Health needs in local government policies in Poland in the context of anti-smoking health policy programs
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Abstract

Background. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), every year tobacco smoking kills around 5.4 million people worldwide. Tobacco smoking is a major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases and cancer. In Poland, an average of 67,000 people die every year on account of smoking.

Objectives. The aim of the study was to evaluate the health security guaranteed by local governments based on an analysis of health policy programs associated with tobacco consumption, which were conducted in Poland from 2009 to 2014 by local governments.

Material and methods. The study was based on desk research. The data was sourced from the annual reports submitted to the Minister of Health, concerning the health policy programs which were carried out. The analysis covered programs which name, objective or description of tasks indicated that they concerned tobacco smoking.

Results. The largest number of programs was completed in the West Pomeranian, Warmian-Masurian and Masovian voivodeships. The smallest number of programs were completed in Kuyavian-Pomeranian, Łódź and Opole voivodeships. The greatest number of programs were carried out by municipalities, followed by counties and county towns, and finally by self-governments of the voivodeships. The number of preventive programs was significantly greater than the number of other types of programs. The majority of programs were aimed at children; there were fewer programs dedicated to adults. The expenditure on the programs was the highest in self-governments of the voivodeships, while the lowest was in municipalities.

Conclusions. The steady growth in the number of anti-smoking programs completed 2009–2014 was one of the factors that reduced tobacco smoking. In view of the mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases, the inhabitants of Lublin and Warmian-Masurian voivodeships had their health needs addressed most efficiently. In the case of mortality rates due to tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer, the health needs of the inhabitants of Warmian-Masurian and West Pomeranian voivodeships were addressed most efficiently.
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Background

Tobacco smoking is one of the most serious contemporary threats to civilization. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), tobacco smoking kills around 5.4 million people every year. Without any action, by 2030 the number of deaths caused by tobacco smoking will exceed 8 million per year. More than 80% of those deaths will occur in developing regions.

Tobacco smoking is a major risk factor for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, as well as for cancer. Tobacco consumption is believed to be a risk factor for 6 out of the 8 most common causes of death in the world, i.e., ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, lower respiratory infection, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, as well as tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer. Smokers usually die of tracheal, bronchial or lung cancer, COPD, and ischemic heart disease.

Despite regular preventive actions, an average of 67,000 people per year dies in Poland on account of smoking (51,000 men and 16,000 women). The dominant causes of death among Poles are cardiovascular diseases and cancers.

In 2013, similarly, the largest percentage of cancer deaths among men and women were due to lung cancer – 30.6%, and respiratory infection, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, as well as tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer. Smokers usually die of tracheal, bronchial or lung cancer, COPD, and ischemic heart disease.

Methods

The study was based on desk research. The data was sourced from the annual reports submitted by voivodes to the Minister of Health on the health policy programs implemented by local governments. The analysis covered all anti-smoking health policy programs completed from 2009 to 2014 – 1,482 programs in total.

The analysis covered programs whose name, objective or description of tasks indicated that they had concerned tobacco smoking. The programs were classified into one of 3 groups: preventive programs, diagnostic and therapeutic programs, and preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs. The classification into particular groups was based on the objective specified by the given local government, the type of program and the description of actions taken within the program. The analysis of the differences in the number of programs between voivodeships and the number of programs completed in particular years was based on a one-sample $\chi^2$ test. The differences in terms of the number of programs completed between 2009 and 2014 by municipalities, counties and voivodeships were analyzed with Cochran’s Q test, just as the differences in terms of specific programs completed in particular years. The differences in terms of the costs of programs realized depending on the type of program and the type of local government that implemented the program were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). One-way ANOVA was applied for the evaluation of differences in the average values of expenditure on the programs in voivodeships in particular years.

Results

Based on a $\chi^2$ test for 1 sample, statistically significant differences concerning the number of programs implemented in particular voivodeships were found ($\chi^2 [15] = 791.68, p < 0.001$).

The largest number of programs was implemented in West Pomeranian, Warmian-Masurian and Masovian voivodeships. The smallest number of programs was carried out in Kuyavian-Pomeranian, Łódź and Opole voivodeships (Fig. 1).

Based on a $\chi^2$ test for 1 sample, statistically significant differences in terms of the number of programs implemented in the subsequent years were found ($\chi^2[5] = 24.34, p < 0.001$).

The number of programs implemented between 2013 and 2014 was higher than the number of programs implemented between 2009 and 2012 (Fig. 2). Statistically significant dynamics of change were found in the number of programs implemented in subsequent years in Lower Silesian, Lublin, Lesser Poland, Masovian, Subcarpathian, Pomeranian, Silesian, Warmian-Masurian, Greater Poland, West Pomeranian and Lubusz voivodeships. Such statistically significant dynamics of change seem appropriate.

Objectives

The aim of the study was to evaluate how local governments addressed the health needs of their citizens by analyzing the health policy programs concerning tobacco product consumption completed in Poland between 2009 and 2014.
The number of programs implemented in subsequent years grew in Lower Silesian, Masovian, Warmian-Masurian, West Pomeranian, Greater Poland, and Lubusz voivodeships. The number of programs implemented in Łódź, Opole, Silesian, and Świętokrzyskie voivodeships dropped. The number of programs implemented in Lublin, Podlaskie, Pomeranian, and Subcarpathian voivodeships was found to have dropped, but then it increased (Table 1).

Based on Cochran’s Q test, statistically significant differences in the number of programs implemented by particular local government units were found ($Q(2) = 670.07$, $p < 0.001$).

The largest number of programs were implemented by municipalities, followed by counties. The smallest number of programs was conducted by voivodeships (Fig. 3).

A statistically significant increase in the number of programs implemented by municipalities in subsequent years was found. No statistically significant changes were found in the number of programs implemented by counties and self-governments of the voivodeships (Table 2).

The analysis covered the variation of programs in terms of the type: preventive programs, diagnostic and therapeutic programs, or preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs (Fig. 4).

Based on Cochran’s Q test, statistically significant differences in the number of programs implemented by particular local governments were found ($Q(2) = 1,964.98$, $p < 0.001$).

The number of preventive programs was significantly higher compared to diagnostic and therapeutic programs, and to preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs.

A statistically significant increase in the number of preventive programs implemented in subsequent years, and
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**Table 1.** Frequency distribution – programs implemented in subsequent years in particular voivodeships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Silesian</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16.22**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuyavian-Pomeranian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lublin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.72*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Łódź</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser Poland</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.73***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masovian</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.12*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opole</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcarpathian</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.04***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podlaskie</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomeranian</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.75**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silesian</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.05***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Świętokrzyskie</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmian-Masurian</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>32.89***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Poland</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.10**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Pomeranian</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28.32***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubusz</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27.33***</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2$ – chi-squared test; df – degree of freedom; $p$ – statistical significance; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$. 
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![Fig. 1. Frequency distribution – the number of programs implemented in particular voivodeships between 2009 and 2014](image1)

![Fig. 2. Frequency distribution – the number of programs implemented between 2009 and 2014](image2)
A statistically significant decrease in the number of preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs were found (Table 3).

The associations between the types of programs (preventive programs, diagnostic and therapeutic programs, and preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs) and the type of local government (municipality, county and voivodeship) were also analyzed (Table 4).

It was found that the greatest number of programs, regardless of the type, were implemented by municipalities, while the smallest number of them were implemented by self-governments of the voivodeships.

The analysis also covered the variation of programs in terms of the population covered by a given program. The authors checked how many programs were aimed at children and teenagers, and how many at adults, as well as how many programs were dedicated to women, and how many to men (Fig. 5).

Based on Cochran’s Q test, statistically significant differences in the number of programs aimed at adults, children, women, and men were found (Q(3) = 2,527.56, p < 0.001).

The largest number of programs were aimed at children.
There were fewer programs dedicated to adults. Only 6 programs were aimed specifically at women, and 2 were dedicated to men. Some programs were dedicated both to children and adults, which is why they were classified into both groups.

The analysis also covered the total costs of preventive programs, diagnostic and therapeutic programs, and preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic programs in municipalities, counties and voivodeships (Table 5).

Based on the analyses conducted under two-way ANOVA, statistically significant differences in terms of the costs of programs implemented by particular local governments were found (F(2.587) = 3.16, p < 0.05, η² = 0.01). No statistically significant differences were found in terms of the costs depending on the type of program (F(2.587) = 1.28, p > 0.05), nor in terms of the costs depending on the type of program implemented by a municipality, county or voivodeship (F(4.587) = 0.78, p > 0.05).

The programs implemented by voivodeships entailed the highest costs. The programs implemented by counties cost less, while the programs implemented by municipalities cost the least (Fig. 6).

Based on the results of a one-way ANOVA, no statistically significant differences in the mean values of expenditure on anti-smoking programs in the Lublin were to implemented in particular years (F(5.38) = 3.23, p < 0.05, η² = 0.30) (Table 7).

The expenditure in 2010 was statistically higher than the expenditure incurred in the other years.

There were statistically significant differences in the mean values of expenditure on anti-smoking programs in Łódź Voivodeship implemented in particular years (F(4.11) = 25.02, p < 0.001, η² = 0.90) (Table 8).

The expenditure in 2014 was statistically higher than the expenditure incurred in the other years.

There were statistically significant differences in the mean values of expenditure on anti-smoking programs in Subcarpathian Voivodeship implemented in particular years (F(4.20) = 16.80, p < 0.001, η² = 0.77) (Table 9).
The expenditure in 2009–2010 was statistically higher than the expenditure incurred in the other years.

Discussion

The results of research conducted in recent years point to a reduction in the spread of tobacco smoking in Poland. In 2004, the percentage of smokers was 30.1%, while in 2009 it was 29.2%. Over the next 5 years, the percentage of smokers dropped by more than 3% and reached 26.1% in 2014. The reduction in the consumption of tobacco is a consequence of legislative actions and all kinds of activities carried out at the national, regional and local levels. This positive trend should be continued in the future.

For cardiovascular diseases, there was the National Program of Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Diseases for 2003–2005, 2006–2008, 2009, and 2010–2012, as well as the National Program of Equal Access to Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Diseases for 2013–2016. The programs provided education aimed at the entire society and focused on raising the awareness of risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, including tobacco smoking. For cancers, there was the National Cancer Control Programme, in effect from 2005 to 2015. This program continues as the National Cancer Control Programme for 2016–2024. Those programs provide for actions aimed at health promotion and cancer prevention, including actions focused on the reduction of tobacco smoking. According to the assumptions of the programs, they should be supported by regional and local initiatives, scientific associations, and non-government organizations.

The implementation of local government health policy programs concerning tobacco smoking is an example of regional and local actions. In the period covered by this analysis, the most health policy programs were implemented by municipalities and the fewest by voivodeships. The greatest expenditure on the implementation of anti-smoking programs was incurred by voivodeships, while municipalities spent the least. The structure of expenditure incurred by particular local governments may suggest that the funds are first of all allocated for fulfilling the obligatory health protection tasks defined by law.

Most of the programs implemented by local governments from 2009 to 2014 were preventive programs. In 2002, the WHO estimated the proportional contribution of particular risk factors in the overall number of deaths in European countries. Tobacco smoking proved to be a major risk factor in Poland. According to the estimates made by the WHO, risk factors accounted for around 55% of deaths in Poland and nearly 40% of years that could have otherwise been lived in health. In this context, the implementation of preventive programs by local governments, and the statistically significant annual increase in the number of preventive programs implemented in subsequent years, should be viewed as positive. The implementation of preventive programs remains in line with the guidelines for effectively fighting tobacco smoking listed in the World Health Organization's MPOWER policy. The policy aims to protect people from tobacco smoke and to warn them about the dangers of tobacco smoke. The implementation of preventive programs by local governments is in line with the guidelines set forth in the Strategy for Fighting Cancer in Poland for 2015–2024 and the White Book report. One of the objectives of the strategy is to prevent cancers caused by tobacco smoking by disseminating information on the negative effects of smoking, specifically among minors.

In the period covered by this analysis, the greatest number of anti-smoking health policy programs were implemented in West Pomeranian, Warmian-Masurian and Masovian voivodeships, while the smallest number of such programs were implemented in Kuyavian-Pomeranian, Łódź and Opole voivodeships. Taking into account the territorial variation, one can conclude that the fewest regular smokers live in Subcarpathian and Lesser Poland voivodeships – around 18% of the adult population. The greatest number of smokers live in Lower Silesian, Kuyavian-Pomeranian, Lubusz, and West Pomeranian voivodeships, where the percentage of regular smokers is 10% higher. Among the voivodeships with the highest percentage of smokers, only West Pomeranian Voivodeship properly addressed the health needs of its inhabitants arising from tobacco consumption. In the period covered by this analysis, the voivodeship implemented
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**Table 8. Mean value of expenditure (in PLN) on anti-smoking programs in Łódź Voivodeship in particular years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>21,636.31</td>
<td>48,202.18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,710.30</td>
<td>730.63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>65,000.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>12,789.50</td>
<td>15,614.60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>357,750.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38,160.44</td>
<td>91,190.50</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M – mean value; SD – standard deviation; n – No. of programs.

**Table 9. Mean value of expenditure (in PLN) on anti-smoking programs in Subcarpathian Voivodeship in particular years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>105,219.90</td>
<td>35,666.32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>66,839.43</td>
<td>80,383.09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>571.38</td>
<td>1,149.19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>570.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>152.50</td>
<td>153.05</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,177.63</td>
<td>37,534.09</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M – mean value; SD – standard deviation; n – No. of programs.
the most anti-smoking health policy programs: 229. From 2009 to 2014, Lower Silesian Voivodeship implemented 95 programs, Lubusz 58, and Kuyavian-Pomeranian only 25. In those voivodeships, the health needs of the inhabitants were not addressed properly.

The analysis also covered the implementation of health policy programs in terms of satisfying the health needs of the inhabitants arising from diseases caused by tobacco smoking.

In 2009 and 2010, the mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases were the highest in Warmian-Masurian (over 397/100,000), Łódź (over 374/100,000) and Lublin voivodeships. The lowest mortality rates were recorded in Pomeranian (over 281/100,000), Podlaskie (over 304/100,000) and Greater Poland (323/100,000) voivodeships. From 2000 to 2010, the mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases in Poland dropped by 21%, and the decrease was the most significant in Pomeranian (by 30%) and Silesian (by 29%) voivodeships. The least significant improvement was in Warmian-Masurian (by only 2%) and in Świętokrzyskie (by 6%) voivodeships. In 2012, the highest mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases were recorded in Silesian, Świętokrzyskie and Lublin voivodeships (over 490/100,000), and the rate was around 25% higher than in Podlaskie Voivodeship, where the lowest rates were recorded (394/100,000). Although the mortality rates in Świętokrzyskie and Łódź voivodeships ranked among the highest, local governments did not increase the number of anti-smoking health policy programs in subsequent years. A downward trend was observed in Świętokrzyskie and Łódź voivodeships from 2009 to 2014. Those voivodeships failed to properly address the health needs of their inhabitants. The actions taken by the local governments of Lublin and Warmian-Masurian voivodeships must be viewed as positive.

The greatest incidence of lung cancer in 2009 was recorded in Warmian-Masurian, Pomeranian and Kuyavian-Pomeranian voivodeships for men, and in Pomeranian, Warmian-Masurian and Kuyavian-Pomeranian voivodeships for women (63/100,000 and 20/100,000, respectively). In 2012, the highest incidence among men was recorded in Warmian-Masurian, Kuyavian-Pomeranian (over 65/100,000) and Pomeranian (over 58/100,000) voivodeships; the highest incidence among women was found in Warmian-Masurian (over 24/100,000) and Kuyavian-Pomeranian voivodeships (over 23/100,000). Local governments in West Pomeranian and Warmian-Masurian voivodeships addressed the health needs of the inhabitants most effectively. The activity of local governments in Kuyavian-Pomeranian Voivodeship, who implemented only 25 programs despite high incidence rates, should be viewed as negative. One could also expect a higher number of anti-smoking health policy programs in Pomeranian Voivodeship.

The highest mortality rates due to tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer in 2009–2010 were recorded in Warmian-Masurian, Lubusz (over 195/100,000), Łódź (over 204/100,000), and Kuyavian-Pomeranian (209/100,000) voivodeships. The most favorable situations were recorded in Subcarpathian (over 160/100,000), Opole, Świętokrzyskie, and Lesser Poland (over 168/100,000) voivodeships. In Podkarpackie Voivodeship, where the recorded mortality rates due to tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer in 2000–2001 and 2009–2010 were the lowest in the country, the mortality was 25% lower than the national average, and 42% lower than in Warmian-Masurian Voivodeship. In 2012, the highest standardized mortality ratios due to lung cancer among men were recorded in Warmian-Masurian (over 68/100,000), Kuyavian-Pomeranian (over 62/100,000) and Masovian (over 58/100,000) voivodeships, whereas among women the highest standardized mortality ratios due to lung cancer were recorded in Warmian-Masurian, West Pomeranian and Kuyavian-Pomeranian voivodeships (over 20/100,000). The greatest mortality among the general population due to respiratory diseases, including chronic lower respiratory diseases, from 2000 to 2010, was recorded in Warmian-Masurian Voivodeship. The significant increase in mortality rates between 2000 and 2010 recorded in this voivodeship – which reached 40% of the general population – was alarming. In this context, Warmian-Masurian, West Pomeranian and Masovian voivodeships, which from 2009 to 2014 implemented the most anti-smoking health policy programs, addressed the health needs of inhabitants most effectively. It was quite the contrary in Kuyavian-Pomeranian Voivodeship. This was one of the 3 voivodeships that implemented the fewest anti-smoking health policy programs between 2009 and 2014.

The analysis also covered the mean value of expenditure on anti-smoking programs in particular voivodeships. It was found that there were significant differences in this respect in Lublin, Łódź and Subcarpathian voivodeships in the period covered by our analysis. The lowest force of mortality in Poland due to tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer in 2009–2010 was recorded in Subcarpathian voivodeship. A reasonably favorable situation in this respect was also observed in Lublin Voivodeship: the mortality rates in 2009–2010 were lower than the national average. The significantly higher expenditure from 2009 to 2014 in Lublin and Subcarpathian voivodeships – in the context of attempting to further decrease mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases – should be viewed as positive. The highest expenditure on anti-smoking health policy programs in Łódź Voivodeship was incurred in 2014. The increase in the expenditure in Lublin Voivodeship in 2010 and in Łódź Voivodeship in 2014 seems justified in view of the high mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases. In 2009–2010, the highest mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases were recorded in Świętokrzyskie, Łódź and Lublin voivodeships. The analysis also covered the number of health policy programs dedicated to children and teenagers. The most
serious aspects of tobacco smoking in Poland include the decreasing age of children who experiment with cigarettes, some of whom become regular smokers, the steady number of female smokers, including pregnant women and young mothers, and passive smoking, specifically among children. That final aspect becomes even more serious when one considers that, according to recent data, inhaling tobacco smoke is just as dangerous – or even more dangerous – than active smoking.28 The scale of passive exposure to children to tobacco smoke in Poland is massive: every day around 4 million Polish children inhale tobacco smoke at home or in public places.29 According to 2009 data, nearly 1/4 of children aged 0–14 were exposed to tobacco smoke. One third of young people aged 15–29 were exposed to passive smoking.30 The results of a study of 2003 indicate that 64% of boys and 53% of girls aged 13–15 have smoked at least once in their life, while 30% of boys and 21% of girls had tried smoking before they reached the age of 10.31 In 2009, 11.8% of people aged 15–19 admitted that they smoked, and 7.3% of them smoked every day.30 In the period covered by the analysis, the number of anti-smoking health policy programs aimed at children reached 1,188. There were 685 programs aimed at adults. This structure seems reasonable, taking into consideration the growing problem of tobacco smoking among children and teenagers and the exposure of this group to tobacco smoke.

The experience of other EU member states demonstrates that the best effects are obtained by a long-term policy implemented on many levels. It covers legislative, preventive and controlling actions, as well as addiction therapy.31 These actions require the interaction of many entities – central authorities, local governments and non-government organizations. The highest smoking cessation success rates (>45%) were recorded in Sweden, UK, the Netherlands, Belgium, and France. Those are the countries that have a well-developed smoking restriction policy. The smoking cessation success rates were relatively low (<30%) in Lithuania and Latvia. The smoking restriction policies that most frequently led to smoking cessation included a pricing policy and a ban on the advertising of tobacco products.32 On the other hand, as a result of the long-term prevention of cancer in some EU member states, there is a high incidence of smoking-related diseases arising from high cancer detection rates, low or medium mortality, high 5-year survival rates, and high prevalence. This applies to France, Germany, Norway, Italy, Switzerland, and the UK. In Finland and Sweden, the low risk of lung cancer results from successful long-term anti-smoking campaigns.33 Europe’s experience demonstrates that anti-smoking programs of a preventive nature should be implemented on a micro-, macro- and meso-level. In the first step, factors which encourage young girls and boys or men and women to smoke must be identified. Preventive health policy programs, potentially dedicated to a specific sex, should then be aimed at eliminating that factors.33

Conclusions

The growing number of anti-smoking programs implemented from 2009 to 2013 is one of the factors that led to a decrease in tobacco smoking in Poland. In terms of mortality rates due to cardiovascular diseases, local governments in Lublin and Warmian-Masurian voivodeships addressed the health needs of the local population most effectively. In terms of mortality rates due to tracheal, bronchial and lung cancer, the health needs of the inhabitants of Warmian-Masurian and West Pomeranian voivodeships were addressed most effectively. The anti-smoking programs addressed the problem of the growing incidence of tobacco smoking mainly among children and teenagers.
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