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Abstract

Background. Bone metabolism is a complex system, and fracture healing is one of its most important functions. Many circumstances can influence this process. Chronic drug use in elderly populations can affect bone healing, and inadequate tissue perfusion, increased free radicals and adverse drug effects can negatively influence fracture healing. Nebivolol, an anti-hypertensive drug that selectively blocks β1 receptors, effectively reduces blood pressure by inducing peripheral vasodilation. Nebivolol also exerts anti-oxidant effects by stimulating nitric oxide (NO) synthesis. Many studies show that NO protects the vascular endothelium and improves fracture healing.

Objectives. In this study, the histological and radiological effects of intraperitoneally administered nebivolol on fracture healing were evaluated.

Material and methods. Twenty-one Sprague Dawley rats were divided into three (nebivolol 1, 2 and control) groups. Sterile nebivolol solution (1 mL= 0.017 mg nebivolol) was given to the rats in group 1 every day for four weeks, while the rats in nebivolol group 2 were given 2 mL per day, beginning after production of an open, displaced unilateral femur fracture. Radiographic and histological studies were used to evaluate fracture healing.

Results. Histological and immunohistochemical analysis showed osseous healing with woven bone at the fracture site and only minimal amounts of cartilage in nebivolol 1 and 2 groups. Radiological grading was not different between the control and the nebivolol groups.

Conclusions. This study suggests that nebivolol, a selective β blocker, has positive effects on fracture healing through anti-oxidative effects via the NO pathway and direct vasodilator effects.
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Introduction

Fracture healing is a complex process that requires a local hematoma rich in mesenchymal cells and cytokines. In the fracture healing phase, there is a strong correlation between angiogenesis and osteogenesis. Transportation of biomaterials through the blood to the fracture site is necessary for adequate and prompt healing. The process of converting the organized hematoma to bone callus includes endochondral and intramembranous ossification stages. In addition, chondrocyte differentiation and mineralization require adequate nutrition from the vascular bed [1–3].

Nebivolol is a highly selective β 1-adrenergic receptor antagonist that is used for antihypertensive therapy [4]. In many individuals, a dose of 5 mg effectively reduces blood pressure over a 24-h period and has been shown to cause endothelium-dependent vasodilation [5, 6]. Nebivolol demonstrates systemic anti-oxidant effects by stimulating nitric oxide (NO) release [7]. NO is produced by endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) from L-arginine [6, 8]. The l-nebivolol enantiomer stimulates NO release, and the d-nebivolol enantiomer is responsible for a β1-selective blockade.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of intraperitoneally administered nebivolol on fracture healing. Histological and radiological evaluation of healing was performed in nebivolol and control groups using a rat open-femoral fracture model.

Material and Methods

Twenty-one adult Sprague Dawley rats (average weight 250 g) were used in this study. All in vivo study protocols were approved by the Institutional Laboratory Animal Care and Use Ethical Committee. The animals were housed in the Laboratory Animal Care-Augmentation Facility of Dumlupinar University in a temperature-controlled room (room temperature 20–
22°C) on a 12-h light-dark cycle and were provided with rat pellets with water *ad libitum*. There were seven animals in each standard cage.

**Nebivolol preparation:**

Five-milligram nebivolol tablets (Vasoxen, Menarini Group, I. E. Ulagay, Germany) were pulverized and dissolved in distilled water to obtain a 0.017 mg/mL solution. This was equivalent to a 5-mg adult human (70 kg) dose.

**Surgical procedure:**

The 21 rats were randomly divided into three groups: nebivolol 1, nebivolol 2, and the control. Following the induction of anesthesia with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (50 mg/kg) and xylazine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg), the right hind limb of each animal was shaved and prepared with chlorhexidine gluconate for aseptic surgery. Using an aseptic technique, a longitudinal incision was made on the lateral aspect of the right hind limb. An open femoral fracture was created on the midshaft of the femur using a 1.0-mm sterile drill (Aysam Samsun, Turkey). Two perpendicular drill holes were made in the middle of the shaft, and the bone was manually broken. An intramedullary fixation was performed using a 1.50-mm (0.057-inch)-diameter stainless Kirschner wire (Aysam Samsun, Turkey). The wound was closed using 5-0 vicryl sutures (Pegelac, Doğsan Trabzon, Turkey), and the skin was closed with polypropylene 4-0 sutures (Propylene, Doğsan Trabzon, Turkey). The rats were left without cast immobilization for four weeks (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** Surgical procedure; open femoral fracture model and pinning

**Nebivolol treatment:**

Sterile nebivolol solution (1 mL) was given to the rats in group 1 every day for four weeks, while the rats in nebivolol group 2 were given 2 mL per day. At the end of the four weeks, all rats were sacrificed, and the broken femurs were removed. Radiological examinations were first
performed before fixing the femurs for two days in 10% buffered formaldehyde solution for histological examination.

**Histological and immunohistochemical examination:**

The specimens were decalcified before embedding in paraffin. They were then sectioned (4 μm), placed on slides, and stained with hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and Masson’s trichrome. CD34 immunostaining was used to detect angiogenesis. Each specimen was graded based on ten sections. Grades were determined based on the visual field. Slides were examined using a light microscope (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan) for fracture healing and new bone formation by pathologists who were blinded to the groups. The histological assessment scale (Table 1) described by Allen et al. was used for this study [9].

**Table 1.** Histological fracture healing scale

**Radiological examination:**

Standard anteroposterior roentgenograms were taken. An orthopedic surgeon evaluated the radiographic data according to the scale. Fracture healing was radiographically evaluated and graded into Class 0 (non-union), Class I (mild union), and Class II (union) groups [10].

**Statistical analysis**

Radiologic and histologic scores were determined at the end of the fourth week. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.05 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, California, USA). All data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Because of the small experimental groups, we used nonparametric statistical tests. The differences among the multiple groups were analyzed with the Kruskal-Wallis test. The differences between two groups were analyzed with Dunn’s post-hoc test. A P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.
Results

All rats completed the four-week study. K-wire migration was detected in the abdominal region of one rat in nebivolol group 1; the rat was not excluded from the study because it had no negative impact on animal welfare. The histological findings are shown in Figures 2–4.

**Figure 2:** Control group histological sections: (a) Masson’s trichrome staining showed large amounts of cartilage (yellow arrow) in the callus. (b) No new vascular bed was shown with CD34 immunostaining. (c) Minimally trabecular bone visualized with hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) staining (X40).

**Figure 3:** (a) Masson’s trichrome stained micrographs at the fracture site; cartilage formation on the left side and trabecular bone on the right. (b) CD34 immunostaining showed mild vascularity. (c) Hematoxylin & eosin staining showed increased trabecular bone formation in the nebivolol group 1 (X40).

**Figure 4:** (a) Masson’s trichrome and (c) hematoxylin & eosin micrographs showed predominantly trabecular bone and less cartilage formation. (b) CD34 immunostained micrographs showed increased vascularity in nebivolol group 2 (X40).

**Histological findings**

The average histological scores for the control (Figure 2), and nebivolol groups 1 and 2 (Table 2) were 1.71, 2.28, and 2.85, respectively. Histopathological scoring results revealed that statistically significant differences were observed between the study groups (P = 0.007), as well as between nebivolol groups 1 and 2 (P < 0.005). Vascularity (red arrows) was remarkable in nebivolol group 2 (Figure 4). Trabecular bone formation was significant in nebivolol groups 1 and 2 (Figures 3 and 4). Cartilage formation occurred at a higher rate in the control group (yellow arrow), compared with the nebivolol groups (Figure 2).

**Table 2:** Data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data was tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s method was used for post hoc testing. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. P* < 0.05, compared to the control group.
Radiological findings

The average radiological scores were 1.14, 1.28, and 1.71 in the control and nebivolol groups 1 and 2, respectfully. There were no statistically significant differences in radiographic results between the three groups and two groups (Figure 5).

Figure 5: Radiological findings of the three groups

Discussion

Hypertension and osteoporosis that results in poorly healing fractures are frequently seen in elderly populations. In addition, elderly populations typically have higher and more continuous drug use, due to the presence of chronic conditions. Chronic drug use can positively or negatively affect bone metabolism. Heparin and its derivatives have negative effects on the bone microstructure [11], while angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors can reduce fracture risk [12]. The selective β1-blocker nebivolol, which is frequently used for hypertension, has both vasodilation and anti-oxidation effects. Recent studies suggest that high concentrations of nebivolol result in healing through the increased release of NO [13]. Gülcan et al. and Schaffer et al. previously showed that nebivolol had positive effects on wound healing [14, 15]. However, to our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the effects of nebivolol on fracture healing.

The histochemical results of this study clearly show the positive effects of nebivolol on fracture healing. Complete bone union and trabecular bone formation were significantly higher in nebivolol group 2 (0.007) (Figure 4). There were significant differences between the 5 mg/kg (nebivolol 1) treatment and the 10 mg/kg (nebivolol 2) treatment groups (P < 0.005). This suggests that nebivolol has a dose-dependent effect on healing. β1-receptors cause vascular endothelial injury, and nebivolol protects endothelial cells exerting anti-oxidative effects and prevents atherosclerosis [16]. However, nebivolol can also prevent osteoporosis by selectively...
inhibiting sympathetic nervous system β1 adrenergic receptors [17]. Nebivolol inhibits NO synthase uncoupling and produces systemic antioxidant effects. Thus, the beneficial activity of nebivolol is attributed to both the inhibition of eNOS uncoupling and endogenous antioxidant properties that lead to free-radical scavenging [6, 18]. Interestingly, nebivolol is the only selective β1-blocker that has anti-oxidative properties [16]. Turner et al. showed that treatment with an NOS inhibitor decreased new bone formation in a rat tibia model [19]. In addition, supplementation with NO induces new bone formation [20], and NO-mediated vasodilation increases blood flow during the early phases of fracture healing [7].

There was no significant difference in radiographic results; however, nebivolol has a significantly positive effect on bone microstructure healing by inducing NO release. Therefore, the histological results obtained in this study could not be confirmed radiologically.

The results of this study suggest that nebivolol has positive effects on bone healing and can, thus, be used to treat hypertension in elderly populations. The limitations of the present study include the small sample size, which was a result of ethical considerations. In addition, bone healing was not determined through micro-computed tomography or positron emission tomography due to cost restrictions. These additional tests may demonstrate the vasodilatory effects of nebivolol for further support of our findings.

**Conclusions**

This study suggests that nebivolol, a selective β blocker, has positive effects on fracture healing through anti-oxidative effects via the NO pathway and direct vasodilator effects.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histological grade</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoarthrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete cartilage union</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete cartilage union</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete bone union</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete bone union</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Nebivolol 1</th>
<th>Nebivolol 2</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histological score</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.75$^a$</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.78$^a$</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological score</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.69</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data was tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s method was used for post-hoc testing. A $P$-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. $P^a$ < 0.05, compared to the control group.*