Exonic Deletions in the \textit{NF1} Gene in Patients with Neurofibromatosis Type I from the Lower Silesian Region of Poland
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Abstract

\textbf{Background.} Neurofibromatosis type I (NF1, Recklinghausen’s disease) is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by the following clinical features: café au lait spots, neurofibromas, Lisch nodules, freckling of the axillary and inguinal regions, optic nerve gliomas, bone dysplasia and increased risk of certain tumors. NF1 is diagnosed on the basis of clinical criteria, while identifying the genetic background of the disease is important mainly for genetic counseling. NF1 genetic analysis is based on searching for \textit{NF1} exon deletions/duplications using Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), searching for microdeletions of the critical region using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), searching for point mutations by gene sequencing (in most cases) and analyzing mRNA.

\textbf{Objectives.} The aim of this study was to estimate the frequency of single and multi-exon deletions/duplications in the \textit{NF1} gene in Polish patients, and to evaluate the usefulness of MLPA as a cheap and easy method for NF1 molecular diagnosis, despite the fact that such changes may be found in only a small group of NF1 patients.

\textbf{Material and Methods.} The study included 65 patients suspected of NF1 or with recognized NF1 on the basis of clinical criteria. Cytogenetic analysis were carried out for all the patients, and for one patient with a translocation [46,XY,t(17;22)(q11.2;q11.2)], a FISH analysis was performed. All patients were tested for deletions/duplications in the \textit{NF1} gene using two MLPA kits for neurofibromatosis I.

\textbf{Results.} The MLPA analysis showed deletions in the \textit{NF1} gene in 7.7\% of the cases (5/65).

\textbf{Conclusions.} The results indicate that an MLPA analysis may be performed in patients with a clinical diagnosis of NF1 or patients with suspected NF1 as an easy and inexpensive first molecular test, enabling the exclusion of about 7\% of NF1 patients from expensive and time-consuming molecular diagnosis by DNA sequencing (\textit{Adv Clin Exp Med} 2014, 23, 4, 517–521).
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cardiovascular diseases [2–4]. Symptoms of NF1 differ from patient to patient even within the same family. Moreover, the features change with the age of the patient. Clinical diagnosis of young children may be difficult, as characteristic NF1 features rarely occur in children under 4–5 years of age [2, 4].

A diagnosis of NF1 is based on the presence of two clinical criteria from the following list, formulated by Griffiths et al. [2], Ferner [3] and Jett & Friedman [4]:
- six or more café au lait spots > 15 mm in adults; five or more > 5 mm in children,
- two or more neurofibromas or one plexiform neurofibroma,
- axillary or inguinal freckling,
- at least two Lisch nodules,
- optic nerve glioma,
- a first-degree relative with NF1,
- a distinctive osseous lesion such as sphenoid wing dysplasia or thinning of the long bone cortex with or without pseudoarthrosis.

As NF1 patients may be at risk for, or present with, a variety of severe clinical symptoms, a precise schedule of surveillance should be offered to them, including ophthalmologic, dermatologic, neurologic and orthopedic consultations. Moreover, resonance imaging, computer tomography, ultrasonography and X-rays should be employed to screen for tumors (e.g. in the spinal cord or brain) and skeletal changes [4].

In 90% of cases NF1 is caused by point mutations in the NF1 gene, located at 17q11.2; in 5% of cases it results from deletion/duplication (copy number variants or CNVs); in less than 1% of cases chromosomal aberrations involving 17q11.2 are observed. Genetic diagnosis of NF1 is difficult because of the size of the NF1 gene and the lack of hotspot point mutations [5, 6]. So far over 1000 different NF1 point mutations have been found. About 90% of them can be detected using DNA sequencing. To identify NF1 deletion/duplication (CNVs) the method of choice is multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) [7, 8]. To detect chromosomal aberrations and/or NF1 microdeletion, chromosomal banding and/or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) are used. Other pathogenic changes, like splicing defects, can be detected by analysis of mRNA variants using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), denaturing high pressure liquid chromatography (DHPLC) and sequencing of selected fragments. All the listed tests have a total sensitivity of about 95% [4, 6].

The aim of the present study was to estimate the frequency of NF1 gene single and multi-exon deletions and duplications in patients from Lower Silesia, Poland, and the usefulness of the MLPA test as a convenient first-line screen.

Material and Methods

Patients

The study included 65 Caucasian patients (41 females and 24 males) from the Lower Silesia region of Poland, with suspected or clinically diagnosed NF1, who had been referred to a genetic counselor. The age of the patients ranged from 4 weeks to 60 years (mean age 17.28 years). Most of the cases (46 out of 65) were isolated, unrelated to each other. In 19 other cases, 2 or 3 members of a single family were examined. In all, nine family cases were observed.

All the patients were examined ophthalmologically. MRI tests were performed on about two-thirds of the patients.

Out of the study population 40 patients fulfilled the clinical criteria for NF1. Among these patients, only 25 had a family history of NF1. The age of the patients with two clinical criteria ranged from 12 months to 60 years (mean age 22.29 years). The age of the patients with one NF1 criterion ranged from 4 weeks to 49 years (mean age 9.25 years). The clinical data are presented in Table 1.

In 25 patients only one NF1 criterion was observed. In most of them café au lait spots were present. Some patients displayed additional features that are not diagnostic criteria but which occur in NF1, such as scoliosis (3 cases), short stature (4 cases), additional MR abnormalities such as hamartoma or demyelinating changes (3 cases), developmental delay/mental retardation (11 cases), epilepsy (4 cases) and nonspecific headaches (3 cases). In individual cases macrocephaly, a congenital heart defect, hydronephrosis, psoriasis and immune disorder were observed.

Methods

Cytogenetic analyses were carried out for all patients according to standard procedures [9, 10]. For one patient with translocation 46,XY,t(17;22)(q11.2;q11.2) a FISH analysis was performed using a KBI-40114 MD NF1 (17q11) / MPO (17q22) probe (Kreatech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

MLPA

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood using a QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen). Screening for exon deletions was performed using two MLPA kits dedicated to neurofibromatosis I – SALSA P081 and P082 version B1 (MRC Holland). For each reaction 100ng of the patient’s DNA was used. The producer’s protocols were followed exactly.
The PCR products were separated using an ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer with GeneScan Analysis software (version 3.1.2) with POP-4 Polymer and LIZ 500 size standard (Applied Biosystems). The analysis of the results was performed using GeneMarker software (version 1.85, SoftGenetics LLC). The MLPA ratio was used as a validation method. A synthetic control probe as a control.

A change in peak values over ±0.3 was considered a duplication (an increase in value) or a deletion (a decrease in value).

Samples with deletions or duplications were analyzed by MLPA in 2 separate experiments using the same MLPA kits (there is no alternative MLPA probe mix for analyzing exonic deletions of the \textit{NF1} gene), and only confirmed results were regarded as reliable.

### Results

Exonic deletions of the \textit{NF1} gene were observed in five cases (7.7%) (Table 2, Fig. 1). There were four single exonic deletions and one multiexonic deletion covering exons from 1 to 12. Three out of the five patients with deletions (positive MLPA tests) fulfilled the criteria for a clinical diagnosis of NF1: In patient number 2 café au lait spots and cutaneous neurofibromas were found; patient number 5 had café au lait spots, cutaneous neurofibromas and a plexiform neurofibroma; and patient number 46 had café au lait spots, cutaneous neurofibromas, optic nerve glioma, axillary freckling and a first-degree relative with NF1. In the remaining two cases (patients number 17 and 55, a 10-year-old boy with dysmorphic features and intellectual disability and a 6-month-old girl) only café au lait spots were observed.

In one patient a translocation [46,XY,t(17;22) (q11.2;q11.2)] was found, but FISH and MLPA analyses showed no deletions of the whole \textit{NF1} gene or of parts of it. This patient had only café au lait spots.

All in all, genetic changes were found in 7.5% of the patients fulfilling the clinical criteria for NF1 (three cases out of 40 patients) and in 12% of patients with one criterion of NF1 (three cases out of 25 patients).

### Table 2. Patients with lesions detected using MLPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Fulfilled clinical criteria for NF1 (at least two criteria required)</th>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>MLPA result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>deletion of exon 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>deletion of exon 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>deletion of exons 1–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 46</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>deletion of exon 28*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>deletion of exon 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in older nomenclature exon 28 was designated as exon 22.
Discussion

In the present study 65 patients meeting at least one criterion for NF1 were tested. In 7.7% of the cases, exonic deletions in the NF1 gene were identified. In four cases (patients number 2, 5, 46 and 55) a single exonic deletion was observed (exons 10, 16, 28, 33), and in one case (patient number 17) a multiexon deletion (exons from 1 to 12) was found. The size of the deletion can affect the additional presence of abnormalities such as facial dysmorphism and mental retardation, both seen in patient number 17. It is believed that by the age of eight years, almost all children with NF1 meet the clinical criteria for diagnosis [11]. In patient 17 it cannot be excluded that other symptoms will occur with age, or that the patient may present tissue mosaicism or incomplete penetrance (diverse expression of NF1).

In one patient with a reciprocal translocation [46, XY, t(17;22)(q11.2;q11.2)] with the break point within the region containing the NF1 gene, no changes in this gene were found in either the FISH or the MLPA analysis. Presently the patient has only café au lait spots, and further observation as well as NF1 gene sequencing is necessary. The examination using FISH and MLPA techniques does not exclude very small deletions or NF1 gene point mutations.

Neurofibromatosis type I is characterized by intrafamilial and interfamilial clinical variability. A clinical diagnosis of NF1 is unequivocal in most patients, but not in very young children. Some features of NF1 emerge as the patients age, so further prophylactic examinations are indicated in patient number 55, in whom only café au lait spots were observed [2, 4]. In a study published by de Luca et al., 201 unrelated patients were tested using MLPA. In 63 of them, point mutations were excluded using DHPLC analyses [7]. All of them fulfilled the clinical criteria for NF1, except for three children who presented only café au lait spots. In 36.5% (23 cases, including two children not fully matching the clinical criteria for NF1), deletions were found. When analyzing the whole group of 201 patients, whole or partial gene deletions were found.
Exonic Deletions in the NF1 Gene detected in about 7%. This is a higher percentage than presented by Wimmer et al., who studied a cohort of 1100 patients fulfilling NF1 criteria and found that only 2% of them had single- and/or multiexon deletion/duplication [8]. However, Griffiths et al. found whole or partial gene deletions in 5.9% of the NF1 cases they studied [2]. These results are consistent with those in the present study (7.7%). Moreover, as in other studies, the exonic deletions detected in the present study were unique. In all the cases where alterations in MLPA were found, genetic counseling was performed, including testing other members of the family and offering the family the option of prenatal diagnosis.

The current study did not identify any mosaic deletions in NF1 genes. Mosaicism may affect genetic counseling because a change that is present in only some of cells may cause a milder phenotype and may reduce the risk of transmission to offspring. The normal range in the MLPA method is from 0.7 to 1.3, which means that a 30% difference between the patient and a control probe is treated as a normal result. Therefore the presence of mosaicism might be undetected by this test [8,12].

Confirmatory diagnostic testing is indicated both in patients who do not fulfill the diagnostic criteria of NF1 and in patients who do fulfill them. Although the MLPA method detects only copy-number variations (CNVs), it is a relatively cheap and easy technique. Therefore, despite the fact that NF1 results from deletion/duplication in fewer than 10% of the patients, in the current authors’ opinion, MLPA analysis is worthwhile as a first step in detecting NF1 alterations.
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