 weeks of recovery from procedure. Authors suggest that mineral analysis review increases the level of calcium and phosphorus contents on the implant surface as a result of LLLT treatment. The exact mechanism of bone healing after low-energy laser irradiation in animals is still not clear and requires further investigations in human studies.

Wounds Healing

Enhanced physiological cell proliferation after a course of low-energy laser radiation is used also in other clinical trials not only connected with bone healing. There are several papers suggesting faster healing of skin wounds or ulcers after the LLLT course [21]. Response to LLLT in wound healing models can be measured in many different ways. The most important parameter from a clinical point of view is the time needed to complete wound recovery. There are many studies that suggest that this time can be shortened by exposure on low energy laser irradiation. For example Dwood et al. [22] in their studies have shown that in rat models wound healed over 10 days earlier if they were treated with laser radiation. In their
experiment they used a laser with a wavelength 650 nm, power density 3.185 mW/cm² and two different energy densities: 38.2 J/cm² and 57.3 J/cm². It is worth noticing that a group irradiated with lower energy doses recovered from their wounds 2 days earlier than higher energy doses group. This suggests that an important factor in the use of LLLT as a treatment method is the proper selection of energy. Using too high-energy doses may result in the disappearance of the therapeutic effect. A similar effect of wound size reduction after low-energy laser irradiation was observed by Silva et al. [23]. They used 2 kinds of lasers. First wavelength 904 nm, power density 0.4 W/cm², peak power 70 W, energy density: 1 J/cm² and 3 J/cm²; second wavelength 660 nm, power density unknown, peak power 30 mW, energy density: 1 J/cm² and 3 J/cm². In addition, markers of oxidative stress were measured. The levels of 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS) were significantly decreased after irradiation of energy density 3 J/cm² on both wavelengths. Interestingly, the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) was decreased in almost all irradiated groups.

Other parameters, by means of which enhanced wound healing can be measured, were investigated by Usumez et al. [24]. Authors have proved that after LLLT in many different wavelengths (660 nm, 810 nm, 980 nm, 1064 nm and energy density at level of 8 J/cm²) in rats mucosa wound model can increase the expression of growth factor (Platelet-derived growth factor – PDGF and bFGF) in comparison to no irradiation group. Lacjaková et al. [25] observed the acceleration of fibroblast proliferation, enhanced new vessel formation and a greater amount of new collagen fibres in the wound area after laser irradiation of rat wounds model in match to non-irradiation ones.

**Modification of Angiogenesis**

Evaluation of angiogenesis after LLLT was the topic of Cury et al. [26] study. They examined skin flap viability in rats which underwent 8 courses of LLLT (wavelengths in this experiment were: 660 nm and 780 nm, lasers fluencies: 30 J/cm² and 40 J/cm²). It has been shown showed that LLLT (in all combination of laser parameters) can improve the healing of skin flaps by enhancing the number of new vessels formed in the tissue. This effect is probably associated with higher expression of: hypoxia inducible factor (HIF-1α), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and decrease activity of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-2).

**Scar Formation**

In connection with the use of LLLT to accelerate treatment of ulcer and wound, a few clinical trials evaluating the effect of low-energy laser on scar formation were performed. Carvalho et al. [27] investigated scar formation after inguinal hernia surgery. They divided patients into 2 groups: the first underwent 4 courses (first application 24 h after surgery, next on days: 3, 5, 7) of LLLT (wavelength 830 nm, power output of 40 mW, energy density of 13 J/cm²). The second no-irradiation group became a control. After 6 months they evaluated scars by: vancouver scar scale (VSS), the visual analogue scale (VAS), and measurement of the scar thickness. Irradiated group showed significantly better results in the VSS, thickness measurements, malleability and pain occurrence. Similar results were observed in a study by Gaida et al. [28]. Authors evaluated the effect of 8-week treatment by LLLT (power 400 mW, wavelength 670 nm) in patients with burn scars. As a result of the therapy, improvement in VAS and VVS scoring was observed. There are attempts to use LLLT in treatment of old scars, but the results are not encouraging [29].

**Pain Reduction**

One of the most widely used applications of LLLT in clinical practice is an analgesic effect. Various biological mechanisms are involved in this effect. Bjordal et al. [30] made a systematic review of studies regarding possible mechanism of action of low-energy laser therapy in acute pain. It revealed that LLLT can modify biochemical inflammatory response by reducing the levels of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-1 (IL-1), plasminogen activator and COX-2 expression (this effect seems to be in opposition to a previously cited study Ribeiro et al., but it was confirmed in two separate studies). The same meta-analysis reveals that LLLT can also reduce: oedema and post-injury hemorrhagic formation, neutrophil cell influx, cell apoptosis and improve microcirculation. A pain reducing effect of low-energy laser irradiation has been the subject of many clinical trials. In a systematic review by Maia et al. [31] concerning patients with temporomandibular disorders (TMD) who underwent a course of low energy laser irradiation, it has been postulated that LLLT seemed to be effective in reducing pain. It should be noticed that the parameters of the laser radiation used for particular clinical trials differ significantly. Energy density was in the range from 0.9 J/cm² to 105 J/cm², power density ranged from 9.8 mW to 500 mW, wavelengths contained.
Lasers have been used for a long time in dentistry and low-energy laser therapy has also been used in this domain of medicine. Except for application of LLLT mentioned above: treatment of TMD, wound healing and improving recovery after procedure of insertion of implant, LLLT is used in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity [33]. LLLT is widely used in the prevention and treatment of oral mucositis caused by chemotherapy, radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy used as a treatment for various types of cancer (including those outside the head and neck). Recently, there were created 2 meta-analysis consisting guidelines for dosing and preferred parameters of laser radiation. Gautam et al. [34], as a result of their meta-analysis study, made a new recommendation for the use of LLLT as a prevention of mucositis in adult patients receiving hematopoietic stem cell transplantation conditioned with high-dose chemotherapy, with or without total body irradiation. Authors suggest that those kinds of patients should receive laser radiation with a wavelength at 650 nm, power of 40 mW and energy density 2 J/cm². They also suggest that LLLT in prevention of oral mucositis in patients undergoing radiotherapy head and neck cancer should have a wavelength of around 632.8 nm. Unfortunately, in the case of other patients, no guidelines can be recommended, but it still may be assumed that LLLT is effective.

Benefits of LLLT in Cardiology

There are only a few reports of the use of LLLT in clinical trials in cardiology. Oron et al. [39] have investigated the effect of laser irradiation on scar formation in dog and rat models of myocardial infarction (MI). After being artificially induced with MI, animals underwent 2 courses (first course 15 min after MI, second course 3 days later) of epicardially LLLT (wavelength 803 nm energy density 1.08 J/cm² and a power density at level of myocardium 6 mW/cm²). Six weeks after MI authors evaluated infarct size, it appeared that the infract size (determined by triphenyltetrazolium chloride staining technique (TTC) and histology) was significantly reduced in the group treated with LLLT in comparison to not irradiated control group. Also, the mortality rate and troponin T level were decreased in the irradiated group. A histological observation of the infarct revealed a typical scar tissue in the not-irradiated group and cellularity in most of the irradiated group. Interestingly, this effect is obtained not only by direct exposure of myocardium to LLLT. Tubes et al. [40] induced artificial myocardial infarction in rats, which was followed by irradiation of the bone marrow. A significant reduction in the size of myocardial scar in the treated group was observed. Authors related this effect to the activation of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in the bone marrow. Also some other mechanism of LLLT action seems to be involved in reducing infarct size. Yaakob et al. [41] suggests that this effect in rats might be connected with an increased expression of inducible heat shock proteins (specifically heat shock protein (HSP70i)) and enhanced angiogenesis in the myocardium after laser irradiation.

Low-energy level laser irradiation is used also in clinical trials in invasive cardiology. Derkacz et al. [42] developed and patented a device for
intravascular laser illumination. They also evaluated the usefulness of low-energy laser irradiation (wavelength 808 nm, power density 100 mW/cm², and energy density 9 J/cm²) of coronary arteries during percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in preventing restenosis. In this clinical trial 101 people were involved (52 patients in the irradiation group and 49 patients in the control group). All of the patients were with stable coronary heart disease and had undergone PCI (one group during PCI underwent a course of LLLT). After 6 months, a control angiography was performed in both groups. It was revealed that the irradiated group was characterized by a decreased rate of restenosis. Furthermore, significant differences in the minimal lumen diameter, late lumen loss, late lumen loss index were observed. In another paper, Derkacz et al. [43] have evaluated the impact of intravascular low energy laser illumination during percutaneous coronary intervention on the inflammatory process in vascular wall. Results of this study show that intravascular LLLT can significantly decrease the level of inflammatory mediators (IL 1β, IL 6) and increase the level of anti-inflammatory IL-10. Authors suggest that this may result in a decreased risk for restenosis.

The authors concluded that LLLT holds promise as a novel supportive tool in the treatment of wounds and chronic pain syndromes.

Thanks to the continuous development of laser technology and the falling costs of therapy in the future, LLLT might become a useful complementary therapy for these chronic disorders.

Nevertheless, in order to verify the usefulness of this therapeutic strategy, further clinical studies aimed both at the explanation of the therapeutic mechanisms of LLLT as well as at analyzing clinical effectiveness of such therapy in numerous disorders are needed. Appropriate laser parameters seem to be crucial in the effectiveness of this treatment method in particular disorders; therefore, future studies evaluating this issue are necessary. Although side-effects after LLLT are hardly observable, future studies of this issue are required. Due to the increased proliferative potential of the various cells after courses of LLLT, future research should be focused particularly on investigating the impact of LLLT on tumors biology.
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