Sevoflurane vs. TIVA in Terms of Middle Ear Pressure During Laparoscopic Surgery
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Abstract

Objectives. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of CO₂ insufflation on the pressure of the middle ear cavity (PMEC) during laparoscopic surgery under total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) with propofol or sevoflurane as an inhalational anesthetic maintenance.

Material and Methods. Sixty patients who underwent laparoscopic/or non-laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia were included in the study. For anesthetic maintenance with inhalation agents, 20 non-laparoscopic surgery patients in Group 1 were applied sevoflurane (2–2.5%). Forty patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery were randomized into two groups. Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane (2–2.5%) in twenty patients in Group 2 and the TIVA technique in 20 patients in Group 3. In Group 1, PMEC was measured before anesthesia, 10 and 30 min after endotracheal intubation, 10 min before extubation, and 15, 30, 60 min and 6 hours in the postoperative period. In Group 2 and 3, PMEC was measured before the anesthesia, 10 min after intubation, 10 and 30 min after CO₂ insufflation, just before the CO₂ elimination, 10 min before the extubation, and 15, 30, 60 min and 6 hours after extubation in the postoperative period.

Results. PMEC was significantly increased in Group 1 at 10 min after intubation, at 30 min of the operation, before extubation, and at postoperative 15 and 30 min (p < 0.05). In Group 3, differences between PMECs were detected at the 30th min of insufflation (p = 0.005), and during elimination (p = 0.035) compared to the initial measurement. Generally, the values remained positive in Group 1 and negative in Group 3. There was a significant difference between Group 1 and Group 3 at 10 min after the induction (p = 0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in PMECs between Group 2 and 3 patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery.

Conclusions. Our results indicate that, in laparoscopic surgery, TIVA used for the maintenance of anesthesia did not increase the PMEC and the changes caused by sevoflurane were also in the normal range of middle ear pressures. In patients with previous ear surgery, if there is a need of classical surgical procedures in the future, sevoflurane anesthesia should not be the first choice due to its effects on PMEC, which cause it to be increased over 50 daPa, especially at 30 min after intubation. Patient characteristics including previous ear surgery should be considered in selecting the optimum anesthetic agents and technique (Adv Clin Exp Med 2014, 23, 3, 447–454).
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The effects of volatile anesthetics on the pressure of the middle ear cavity (PMEC) have been clearly defined. Nitrous oxide has been demonstrated to cause a time-related increase in the pressure with accumulation in a closed environment, and this effect is also valid for the middle ear [1, 2].

Increase in PMEC may result in membrane rupture due to the increase in intra-tympanic pressure, and damage to ossicular structures, eventually causing hearing loss [3, 4]. The studies involving new volatile anesthetics indicate that sevoflurane and desflurane may also increase PMEC [5, 6]. Intravenous anesthetics may also influence PMEC, possibly by changing hemodynamic variables. Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) without using nitrous oxide seems to be an optimal choice to maintain PMEC [1].
The aim of this study was to determine the effects of CO\textsubscript{2} insufflation on PMEC during laparoscopic surgery under TIVA versus sevoflurane maintenance and to compare the results with age- and gender-matched healthy patients undergoing non-laparoscopic surgery with sevoflurane anesthesia.

**Material and Methods**

This prospective cohort study was undertaken after obtaining the approval of the Local Ethics Committee of Kirikkale University School of Medicine (Date: 2009, Number: 014) and written informed consent from all patients. The study was conducted in the Anesthesiology and ENT Departments of the Kirikkale School of Medicine, and Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Audiology Division of the ENT Department from April 2009 to July 2010.

**Patients**

Sixty American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II patients undergoing laparoscopic/or non-laparoscopic surgery were included in the study. For inhalational anesthetic maintenance, 20 non-laparoscopic surgery patients in Group 1 were given sevoflurane (2–2.5%). Forty patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery were divided into two groups by sealed envelope technique. Twenty patients in Group 2 were given sevoflurane (2–2.5%), and the TIVA technique was applied for the 20 patients in Group 3 for anesthesia maintenance.

In all groups, the ears of the patients were examined using a hand-held device (Heine Beta 100 Otoscope, Herrsching, Germany) and PMECs were measured by the same author.

Patients with ear wax, perforation of the ear drum, a history of previous surgery on the external or middle ear, previous surgery including craniofacial operations that preclude reaching the external auricular meatus, and laparoscopy procedures under other positions except supine were excluded from the study.

**Measurement of the PMEC**

PMEC and other variables (compliance, gradient) were measured by a hand-held device (Interacoustics A/S Assens DK-5610 Model MT10, Golden Triangle, MN, USA) in each ear of all patients. In Group 1 patients, PMEC was measured before anesthesia, 10 and 30 min after endotracheal intubation, 10 min before extubation, and 15, 30, 60 min and 6 h after extubation in the postoperative period. In the patients of Group 2 and 3, PMEC was measured before the anesthesia, 10 min after intubation, 10 and 30 min after CO\textsubscript{2} insufflation, just before CO\textsubscript{2} elimination, 10 min before extubation, and 15, 30, 60 min and 6 h after extubation in the postoperative period.

**Anesthetic Procedure**

Patients were monitored according to routine anesthetic care, including EKG attached to lead II, noninvasive arterial blood pressure, oxygen saturation, end-tidal CO\textsubscript{2}, and temperature (Datex-Ohmeda, Cardiocap 5 Monitor, Helsinki, Finland), and measurements were recorded every 5 min. Intravenous access was achieved on the non-dominant hand with a 20 G cannula, and hydration was performed at a rate of 10 mL/kg/h.

Induction of anesthesia: Propofol 2–2.5 mg/kg (Propofol® 1%, Fresenius Kabi AB, Sweden), rocuronium bromide 0.6 mg/kg (Esmeron®, Organon, Holland) and fentanyl 1 µg/kg (Fentanyl®, Abbott, Ireland).

Maintenance of the anesthesia: Sevoflurane (Sevorane® liquid, Abbott, Ireland) end-tidal concentration 2–2.5% and air-oxygen (FiO\textsubscript{2}: 35%) mixture was adjusted for the maintenance anesthesia after intubation in the control (Group 1, n = 20) and laparoscopic surgery (Group 2, n = 20) groups. In Group 3 (n = 20), TIVA was administered using propofol at a rate of 12 mg/kg/h for the first 20–30 min, then infusion was decreased to 9 mg/kg/h for 20–30 min, and finally infusion was followed with 6 mg/kg/h. Fentanyl 1–2 µg/kg was given for analgesia every 30–45 min and for supplementation in all patients. When an increase in heart rate or mean blood pressure of more than 15% from baseline measurements was observed, a 0.3 mg/kg dose of additional rocuronium was applied according to the clinical requirements. Mechanical ventilation was set at a rate of 4 Lt.min\(^{-1}\) and FiO\textsubscript{2}: 35% during the maintenance with an air/oxygen mixture. Tidal volume was set at 8–10 mL/kg, and respiratory frequency was adjusted according to the end-tidal CO\textsubscript{2} value, which was maintained at about 40–45 mm Hg (Dräger, Julian, Lübeck, Germany). In order to determine the effect of only CO\textsubscript{2} and to accurately interpret the results, we did not administer N\textsubscript{2}O to our patients. Laparoscopic surgery was performed using a Storz unit (Karl Storz-Endoskope, Tuttingen, Germany). Intra-abdominal pressure was increased 1–2 mm Hg/min and reached 15 mm Hg at maximum [7].

An atropine 10 µg/kg and neostigmine 20 µg/kg mixture was administered for reversing neuromuscular block when required. Extubation was...
performed when ventilatory parameters (tidal volume > 5–7 mL/kg, frequency > 10 per min) reached adequate levels.

Approach to the patients in the recovery room: patients were transferred to the recovery room when patient cooperation was obtained, and they stayed there for at least 1 h in order for the vital signs to be evaluated and ear measurements be made. Pain management was mainly performed in the surgical ward. In the recovery room, fentanyl 25–50 µg or pentidine 20–25 mg i.v. was administered and repeated as required. Tramadol (Contramal, Abdi İbrahim, Turkey) or pentidine (Aldolan, Gerot Pharmazeutika GmbH, Austria) 400 mg maximum dose was administered per day and in the case of uncontrolled pain, lornoxicam (Xefo, Nycomed GmbH, Austria) was added every 8 h. Side effects including dizziness, headache, nausea and vomiting were determined and recorded.

This study was conducted in accordance with Helsinki Declaration [8] and Good Clinical Performance Guidelines [9]. Data from the Consultancy Thesis of Dr. Serkan Güler was used in the preparation of this study [10].

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 15.0 for Windows. Demographic variables including age, weight and hemodynamic variables were evaluated using ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni correction. Categorical variables including ASA physical status, gender and distribution of surgeries were determined with a chi-square test. Variables obtained from ear measurements were analyzed between the groups using ANOVA. Post hoc Bonferroni, Mann-Whitney-U tests, and Friedman and Wilcoxon Signed Ranks tests were used for intra group analysis.

A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

There was no significant difference between demographic variables including age, height, weight, distribution of gender, and ASA physical status in Groups 1–3. There was also no significant difference between groups in terms of duration of anesthesia, surgery and insufflation (Table 1). Hemodynamic changes shown in Fig. 1–3 were also similar between the groups. Heart rates were significantly different between Group 1 and 2 at 20 min. There was also a significant difference between Group 1 and 3 in terms of mean arterial blood pressures at 15 and 30 min after the operation. The changes in end-tidal CO2 values were significantly higher in Groups 2 and 3 at 20, 30, 40 and 50 min (p < 0.05) during the operation (Fig. 3).

The PMEC values of the groups are demonstrated in Table 2. When compared with initial values, PMECs increased in Group 1 at the 10th (p = 0.005) and 30th (p = 0.001) min of the operation, after extubation (p = 0.006), and at the post-operative periods of the 15th (p = 0.044) and 30th

| Table 1. Patient characteristics, ASA physical status, types and durations (D) of surgery (S), anesthesia and insufflation (Data is given as mean ± SD or n (%)) |
|---|---|---|
|   | Group 1 (n = 20) | Group 2 (n = 20) | Group 3 (n = 20) |
| Age (year) | 43.2 ± 11.6 | 46.1 ± 12.4 | 36.8 ± 14.3 |
| Height (cm) | 164.2 ± 7.7 | 161.5 ± 3 | 161.5 ± 4.9 |
| Weight (kg) | 80.8 ± 14.8 | 71.7 ± 8.7 | 64.6 ± 9.4 |
| Gender (F/M) | 15/5 | 19/1 | 19/1 |
| ASA (I/II) | 9/11 | 12/8 | 13/7 |
| Gynecological S. | 14 (70%) | 17(85%) | 19(85%) |
| Abdominal S. | 1(5%) | 3(15%) | 1(5%) |
| Urological S. | 4 (20%) | _ | _ |
| Other S. | 1 (5%) | _ | _ |
| D. of anesthesia (min) | 154.2 ± 42 | 107.5 ± 34 | 106.2 ± 54.7 |
| D. of surgery (min) | 142.9 ± 39.8 | 95.7 ± 33.9 | 97.4 ± 54 |
| D. of insufflations (min) | _ | 70.7 ± 27 | 69.5 ± 30.6 |
Fig. 1. Heart rate (HR) changes between study groups. * – heart rates were significantly different between Group 1 and 2 at 20 min. (p < 0.05)

Fig. 2. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) changes between groups. * – there was significant difference between Group 1 and 3 in terms of mean arterial blood pressures at 15 and 30 min after operation (p < 0.05)

Fig. 3. End-tidal CO₂ changes between groups. * – the changes in end-tidal CO₂ values were significantly higher in Groups 2 and 3 at 20, 30, 40 and 50 min during the operation (p < 0.05)
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(p = 0.004) mins. In Group 3, a difference between PMECs at the 30th min of insufflation (p = 0.005), and during elimination (p = 0.035) were detected, according to the initial measurement. There was a significant difference between Group 1 and Group 3 at 10 min after the induction (p = 0.001). Generally, the values remained positive in Group 1 and negative in Group 3.

PMEC was generally measured under the baseline limit in Group 2 and Group 3. According to these observations, the major deviation for PMEC was observed in Group 1, in which it progressively increased and peaked at 30 min during the operation and remained so through the 30 min of the postoperative period. However, the changes in the other two groups were limited.

There was no significant difference between groups in terms of side effect profile that is nausea and vomiting. Side effects were observed in two patients in Group 1, two patients in Group 2, and one patient in Group 3 during the postoperative period.

**Discussion**

In the present study, in Group 1 (Non-laparoscopic sevoflurane), PMECs increased at the 10th and 30th min of the operation, after extubation, and at 15 and 30 min after extubation in the postoperative period. Especially at 30 min after intubation, the value was higher than normal limits of ± 50 daPa. In Group 2 (Laparoscopic sevoflurane), PMECs non-significantly increased at the 30th min of insufflation and at the elimination period, and the changes were within normal limits. In sevoflurane groups (Group 1 and 2), an increase of the PMECs was detected at different degrees. In the third group (Group 3), TIVA was applied during the laparoscopic procedure, and in this group, a significant difference between PMECs was detected at the 30th min of insufflation and during elimination compared to the initial measurement, but the values were observed as slightly negative and between ± 50 daPa values of normal limits.

The main difference between group 1 and 2 was that conventional surgery was performed in Group 1 and laparoscopic surgery was performed in Group 2. In laparoscopic surgery, pain, surgical trauma and inflammation occur at lower rates than classic surgical procedures. Surgical pain constitutes one of the main differences between laparoscopic surgery and surgeries with the classical approach. Imaging techniques indicate that acute pain can increase regional cerebral blood flow from the brainstem to the cortical projection area as could be observed with incision [11]. Although not proven in patients under anesthesia, general anesthesia itself does not seem to suppress these changes completely as hemodynamic variables increase during surgical incision. These changes may also be secondary to the inflammation induced by surgical trauma, which has been demonstrated to

| Table 2. Middle ear pressure (PMEC) (DaPa) variations between study groups* |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | Group 1 (n = 20)             | Group 2 (n = 20)             | Group 3 (n = 20)             | p value                     |
| Preoperative                | −10.6 ± 52.05                | −3.77 ± 63.8                | −25.55 ± 65.44              |                             |
| In10                        | 43 ± 85.96 *A                | 7.76 ± 98.6                 | −25.73 ± 74.43              | 0.001, 0.005                |
| In30                        | 76.63 ± 119.94 *A            |                             |                             | 0.001                       |
| ENS10                       | 0.82 ± 143.98                | −30.7 ± 105.83              |                             |                             |
| ENS30                       | 25.47 ± 121.16               | 6.5 ± 86.48 *A              |                             | 0.005                       |
| ELM                         | 29.34 ± 130.98               | −4.8 ± 165.38 *A            |                             | 0.044                       |
| EXT                         | 45.86 ± 104.62 *A            | 5.63 ± 142.37               | −12.37 ± 121.46             | 0.006                       |
| PO15                        | 22.97 ± 87.82 *A             | 5.18 ± 112.03               | 6.23 ± 83.14                | 0.044                       |
| PO30                        | 30.57 ± 66.9 *A              | −3.28 ± 89.56               | −2.63 ± 72.65               | 0.004                       |
| PO60                        | −2.97 ± 66.08                | −19.75 ± 85.23              | 0.92 ± 71.06                |                             |
| PO6h                        | −48.73 ± 68.87               | −17.52 ± 78.34              | −3.84 ± 65.58               |                             |

In 10 – 10 min after intubation, ENS10 – 10 min after insufflation, ENS30 – 30 min after insufflation, ELM – elimination, EXT – extubation, PO 15, 30, 60, 6h – postoperative 15, 30, 60 min and 6 h respectively.

* – between Group 1 and Group 3, ▲ – in Group 1, and ■ – Group 3 compared to the preoperative value.
be milder in laparoscopic interventions due to lower potential for tissue injury [12, 13].

In laparoscopic surgery, CO2 insufflation is performed into the abdomen. CO2 is a gas with high resolution, absorbed through the peritoneum [13, 14], and then is carried by the venous system and eliminated through the respiratory tract [15]. CO2 insufflation during laparoscopic surgery may decrease heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance, causing a decrease in middle ear blood flow. On the other hand, CO2 causes venous dilatation that may balance its effects; and increase microcirculation [16–19]. In our study, in Group 1, CO2 insufflation was not applied during conventional surgery. In this group, the increase in PMEC may depend on the diffusion of gases from the blood into the middle ear cavity due to the vasodilator effect of sevoflurane. In Group 2, although sevoflurane was applied as in Group 1, the difference was due to the insufflation of CO2 into the peritoneal cavity during laparoscopy. Although blood-carried CO2 diffuses into the middle ear cavity, it is also removed from the middle ear cavity with the help of increased microcirculation. Additionally, the main mechanism for escaping air and gases from the middle ear cavity is passive through the Eustachian tube (ET). When the elasticity of the Eustachian tube is overcome, air escapes to the nasopharynx. The ET has a valve-like function. The tubal muscles actively dilate the tubal valve for adequate ventilation of the middle ear. Active and passive exchange of middle ear gases occurs constantly within the middle ear [20]. Poe, et al [21] reported that normal ETs had four consistent sequential movements: [1] palatal elevation causing passive, then active, rotation of the medial cartilaginous lamina; [2] lateral excursion of the lateral pharyngeal wall; [3] dilation of the lumen, caused primarily by tensor veli palatini muscle movement beginning distally and inferiorly, then opening proximally and superiorly; and [4] opening of the tubal valve at the isthmus caused by dilator tubae muscle contraction [21]. In the present study, sevoflurane is eliminated quickly, and CO2 diffused into the middle ear cavity is removed quickly due to the function of the ET and also increased microcirculation. Therefore, during a short-period (at 30 min of insufflation and in the elimination period), a non-significant increase in middle ear pressure may be detected.

In addition, increased CO2 levels seem to contribute to this anti-inflammatory effect [22]. Similar to upper respiratory tract infection, edema or other changes that are mediated through an increase in vascular supply, inflammatory cells and cytokines may impede diffusion and therefore lead to the accumulation of anesthetic gases in a closed environment.

In Group 3 (Laparoscopic TIVA), although there were changes in PMECs at 30 min of insufflation and the elimination period, PMECs did not increase more than positive pressure values. When the procedure was performed with laparoscopy, the rapid destruction of anesthetic agents due to the increase in microcirculation, and less surgical trauma and pain may cause these results.

If it is necessary to operate on patients who have undergone ear surgery or middle ear prosthesis replacement surgery previously, laparoscopy should be preferred instead of conventional surgical intervention, and the use of TIVA may be more suitable in these patients. In the laparoscopic sevoflurane group (Group 2), the small increase in middle ear pressure, and in the conventional surgery + sevoflurane group (Group 1), the increase of the middle ear pressure throughout the procedure and post-op period, may lead to displacement of the prosthesis in patients who have previously undergone ear surgery and middle ear prosthesis replacement.

The influence of positioning on cerebral blood flow and intracranial pressure is well established [23]. To eliminate the possible effects of positioning, repeated measures of tympanograms were obtained from all patients in the supine position. In the present study, the effects of CO2 insufflation on PMEC might be limited due to the measuring interval. Continuous or more frequent data collection may determine the increase or decrease in pressure better. Nevertheless, the number of measurements in our study was higher than that in other studies in the literature [2].

In a study comparing the effects of sevoflurane with TIVA, Öztürk et al. demonstrated that the increase in PMEC was more pronounced with sevoflurane [5]. The same investigators reported that desflurane can also increase PMEC [6]. The methodological diversity must be mentioned, however, since a laryngeal mask was used in previous studies. Volatile anesthetics are capable of inducing venodilatation and increasing capillary blood flow [24], which leads to an increase in PMEC as a result of these effects. Diffusion of volatile anesthetics to the middle ear cavity may also be considered to increase PMEC; however, concentrations of the volatile anesthetics are limited during clinical practice. This may explain the relatively higher PMEC values determined with desflurane in studies performed by Öztürk et al. that required higher anesthetic concentrations [5, 6]. On the other hand; volatile expansion is not the sole explanation for increased PMEC. Dexmedetomidine, an α-2 selective blocker that has been used for controlled
hypotension to decrease bleeding from the operation field during middle ear surgery, was also demonstrated paradoxically to increase PMEC, possibly due to altered microvascularization [25].

In the literature, there are studies on sevoflurane anesthesia on ear surgery. Shirgoska et al. [26] reported that remifentanil alone and in combination with sevoflurane are effective in inducing consistent and sustained controlled hypotension in children undergoing middle ear microsurgery [26]. Crawford et al. [27] reported that volatile anesthetics (sevoflurane, desflurane and isoflurane) suppress the stapedius reflex in a dose-dependent manner; and they advised against the use of volatile anesthetics for measurement of the stapedius reflex threshold during cochlear implant surgery. The first study recommends sevoflurane use, whereas the second was against the usage of sevoflurane in cochlear implant surgery.

Pressure is one of the measurable parameters of the middle ear cavity and stability of PMEC does not prevent any potential adverse outcomes. A decrease in middle ear blood flow without increasing the PMEC may lead to edema or ischemia. Blood gas analysis during tympanogram may clarify the possible influence of acid-base status on PMEC, and the lack of this analysis is one of the limitations of the present study. The unequal number of patients in open versus laparoscopic surgery groups is the other limitation, so anesthetic depth measurement using BIS or another device may also help to standardize the patients. The effect of various drugs or types of surgeries on the microvascularization of the hearing system is unclear and will be the target of the future investigations.

It can be stated that, for the maintenance of anesthesia, TIVA did not cause positively increased PMEC in laparoscopic surgery, but sevoflurane in conventional surgery caused slightly increased PMEC. However, the changes in PMEC with sevoflurane in laparoscopic surgery were within the normal range of middle ear pressures.

In conclusion, based on the authors’ results, it appears that TIVA may be administered safely during laparoscopic surgery, allowing the maintenance of stable PMEC, particularly in patients who have undergone previous ear surgery. Alterations with sevoflurane anesthesia in laparoscopic procedures may be within the normal range of ± 50 daPa, which may not cause damage to patients with middle ear prosthesis or previous ear surgery. On the other hand, in patients with previous ear surgery, if there is a need of classical surgical procedures in the future, sevoflurane anesthesia should not be the first choice due to its effects on PMEC. Patient characteristics including previous ear surgery should be considered in selecting the optimum anesthetic agents and technique, and optimum post-operative care [28]. Further clinical and experimental studies may elucidate the effects of anesthetic agents in laparoscopic surgery on PMEC.
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