Assessment of Right and Left Ventricular Diastolic Functions with Tissue Doppler Echocardiography in Congestive Heart Failure Patients with Coexisting Acute Pulmonary Embolism
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Abstract

Background. Acute pulmonary embolism (APE), despite improvements in diagnostic methods, often remains undiagnosed. Recently, systolic dysfunction has also been described as assessed by new echocardiographic techniques, such as tissue Doppler imaging (TDI).

Objectives. In our study we have attempted to assess diastolic function within the mitral and tricuspid annuli in congestive heart failure (CHF) patients with coexisting APE.

Material and Methods. The study included 39 patients with CHF with sinus rhythm, 20 patients with confirmed APE (PE+), and 19 patients with excluded APE (PE–). APE was confirmed or excluded on the result of spiral chest computed tomography. Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) was performed to measure early diastolic velocity (Em), late diastolic velocity (Am) of both examined annuli, and Em/AmLV and Em/AmRV ratios.

Results. PE+ subjects were found to have lower EmRV than PE– subjects [4.2 (2.0–14) vs. 6.5 (0.8–14) cm/s; p = 0.006]. The AmLV was higher in the PE+ vs. PE– group [8.4 (3.0–15.2) vs. 3.0 (1.0–14.8), p = 0.0038]. Em/AmLV and Em/AmRV were significantly lower in the PE+ vs. PE– group [0.55 (0.2–1.4) vs. 1.6 (0.16–5.4), p = 0.0089 and 0.41 (0.17–2.5) vs. 1.5 (0.05–5.5), p = 0.0069]. For the APE diagnosis, the area under the ROC curve calculated for AmLV and Em/AmLV was 0.771 (95% cI 0.509–0.890) and 0.742 (95% cI 0.577–0.868) respectively. For the APE diagnosis, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values for AmLV = 4.9 cm/s were: 95%, 68.4%, 76% and 92.9%, respectively and for Em/AmLV = 1.0 were: 95%, 63.2%, 73.1% and 92.3%, respectively.
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A sudden obstruction of a section of the pulmonary vascular bed in patients with acute pulmonary embolism (APE) can lead to acute right ventricular (RV) pressure overload. In approximately 50% of APE patients, echocardiographic signs of RV systolic dysfunction can be detected [1]. Recently, systolic dysfunction has also been described as assessed by new echocardiographic techniques, such as tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) [2–13]. There are, however, limited studies on the diastolic function of the heart muscle in APE patients [14–17]. Patients with coexisting congestive heart failure (CHF) constitute a specific group of patients exhibiting diastolic dysfunction. In our study we have attempted to assess diastolic function within the mitral and tricuspid annuli in CHF patients with coexisting APE.

Material and Methods

The study group consisted of 39 patients with CHF and with sinus rhythm, including 20 patients
with coexisting confirmed APE, and 19 patients with excluded APE who served as the control group. CHF was diagnosed based on the clinical findings according to the Framingham criteria and earlier medical records. Systolic heart failure (SHF) had occurred in 39% of patients, while heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF) had occurred in 61% of studied patients. APE was confirmed or excluded on the result of spiral chest computed tomography. All patients studied were admitted to the hospital due to CHF and clinical suspicion of APE.

Patients with the following conditions were excluded from the study: detected non-sinus rhythm, acute coronary syndromes detected within the previous 14 days, anemia with hemoglobin level below 11 g%, hyperthyroidism, significant valvular defects, condition after the replacement of the mitral or tricuspid valve, significant mitral or tricuspid annular calcification, shunt defects, disorders of the pericardium with fluid in the pericardial sac above 10 mm in diastole, chronic constrictive pericarditis, cardiac tamponade. The study protocol was approved by the local Bioethics Committee, and all patients provided informed consent.

Standard echocardiography was performed on admission in all patients using a 2.5 MHz transducer (System 5, Vingmed, General Electric) and a simultaneous ECG recording. In standard echocardiography, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVDD) and right ventricular end-diastolic dimension (RVDD) were assessed in the parasternal long-axis view. In the apical view, right ventricular systolic pressure was measured by assessing tricuspid regurgitation peak gradient (TRPG), and also left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) using the simplified Simpson’s method [18].

Color tissue Doppler echocardiography with computer image analysis (Echopack 6.3, GE Vingmed) was performed using a 2.5 MHz transducer for all patients. Doppler images were taken in the left lateral position and recordings were obtained during normal respiration. By placing the Doppler gate on the lateral mitral annulus at the posterior or leaflet of the mitral valve, systolic and diastolic myocardial velocity profile was obtained. RV function was assessed in the same way via the long-axis view, by measuring tricuspid lateral annular velocity at the anterior leaflet of the tricuspid valve. Systolic velocity was determined, discounting peak isovolumetric mitral annular systolic velocity (SmLV) and peak isovolumetric tricuspid annular systolic velocity (SmRV). Also, the following parameters were calculated: early diastolic velocity (Em) and late diastolic velocity (Am) of both examined annuli, and the RV and LV Tei index. All parameters were calculated as the mean of measurements taken in 3 consecutive cardiac cycles.

The authors of this manuscript have certified that they have complied with the Principles of Ethical Publishing present in the Declaration of Helsinki and that the study protocol was approved by a local ethics committee.

### Statistical Analysis

Parameters with a normal distribution are presented as a mean ± standard deviation, whereas values with non-normal distributions are expressed as median and range. In order to compare both groups, a Student’s t-test and the Mann-Whitney test were used, depending on the parameter distribution. A χ² test was used to compare qualitative variables in contingency tables.

A value of p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 6.0 PL (Tulsa, OK, USA).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves served to determine the optimal cutoff points for identifying patients with APE.

### Results

Patients with CHF and acute pulmonary embolism (PE+) comprised 20 patients; while the second group which included CHF patients with excluded APE (PE−) consisted of 19 patients. Table 1 presents the clinical characteristics of these patients.

Table 2 presents the general characteristics of parameters assessed by tissue Doppler echocardiography in both groups.

Mitral and tricuspid annular diastolic velocities differed in both examined groups. Patients with confirmed APE exhibited reduced early diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocity (EmRV), whereas late diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocities (AmRV) were similar in both groups. The analysis of mitral annular diastolic velocities indicated that APE patients were characterized by increased late diastolic mitral annular lateral velocities (AmLV) in comparison to patients without APE. However, early diastolic mitral annular velocities (EmLV) did not differ for both examined groups. The early to late LV diastole ratio (Em/LMLV), and early to late RV diastole ratio (Em/RMRV) were significantly lower in patients with confirmed APE as compared to patients with no evidence of APE.

### ROC Analysis

The optimal cutoff value in the ROC analysis for the AmLV parameter was 4.9 cm/s, the surface area under the ROC curve was 0.771 with a 95% confidence interval (CI) (0.509–0.890). This
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amLV value differentiated APE patients from patients without APE with a sensitivity of 95% and a specificity of 68.4%, positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV) were 76% and 92.9%, respectively.

The optimal cutoff value in the ROC analysis for the parameter determining LV diastolic function, i.e. Em/AmLV, was 1.0. The surface area under the ROC curve for the Em/AmLV parameter was 0.742 with a 95% CI (0.577–0.868), p = 0.007. At this value, the sensitivity of this parameter in detecting APE was 95% and the specificity was 63.2%; PPV and NPV amounted to 73.1% and 92.3%, respectively (Fig. 1).

The optimal cutoff value in the ROC analysis for the EmRV parameter was 5 cm/s. The surface area under the ROC curve for this value was 0.757 with a 95% CI (0.593–0.879). At this value, the sensitivity of this parameter in detecting APE was 85% and the specificity was 68.8%; PPV and NPV amounted to 73.9% and 81.3%, respectively.

Table 1. General characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Whole group (n = 39)</th>
<th>PE+ (n = 20)</th>
<th>PE– (n = 19)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (36)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>73.4 ± 11.4</td>
<td>75.6 ± 10</td>
<td>71.2 ± 12.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (56)</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td>10 (53)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (46)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EDD (cm)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDD (cm)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.6–7.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (3.7–6.6)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.6–7.5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>59 (20–70)</td>
<td>60 (30–70)</td>
<td>48 (20–69)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPG (mmHg)</td>
<td>56 ± 12</td>
<td>59 ± 15</td>
<td>54 ± 9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPSE (cm)</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.31</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PE – pulmonary embolism, MI – myocardial infarction, RV EDD – right ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LV EDD – left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction, TRPG – tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient, TAPSE – tricuspid annular peak systolic excursion.

Table 2. Parameters assessed by tissue Doppler echocardiography in PE+ group (n=20) and in PE– group (n=19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PE+ group</th>
<th>PE– group</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SmLV (cm/s)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmLV (cm/s)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.0–11.7)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.5–8.0)</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmLV (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.0–15.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0–14.8)</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Em/AmLV</td>
<td>0.55 (0.2–1.4)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.16–5.4)</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmRV (cm/s)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmRV (cm/s)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.0–14)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.8–14)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmRV (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.0–14.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.3–15)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Em/AmRV</td>
<td>0.41 (0.17–2.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.05–5.5)</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV Tei</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV Tei</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/LV Tei</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SmLV – mitral annular lateral systolic velocity, EmLV – early diastolic mitral annular lateral velocity, AmLV – late diastolic mitral annular lateral velocity, SmRV – tricuspid annular lateral systolic velocity, EmRV – early diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocity, AmRV – late diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocity, Em/AmRV – early to late diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocity ratio, RV Tei – right ventricle Tei index value, LV Tei – left ventricle Tei index value, RV/LV Tei – RV Tei to LV Tei index ratio.
for the parameter determining RV diastolic function, i.e. Em/AmRV, was 0.84. The surface area under the ROC curve for the Em/AmRV parameter was 0.753 with a 95% CI (0.589–0.876). The obtained value differentiated APE patients from patients without APE with a sensitivity of 80.0% and a specificity of 63.2%; PPV and NPV reached 72.7% and 76.5%, respectively.

Mitral annular lateral systolic velocities (SmLV) were higher in patients with confirmed APE than in patients without APE, whereas tricuspid annular lateral systolic velocities did not differ for both groups.

**Discussion**

The assessment of diastolic function in patients with CHF on sinus rhythm is based, among other factors, on the determination of both early and late diastolic mitral annular lateral velocities and early and late diastolic tricuspid annular lateral velocities (EmLV, AmLV and EmRV, AmRV), and early to late diastole ratio in both examined annuli, i.e. Em/AmLV and Em/AmRV. Patients with confirmed APE differed from patients without APE in terms of the diastolic velocity profile both in the LV and RV. The ratio of early to late diastole in APE patients was < 1 and amounted to 0.55 (0.2–1.4) in the lateral mitral annulus and 0.41 (0.17–2.5) in the lateral tricuspid annulus as compared to patients without APE, whose values were 1.6 (0.16–5.4) and 1.5 (0.05–5.5), respectively.

The abnormal diastolic velocity profile in APE patients as compared to patients without APE most likely was due to different loading conditions in each ventricle. The reversal of the Em/AmLV ratio < 1 with regard to the mitral annulus was caused by a significant increase in the velocity during late diastole, that is AmLV, in APE patients as compared to patients without APE, median 8.4 cm/s (3.0–15.2) cm/s and 3.0 cm/s (1.0–14.8) cm/s, respectively, p = 0.0038. However, as regards the lateral tricuspid annulus, the reversal of the Em/AmRV ratio < 1 was due to a decrease in the velocity during early diastole, that is EmRV, in patients with confirmed APE as compared to patients without APE, median 4.2 cm/s (2.0–14.0) cm/s and 6.5 cm/s (0.8–14.0) cm/s, respectively, p = 0.0061.

From a pathophysiological point of view, an increase in the velocity during late diastole in the left part of the heart in patients with confirmed APE, probably occurred analogously to an increased mitral annular systolic velocity (SmLV) in this group of patients as compared to patients without APE (6.9 ± 3.0 cm/s vs. 4.9 ± 2.3 cm/s, p = 0.027), which confirms our previous observations [19]. Due to the presence of embolic material within the pulmonary arteries, a decrease in the preload on the left side of the heart occurs. This can be indicated by a reduced early diastolic mitral flow velocity in patients with confirmed APE as compared to patients with pulmonary hypertension (PH) and healthy subjects [15]. Due to a decreased preload, the left ventricle and the left atrium become relatively "empty", and consequently their walls are more hyperkinetic. This can explain a significant increase in the late diastolic mitral annular lateral velocity, corresponding to the left atrial contraction, and a smaller LVDD in patients with confirmed APE as compared to patients without APE. Additionally, an increase in these mitral annular velocities can be affected by the excitation of the adrenergic system as a result of stress caused by pulmonary embolism. Our results are only partly confirmed by the study of Hsiao et al. [16] that analyzed mitral diastolic velocities in APE patients as compared to patients with PH. They observed only a tendency towards higher late diastolic mitral annular lateral velocities in APE patients as compared to patients without APE (9.9 ± 3.0 and 8.9 ± 3.2, p = 0.08), with similar early diastolic velocities.

As regards the right side of the heart, in both groups of patients RV overload occurs, as evidenced by the mean values of TRPG above 40 mm Hg, both in patients with confirmed APE and in patients with no evidence of APE. In APE patients due to a decreased preload on the left side of the heart associated with the embolic material within the pulmonary arteries pressure and volume load increase on the right side. This is evidenced by the increased RV Tei index value in APE patients as
compared to patients with PH and healthy individuals, obtained in other studies [15, 17]. An increased RV Tei index value in APE patients results from a prolonged isovolumetric contraction time (IVCT), and most of all, from a prolonged isovolumetric diastolic time (IVDVT) with a simultaneous shortening of ejection time (ET).

Due to the pressure overload, and mostly, volume overload of the RV in APE patients, the filling of the RV via the right atrium (RA) and vena cavae is more difficult than normal. This can lead to a reduced early diastolic velocity (EmRV), the so-called passive phase, as compared to patients with no evidence of embolic material in the pulmonary arteries. This can explain the mechanism of a significant decrease of EmRV within the lateral tricuspid annulus among our APE patients as compared to the control group. Our results are supported by the study of Radman et al. [20], who also observed a reduced early diastolic tricuspid annular velocity in APE patients; however, in another study such a correlation was not observed [15].

The analysis of the diastolic function by tissue Doppler echocardiography in our research population is, however, more complex. We studied patients with retained sinus rhythm, and LV diastolic and systolic dysfunctions. Additionally, these patients have an advanced stage of CHF and coexisting PH or confirmed APE. Potential explanation for the mechanism of different diastolic velocities profiles in both patient groups is difficult. Due to potential interactions between the ventricles, an increased LV end-diastolic pressure, characteristic for LV failure, negatively influences the RV systolic and diastolic functions, consequently leading to pulmonary venous hypertension. A counter-relationship also occurs: right heart dysfunction due to a sudden secondary RV overload, or due to ischemia, leads to a decreased LV ejection.

Limitations of the Study

The major limitation of this study is the fact that it is a one-center study conducted with a small group of patients. Another limitation is associated with the presence of patients with both retained and disturbed LV systolic function, which might have affected the results obtained. Another limitation of our study is the lack of analysis of mitral and tricuspid flow in a standard echocardiographic examination.

The authors concluded that Tissue Doppler echocardiography reveals changes in mitral and tricuspid annular velocities in CHF patients with confirmed APE. These patients exhibit a reduced early diastolic tricuspid annular velocity and increased late diastolic mitral annular velocity. The high sensitivity of AmLV and Em/AmLV parameters, amounting to 95% in APE diagnostics, is probably overestimated due to the small number of patients. Consequently, this study requires further research to be conducted with a larger study group in order to confirm our preliminary results.
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