Abstract

Objectives. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of Glubran 2 on bleeding, wound healing, and patient comfort in the postoperative period together with its effectiveness in approximating the skin edges in circumcision.

Material and Methods: A total of 247 patients (age range: 1–12 years) underwent circumcision using a suture for wound approximation (group 1, n = 57) or circumcision using Glubran 2 surgical glue after subcuticular skin closure (group 2, n = 190). The circumcision was performed using a sleeve technique. All patients received standard general anesthesia and caudal blockade with levobupivacaine was applied after anesthesia induction. In group 2, after meticulous hemostasis, the incision was cleansed and the skin edges were approximated in a subcuticular manner. A thin layer of Glubran 2 surgical glue was applied and allowed to dry. Antibiotic ointment was then applied to the glans and penis.

Results. There were no significant differences between the two groups regarding wound inflammation, wound infection, bleeding, wound dehiscence, and edema. However, the cosmetic outcomes and parental satisfaction were consistently greater in group 2.
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Traditional post-neonatal circumcision is performed using a standard sleeve technique with sutures for the approximation of the skin edges [4].

The use of tissue adhesives to seal wound sites where sutures would be inappropriate or unable to control bleeding is a widespread method in many open-surgical and endoscopic procedures, such as cardiovascular, thoracic, vascular, and abdominal surgery [5]. Glubran 2 is a tissue adhesive with high adhesive and hemostatic properties. It is a synthetic surgical glue consisting of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (NBCA) modified by the addition of a monomer which allows obtaining an exothermic polymerization reaction at around 45°C with a slightly higher polymerization time than other cyanoacrylate glues [6, 7].

The advantages of tissue glue for approximating the skin edges during circumcision have been previously reported [1, 4, 8, 9]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of the use of Glubran 2 on bleeding, wound healing, and patient comfort in the postoperative period together with its effectiveness in approximating the skin edges in circumcision.

**Material and Methods**

From January 2006 to April 2009, 247 healthy boys (age range: 1–12 years) admitted to the Abant Izzet Baysal University Medical Faculty underwent circumcision using suture for wound approximation (group 1, n = 57) or circumcision using subcuticular approximation and Glubran 2 (GEM s.r.l., Viareggio, Italy) for skin closure (group 2, n = 190). The parents were informed of the study and their consent was obtained. An identical surgical technique was performed by the same surgeon. All the patients received a standard anesthetic protocol including premedication with oral midazolam (0.5 mg/kg) 20–30 min preoperatively. The patients received either only caudal 0.25% plain levobupivacaine (1 ml/kg) or propofol in a dose of (3 mg/kg) intravenously if the patient had an intravenous line. An intravenous line was established in the other patients after inhalational induction with sevoflurane in stepwise incremental doses up to 6% via mask with 70% nitrous oxide in 30% oxygen. Infusion of Ringer’s lactate at a rate of 10 ml/kg/h was started in both groups after induction. The patients were turned to the left lateral position as soon as anesthesia was sufficiently deep and an Epican-Paed caudal needle (Epican Braun, Melsingen, Germany) was inserted into the sacral hiatus under aseptic conditions. Anesthesia was later maintained with 2 mg/kg/h intravenous propofol infusion, allowing the child to breathe spontaneously, and oxygen was delivered (3 l/min) via a simple face mask. Additional bolus doses of propofol were injected intravenously (0.5 mg/kg) as needed.

The circumcision was performed using a sleeve technique [10]. The skin was marked with a surgical pen to afford tension-free tissue closure. After freeing all adhesions between the glans and the prepuce, two hemostatic clamps were applied at the 12 o’clock aspect of the preputium. The preputium was cut between the clamps until the subcoronal groove was reached. Then, continuing using the scissors, the preputium was cut all around, taking care to leave a 5- to 10-mm-wide portion of the inner leaf of the prepuce all around below the corona. Hemostasis at the cut area was done using electrocautery and the bleeding of the frenular artery was clamped. In group 1, a 6–0 polyglactin suture was used for wound approximation and dressing was used. In group 2, the entire cut edges were approximated with a 6–0 polyglactin suture in a subcuticular manner and a thin layer of tissue glue was applied (Fig. 1A). To prevent the repair from adhering to the glans or penile shaft skin, antibiotic ointment was applied to these areas (Fig. 1B).
In group 2, the patient’s diaper or underwear was replaced after extubation and transfer. No dressing was used in these cases and the patients were allowed to bathe according to their usual routine (after 48 hours) after discharge. All patients were evaluated in the office on days 1, 7, 15, and 30 postoperatively and again at 6 months to assess bleeding during the postoperative period, healing, inflammation, wound dehiscence, edema, cosmetic appearance (mild, good, excellent), and the parents’ satisfaction. The Mann-Whitney U and $\chi^2$ tests were used to analyze the results. $P$ values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

### Results

There were no significant differences between the two groups regarding wound inflammation, wound infection, bleeding, wound dehiscence, and edema. The group 2 patients were comfortable and were able to move freely. Normal appearance was achieved within two weeks after the operation and the healing was good. At a mean follow-up period of 13 months (range: 2–18 months), no other complications occurred and the cosmetic appearance was good or excellent in all patients in group 2 ($p < 0.005$, Table 1). Parental satisfaction was also consistently great in group 2.

### Discussion

Glubran 2 is a class III (for internal and external surgical use) medical-surgical product which fulfills the requirements of the European Directive on Medical Devices 93/42/EU and it has been approved for endoscopic use in Europe. Glubran 2 is largely used in laparoscopic and traditional surgery and in interventional radiology [11]. Indeed, it is diffusely applied on skin, eliminating the need for suture removal and providing good cosmetic results [6]. Glubran 2 is a straw-colored and clear liquid contained in 1-ml ready-to-use vials to be stored in a cool environment not exceeding +4°C. On contact with biological tissues in a moist environment, cyanoacrylate rapidly polymerizes to create a thin elastic film of high tensile strength, which guarantees firm adherence of tissues. The film easily tailors to the planes and tissues of application, is totally water resistant, and is not impaired by the presence of blood or organic fluids. When correctly applied, the glue begins solidification within 1 or 2 second and completes the process within 60 or 90 seconds. The glue reaches its maximum mechanical strength upon completion of this reaction [12].

Ozkan et al. [1] used N-butyl cyanoacrylate tissue glue for the mucocutaneous approximation of circumcision wounds in children and reported that the use of tissue glue in circumcision has no added advantage in inflammation, infection, bleeding, and dehiscence. However, it shortened operation time with a superior cosmetic result in that study, and for this reason they suggested that tissue glue approximation in circumcision is a feasible alternative. Subramaniam [9] found that circumcision closure with cyanoacrylate caused less pain and pain of a shorter duration than that with standard sutured closure. Finally, in a recent study involving 267 boys, Elmore et al. [4] made similar observations when two thin layers of 2-octyl cyanoacrylate were applied to the incision. They suggested that sutureless circumcision closure using 2-OCA is a safe, fast, and cosmetically appealing alternative to standard interrupted suture approximation.

Glue application may provide the change of the patient using a diaper or the patient’s underwear in the early postoperative period, and the patients may take baths according to their usual routines (after 48 hours) after discharge. Wound dressing was not used in these cases, and this allows the patients to have a comfortable postoperative period and protects them from coming to the hospital for redressing of the wound, with the accompanying pain and psychological trauma of reopening the dressing. However, the main differ-

### Table 1. Comparison of complications and cosmetic results in groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications (Powikłania)</th>
<th>Group 1 (Grupa 1)</th>
<th>Group 2 (Grupa 2)</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inflammation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bleeding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wound dehiscence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edema</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cosmetic results – n, % (Rezultaty kosmetyczne – n, %)</th>
<th>Group 1 (Grupa 1)</th>
<th>Group 2 (Grupa 2)</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mild</td>
<td>29 (51)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>good</td>
<td>23 (40)</td>
<td>60 (32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>120 (63)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant.
* Istotne statystycznie.
ence in our study from the other studies is that the edges were approximated with 6–0 polyglactin suture subcuticularly before applying the glue to the skin. The authors concluded that this approach in circumcision is safe and comfortable for the patient, surgeon, and the anesthetist.
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